Manuscript prepared for Clim. Past with version 2015/04/24 7.83 Copernicus papers of the LATEX class copernicus.cls. Date: 19 February 2016

Multi-time scale data assimilation for atmosphere–ocean state estimates

Nathan Steiger¹ and Gregory Hakim²

¹Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, NY. ²Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

Correspondence to: Nathan Steiger, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, 61 Route 9W, Palisades, NY 10964, USA. (nsteiger@ldeo.columbia.edu)

Abstract.

Paleoclimate proxy data span seasonal to millennial time scales, and Earth's climate system has both high- and low-frequency components. Yet it is currently unclear how best to incorporate multiple time scales of proxy data into a single reconstruction framework and to also capture both high-

- 5 and low-frequency components of reconstructed variables. Here we present a data assimilation approach that can explicitly incorporate proxy data at arbitrary time scales. The principal advantage of using such an approach is that it allows much more proxy data to inform a climate reconstruction, though there can be additional benefits. Through a series of off-line data assimilation-based pseudoproxy experiments, we find that atmosphere–ocean states are most skilfully reconstructed by
- 10 incorporating proxies across multiple time scales compared to using proxies at short (annual) or long (~ decadal) time scales alone. Additionally, reconstructions that incorporate long time-scale pseudoproxies improve the low-frequency components of the reconstructions relative to using only highresolution pseudoproxies. We argue that this is because time averaging high-resolution observations improves their covariance relationship with the slowly-varying components of the coupled-climate
- 15 system, which the data assimilation algorithm can exploit. These results are consistent across the climate models considered, despite the model variables having very different spectral characteristics. Our results also suggest that it may be possible to reconstruct features of the oceanic meridional overturning circulation based on atmospheric surface temperature proxies, though here we find such reconstructions lack spectral power over a broad range of frequencies.

20 1 Introduction

Paleoclimate proxies sample widely different time scales. High resolution paleoclimate proxies such as tree rings or corals have annual or seasonal resolution, whereas lower resolution proxies such as sediment cores can provide anywhere from annual- to millennial-scale information depending on the core and its location (Bradley, 2014). Additionally, high-resolution proxies tend to be short, and are

25 mostly limited to the past two millennia, whereas some low-resolution proxies can reach the Ceno-

zoic (e.g., Zachos et al., 2008). In addition to the many time scales of proxies, the climate system itself varies across a large range of time scales: from atmospheric blocking to ocean overturning circulation to ice-age cycles. Thus any faithful reconstruction of past climate must account for as many of these time scales, captured by both proxies and climate models, as possible.

- 30 Few paleoclimate reconstruction methods have been created that specifically incorporate multiple proxy time scales. Most reconstructions use either low or high resolution proxies alone. If multiple scales of proxy data are used together, researchers often resort to coarsening high resolution proxies (e.g., PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013) or linearly interpolating low-resolution proxies to a "higher resolution" (e.g., Mann et al., 2008). One major reason for this is that many traditional multivariate
- 35 regression methods are not constructed to easily calibrate in both low and high frequency domains; Mann et al. (2005), for example, present a such a modified method and discuss these and related challenges. Additionally, including multiple time scales is not entirely a methodological problem but partly a temporal sampling issue: given that instrumental temperature data only span the past 150 years or so, low-frequency reconstruction techniques have few degrees of freedom on which
- 40 to be calibrated and validated if the time scale is longer than about a decade. Specific methods that have been developed with multiple time scales in mind include the time-series reconstruction methods of Li et al. (2010) and Hanhijärvi et al. (2013). Li et al. (2010) use a Bayesian hierarchical model approach while Hanhijärvi et al. (2013) use an approach based on pairwise comparisons that is particularly flexible and was used extensively, for example, in a recent high-profile paper that
- 45 reconstructed continental-scale temperatures over the common era (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013). Space-time reconstruction methods include Guiot et al. (2010) and Carro-Calvo et al. (2013). Guiot et al. (2010) developed a spectral analog approach, where analogs are drawn from an instrumental temperature data product, that they used to reconstruct European April–September temperatures over the past 1400 years. Carro-Calvo et al. (2013) developed a generalized neural network approach and

50 used it to reconstruct winter precipitation in the Mediterranean over the past 300 years.

Data assimilation (DA) provides a flexible framework for combining information from paleoclimate proxies with the dynamical constraints of climate models. In principle, DA can provide reconstructions of any model variable, from surface temperature to sea water salinity to atmospheric geopotential height. Among DA techniques, we are unaware of any method specifically designed

- 55 for the challenges of paleoclimate reconstructions that incorporates proxies across an arbitrary range of time scales. DA-based reconstructions have so far used only a single uniform time scale (e.g., Goosse et al., 2012) or have performed separate reconstructions at different uniform time scales (Mathiot et al., 2013). Traditional DA adjoint methods as applied in weather forecasting can and do include multiple time scales (Kalnay, 2003, pp. 181–184), but these time scales are very short by
- 60 comparison to the time scales involved in paleoclimate. Here we develop a DA-based algorithm for space-time climate reconstructions that can assimilate proxies at any time resolution. Because of the limited time span of observational data sets, we explore the features and skill of this technique within

a synthetic, pseudoproxy framework. The ensuing pseudoproxy experiments use an "off-line" DA implementation, wherein prior ensembles are drawn from a previously run climate model simulation

and are not integrated forward in time after each DA update step. This allows us to test the algorithm over long time spans, perform carefully controlled experiments, and unambiguously define errors.

Multiproxy reconstructions can potentially overcome some limitations of single proxy reconstructions, such as filling in for the missing frequency components of a particular proxy (Li et al., 2010). However, the primary, pragmatic benefit to incorporating proxies at multiple temporal resolutions

- 70 is that more information can inform the reconstruction. By comparison with current weather observations, paleoclimate proxies are more expensive and time-consuming to gather and their spatial distribution is far less extensive. Therefore, including any additional unbiased information should meaningfully improve the reconstructions. In addition, it is possible that particular reconstruction methods could benefit from multi-scale proxy data. Within a coupled atmosphere-ocean DA frame-
- 75 work, Tardif et al. (2014) suggest that assimilating time-averaged observations of atmospheric variables may improve present-day estimates of ocean circulation. They argue that these improvements arise from the fact that time averaging high-frequency observations improves the signal over noise in the covariance relationship between the atmosphere and the slowly-varying ocean overturning circulation. We test this hypothesis within a paleoclimate context and assesses whether or not atmosphere–
- 80 ocean state estimates can be improved by including proxies and climate states at multiple time scales. Therefore this test goes beyond the benefit of simply being able to include more proxies in climate reconstructions.

2 Assimilation technique

Data assimilation refers to a mathematical technique of optimally combining observations (or within
this context, proxy data) with prior information, typically from a model. The model, in this case a climate model, provides an initial, or prior, state estimate that one can update in a Bayesian sense based on the observations and an estimate of the errors in both the observations and the prior. The prior contains any climate model variables of interest and the updated prior, called the posterior, is the best estimate of the climate state given the observations and the error estimates. The basic state
update equations of DA (e.g., Kalnay, 2003) are given by

$$\mathbf{x}_a = \mathbf{x}_b + \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_b)],\tag{1}$$

where \mathbf{K} can be written as

$$\mathbf{K} = cov(\mathbf{x}_b, \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_b))[cov(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_b), \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_b)) + \mathbf{R}]^{-1},$$
(2)

and *cov* represents a covariance expectation. x_b is the prior (or "background") estimate of the state
vector and x_a is the posterior (or "analysis") state vector. Observations (or proxies) are contained in vector y. The true value of the observations are estimated by the prior through H(x_b), which

is, in general, a nonlinear vector-valued observation operator that maps \mathbf{x}_b from the state space to the observation space. For example, tree-ring width may be estimated from grid-point values of temperature and moisture in the prior. Matrix \mathbf{K} , the Kalman gain, weights $\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_b)$ (which is

called the innovation) and transforms it into state space. Matrix R is the error covariance matrix for the observations. We note that Eq. (1) assumes that x_b and H(x_b) are Gaussian distributed and that their errors are unbiased. The DA update process involves computing Eq. (1) to arrive at the posterior state; within the context of the climate reconstruction problem, the posterior state is the reconstructed state for a given time. Space-time reconstructions are obtained by iteratively estimating the posterior
state for each year or time segment of the reconstruction.

From the first covariance term of Eq. (2), we can interpret **K** as "spreading" the information contained in the observations through the covariance between the prior and the prior-estimated observations. This implies that, other things being equal, larger values of $cov(\mathbf{x}_b, \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_b))$ will weight the innovation more heavily; thus this new information not contained in the prior has a bigger in-

- 110 fluence. One way to improve this covariance relationship may be to use time-averaged observations, particularly if the model or climate system has better covariance relationships at longer time scales. For the update calculations we employ an ensemble square-root Kalman filter with serial observation processing, applied to time averages (see Steiger et al. (2014) for a detailed DA algorithm and fuller discussion of DA terminology). We extend the technique of Dirren and Hakim (2005), Huntley
- 115 and Hakim (2010), and Steiger et al. (2014) by iteratively applying the state-update equations across multiple time scales by leveraging the serial observation processing approach to the Kalman filter (Houtekamer and Mitchell, 2001). The previous related approaches have only considered annual time scales or less and cannot be trivially applied to proxies of arbitrary time scales: the prior must be constructed so as to provide meaningful time averages and the algorithm must be able to handle
- 120 irregular proxy time scales.

The following general algorithm allows one to assimilate any collection of observation or proxy data, including time averages with irregular duration:

- 1. Construct a prior ("background") ensemble \mathbf{x}_b at the highest temporal resolution of interest (e.g., monthly or annual), or a collection of them with one for each time step (e.g., monthly or annual ensembles assigned to particular months or years).
- 2. Loop over observations and assimilate each at their own time scale:
 - (a) Decompose the prior ensemble(s) that overlap in time with the observation y into time averages (overbar) and deviations from this average (prime) via $\mathbf{x}_b = \overline{\mathbf{x}}_b + \mathbf{x}'_b$, such that the time-average of $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_b$ matches the time scale of y.
- (b) Estimate the observation via the forward model, or "proxy system model" (Evans et al., 2013) *H*(x̄_b), and update the time averaged ensemble(s) x̄_b → Z̄_a, with x̄_a as the posterior (or "analysis") time-mean ensemble(s).

130

125

(c) Add back the time-deviations, $\mathbf{x}_b = \overline{\mathbf{x}}_a + \mathbf{x}'_b$, which can serve as the prior(s) for another observation.

135

Note that if y shares the same time scale as \mathbf{x}_b , then the method is the same, but with $\mathbf{x}'_b = 0$.

- 3. After all observations have been assimilated, the ensemble mean of \mathbf{x}_b provides the best estimate of the state for each analysis time.
- We now discuss an illustrative implementation of this general algorithm that we employ for the
 experiments in this paper. Consider a paleoclimatic situation where the observations are a collection of annual proxy data and also proxy data representing irregularly averaged climatic information. Let the prior ensembles be constructed, as we do in our experiments, by a random sample of annually averaged climate states from a long climate simulation and initially assigned to each year of a reconstruction, Fig. 1. Following the steps outlined above, proxies representing differently averaged
 time intervals can be assimilated by averaging over the prior ensembles for the time intervals defined by the proxy. For example, a proxy value representing information over the years 1700-1720 would update the prior ensembles averaged in time over that same interval. Annual proxies can simply be
- assimilated by updating the ensembles for each year of available proxy data, Fig. 1. This approach proceeds by assimilating each proxy over its full time extent and after every proxy is assimilated, one is left with an updated version of what one started with: a time sequence of ensemble state estimates
 - at annual resolution.

In the example above and all of the experiments shown here we use a "no-cycling" or "off-line" DA approach, where the prior ensembles are drawn from existing climate model simulations. This approach has vast computational benefits over a "cycling" or "on-line" approach where one must

- 155 integrate an ensemble of climate model simulations forward in time after each DA update step. Indeed, for the paleoclimate reconstruction problem, it is infeasible to cycle an ensemble of tens to hundreds of CMIP5-class coupled climate models (as used here) for hundreds or thousands of years. Moreover, in the off-line case one may use hundreds to thousands of ensemble members from multiple models and simulations, reducing the potential for model bias and sampling error. It is also
- 160 advantageous to use an off-line approach when the predictability time limit of the model is shorter than the time scale of the observations: for example, if observations are only available at annual resolution yet the model cannot skilfully forecast the climate state a year into the future, then no useful information is gained by cycling the model. Matsikaris et al. (2015) recently compared online and off-line approaches to paleoclimate DA with a fully-coupled earth system model and found
- 165 no improvement with the on-line method, suggesting that the model was unable to provide useful information at analysis times. Nevertheless, one way the approach outlined here can generalize to the on-line approach is by cycling on the shortest time scale (e.g., annual or seasonal) and updating longer time scales at the end of the appropriate interval without cycling.

Also note that for the sake of simplicity in the illustrative example and throughout the paper, we

- 170 are assuming that an irregular, long-time-scale proxy is just an average of some climate variable over a given time interval. Real proxies are nearly always more complex than this and would necessitate a more sophisticated proxy system model ($\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_b)$ in Eqs. (1) and (2)); however, the algorithm described above is general and covers the case when such models are available.
- One key point about the method outlined above is that if a reconstruction uses the off-line ap-175 proach together with multiple time scales, then a random sample of annually averaged climate states will not have meaningful multi-year averages. Temporal consistency of the priors will need to be ensured in order to have coherent long-time-scale covariance relationships. One way to account for this is to draw priors in random blocks of consecutive years from the employed climate model simulation, see Fig. 1. The length of these blocks can be determined based on the needs of the specific
- 180 reconstruction problem (e.g., the length of the longest proxy time scale) and the length of available model simulations. If multiple long simulations are available (they need not be from the same model), different rows in Fig. 1 could be different model simulations and the block length could be the length of the reconstruction; this option avoids any discontinuities in time that result from small block lengths.
- The DA technique of state space augmentation (as discussed in, for example, Anderson (2001)) has long been used for handling arbitrary observation operators and can also be used for updating time averaged quantities or parameter estimation (e.g., Annan et al., 2005). Such an approach does have some important similarities to what we present here, particularly the ability to update time-averaged information. However because our algorithm incorporates observations at multiple time
- 190 scales, these observations can affect the states at all time scales instead of just having short time scale observation information inform longer time averages if the state was simply augmented with time-averaged variables.

3 Experimental framework

3.1 Models and variable characterizations

- 195 For the experiments presented here, we are interested in (1) how the reconstruction methodology proposed in Sec. 2 performs in both the atmosphere and ocean; (2) how the differing time scales of the atmosphere and ocean may be leveraged in the reconstruction process; and (3) how these results vary with two different models having quite different spectral characteristics in their coupled-climate systems. To this end we choose two long pre-industrial control simulations (part of the Coupled
- 200 Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 available for download at http://www.earthsystemgrid.org/), one from the climate model GFDL-CM3 (800 years in length) and the other from CCSM4 (1051 years in length). We also choose two illustrative reconstruction variables, global-mean 2-m air temperature and the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC). Figures 2 and 3 characterize

the global-mean temperature and an AMOC index for each simulation (defined here as the maxi-

- 205 mum value of the overturning streamfunction in the North Atlantic between 25°N and 70°N and between depths of 500 m and 2000 m), respectively. In these reconstructions the state vector, \mathbf{x}_b , only contains global latitude-longitude gridded values of 2-m air temperature together with globalmean temperature and the AMOC index as single-dimension appended state variables (rather than deriving them from the state vector itself). $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_b)$ simply uses the surface temperature values of the
- 210 state vector at the proxy locations. Note that even though these are only single-dimension variables, the DA framework proposed here can trivially reconstruct spatial variables as well (Steiger et al., 2014). From Figs. 2 and 3 we see that these two models display different spectral characteristics for both global-mean temperature and the AMOC index.

We next assess whether there are strong covariance relationships between the observation variables and the reconstruction variables at different time averages. Recall that the key covariance relationship in the DA update equations is between the prior variables and the prior estimate of the observations, Eq. (2). A simple assessment of this is shown in Fig. 4, which shows the correlation between the prior variables and the surface temperature time series at every grid point for both climate simulations at a range of time averages. (Note that the correlation of two time series is simply

- 220 the covariance normalized by the product of the standard deviations of the two time series.) Figure 4 indicates that there is increased covariance information (or more locations with higher correlations) between surface temperature and the prior variables at longer time scales. This information is leveraged by the equations of DA to potentially improve the low-frequency components of the reconstructed variables. An important point about computing correlations at increasing time averages
- is that the number of degrees of freedom in the time series are also reduced, potentially spuriously inflating the correlations in Fig. 4. Accounting for these reduced degrees of freedom by performing a test of statistical significance would not, however, be particularly germane: the DA equations do not "know" about 95% confidence intervals, just the covariance information. If, after performing the reconstructions and computing several different skill metrics, we see an increase in reconstruction skill, then we can infer that the information was in fact useful for the reconstructions.

3.2 Pseudoproxy construction

The pseudoproxy experiments employed here follow the general framework of many previous studies (see Smerdon (2012) for a summary and review) but with some important modifications. Generally, after one or more climate model simulations are chosen to represent nature, a pseudoproxy network is chosen that mimics real-world proxy availability, similar to the network chosen here and shown in Fig. 5(a); this particular network is composed of a spatially thinned version of the proxy collection of PAGES 2k Consortium (2013) (thinned over Asia and North America where the proxy density is high) and all of the proxy locations in Shakun et al. (2012) and Marcott et al. (2013). Pseudoproxies are typically generated by adding random white noise to the chosen network of cli-

- mate model temperature series. We note that the choice of white noise (as opposed to "red noise" for 240 instance) is a simplification of the "real" noise in proxies. However we consider this to be a reasonable choice because the purpose of the present work is primarily to illustrate a new reconstruction method. The added noise is usually assumed to be the same value for all proxy locations, with a common signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) being 0.5 (where SNR $\equiv \sqrt{var(X)/var(N)}$, and where X is
- 245 a grid-point temperature series drawn from the true state and N is an additive noise series, and var is the variance.). Following recent work by Wang et al. (2014), we instead randomly draw SNR values from a distribution characteristic of real proxy networks, Fig. 5(b). This distribution is a shifted Gamma distribution (shape parameter = 1.667, scale parameter = 0.18, shifted by 0.15) with a mean SNR of 0.45 and is modelled after Fig. 3 from Wang et al. (2014).
- 250 Also in contrast to nearly all pseudoproxy experiments, we use pseudoproxies at two different time scales for each model. Importantly, we use the same SNR distribution for both time scales and add the noise to the time series after averaging. Within the DA framework, the additive error for each proxy is accounted for in the entries of the diagonal matrix **R**. The SNR equation above is related to **R** in that each of these entries is equal to var(N) for a given proxy. The process of adding the noise
- after averaging ensures that R is statistically identical for each reconstruction. This process isolates 255 the role of the covariance relationships in Eq. (2). By drawing from the same SNR distribution for all pseudoproxy time scales we are also assuming that the distribution is an appropriate characterization of the error in long time scale proxies; we assume this for simplicity and also because we are not aware of a systematic assessment of SNR values for low-resolution proxies as Wang et al. (2014)
- 260 have done for annual-resolution proxies.

We also note an important idealization of the present pseudoproxy experiments, which we share with all pseudoproxy experiments heretofore published, is that we use a perfect model approximation where the pseudoproxies from one model simulation are used to reconstruct that same simulation; for example, pseudoproxies from the CCSM4 simulation are used to reconstruct the CCSM4 simulation.

- 265 In a real DA-based reconstruction the climate model will never be a perfect description of the real climate system from which the assimilated observations are derived. Since the purpose of the present work is to illustrate a new algorithm, we have not considered this additional layer of complexity. This additional aspect could only be fully assessed within a study of real proxy climate reconstructions; using one simulation to reconstruct another can assess inter-model differences, but it is unclear how
- 270 these results would relate to model-nature differences.

3.3 Pseudoproxy experiments

The primary results of this paper are presented in a series of 12 experiments using only atmospheric surface temperature pseudoproxies to reconstruct the global-mean temperature and AMOC index of the two climate model simulations discussed previously. For each variable, and each model, three

275 experiments are performed: (1) short (annual) pseudoproxies only, (2) long (5 or 20 year time averages) pseudoproxies only, and (3) both short and long time-averaged pseudoproxies. We have chosen the long time-scale for the CCSM4 simulation to be 20 years, and we note that an alternative choice of one to several decades gives similar results (not shown). The situation is more complex with the GFDL-CM3 simulation because of the presence of an approximate 22 year periodic signal in the

- AMOC, Fig. 3(a) and (c). A choice of 20 years for GFDL-CM3 would effectively undersample the AMOC variability and so we have chosen a long time scale of 5 years for GFDL-CM3. Unfortunately, a long time scale of 5 years for CCSM4, shows little difference in the results over the annual time scale reconstructions (not shown), as would be suggested by the small difference in correlation (covariance) between 1 and 5 years, Fig. 4(b).
- Both the short-only and long-only reconstructions use 100 pseudoproxies randomly drawn from the network of 274 proxy locations shown in Fig. 5(a). For the mixed-resolution reconstructions, 100 pseudoproxies are randomly drawn from the network for each time scale, giving a total of 200. This is an approximation of the real world setting where one usually has proxies at multiple time scales and would like to use all of them. Following the algorithm outlined in Sec. 2, for the multi-
- 290 scale reconstructions, we assimilate the long time-scale pseudoproxies first, followed by the annual time-scale pseudoproxies; we also performed these reconstructions by swapping which time scale was assimilated first and found statistically identical results (not shown), as would be expected from the linearity of this approach. For these mixed resolution reconstructions, we have also ensured that there is no overlap between locations associated with the two time scales.
- We have reconstructed the first 400 years of each simulation while drawing the priors from the following 400 years of the simulations. Each year had a prior size of 1000 (e.g., from Fig. 1, m = 1000) while the blocks were randomly drawn in 20 year continuous segments. This uniform block length was chosen because it was the longest time scale of the pseudoproxies and because the pseudoproxies were constructed over regular long intervals and thus discontinuities at block edges were not a
- 300 concern (see Fig. 1 and the discussion in Sec. 2). Because the prior ensemble size was 1000, we did not employ covariance localization, a common DA practice for controlling sampling error. Each of the 12 reconstructions are repeated 100 times in a Monte Carlo fashion where new proxy networks and SNR values are randomly chosen each iteration; the new pseudoproxy networks are randomly drawn from the network shown in Fig. 5(a) and the SNR values are randomly drawn from the distri-
- 305 bution shown in Fig. 5(b). All the reconstruction figures show the mean of 100 of these Monte Carlo reconstruction iterations along with error bars indicating $\pm 2\sigma$ of the "grand ensemble" of analysis ensembles for all the Monte Carlo iterations (with an ensemble size of 1000 and 100 iterations, the grand ensemble has 1×10^5 members).

4 Reconstruction results

The reconstructions of global-mean temperature are shown in Fig. 6 along with their associated $\pm 2\sigma$ error estimates. The top panels of (a) and (b) show the reconstructions with the annual pseudoproxies, the middle panels show the reconstructions with the long time-scale proxies, and the bottom panels show the reconstructions for both time scales. Skill metrics, computed at annual resolution, are shown for each reconstruction: correlation (r), coefficient of efficiency (CE), and mean continuous ranked probability score (CRPS). The coefficient of efficiency for a data series comparison of length N is defined as

$$CE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \overline{x})^2} ,$$

- 310 where x is the "true" time series, \overline{x} is the true time-series mean, and \hat{x} is the reconstructed time series. The metric CE has the range $-\infty < CE \le 1$, where CE = 1 corresponds to a perfect match and CE < 0 generally indicates no reconstruction skill or a bias in the reconstruction. The CRPS is a "strictly proper" scoring metric that accounts for the skill of both the mean and the spread of an ensemble forecast or state estimate (Gneiting and Raftery, 2007). The CRPS measures the area
- 315 of the squared difference between the cumulative distribution functions of the posterior ensemble state estimate and the true state (a Heaviside function centered on the true value). A smaller area would indicate a more skillful reconstruction, so smaller values of CRPS are better. All CRPS values shown in the figures are temporal means of CRPS over the reconstruction interval. Comparing the reconstructions in Fig. 6 we see that the bottom panels with both time scales have the best skill and
- 320 the smallest error bars, indicating greater confidence. For GFDL-CM3, there is a 25% reduction in the mean standard deviation over the annual-only experiment and a 17% reduction over the long time-scale only; for CCSM4, there is a 2% reduction in the mean standard deviation over the annual time-scale only and a 28% reduction over the long time-scale only.

Note that the long-time scale reconstructions in the middle panels of Fig. 6(a) and (b) have sharp edges at 5 (for GFDL-CM3) or 20 year (for CCSM4) intervals. This is due to the simplified experimental design we have employed where all the long-time scale pseudoproxies are averages over a given 5 or 20 year period. As discussed in Sec. 2, this experimental design is only a single illustrative example of the general algorithm. The data from real proxies are not always apportioned into specific time frames, but can be scattered irregularly in time (e.g., fossil coral records). Using many irregular

- 330 proxies will act to smooth the long time-scale reconstructions. As long as the time scales can be estimated and an appropriate proxy system model is used, the algorithm of Sec. 2 can handle any real proxy data. While not dealt with explicitly here, real long time-scale (low resolution) proxies also have dating uncertainty, which will also tend to smooth the reconstructions. The algorithm can account for dating uncertainty through the Monte Carlo framework by repeating the reconstructions
- 335 many times and sampling from an age model for a given proxy.

One assessment of skill as a function of time scale is to compute the cross spectrum of the reconstructed time series with the true time series (Fig. 7). The cross spectra in this case reveal the relationship between the two time series as a function of frequency or period. As a point of reference, the dashed gray lines in this figure indicate the cross spectra of the true time series with itself,

which is the same as its own power spectrum.¹ Considering Fig. 7(b) we see that the annual-only reconstruction does a better job of matching the power at short periods than the 20-year-only reconstruction; however, the 20-year-only reconstruction performs better at longer periods. The mixed time-scale reconstruction, 20+1, does better or just as well as the single time-scale reconstructions at both short and long periods. This same general result holds for Fig. 7(a), though it is more difficult
to see because of the much larger power at longer periods in the GFDL-CM3 simulation.

Figure 8 shows three time scale reconstructions of the AMOC index for the two model simulations, similar to Fig. 6. In these AMOC index reconstructions, we see the same general patterns as with the global-mean temperature reconstructions, where the multi-scale reconstructions provide the most skill (r, CE, CRPS) as well as the smallest error bars. However, while the multi-scale improvements

- in skill for the AMOC reconstructions are significant, these are generally smaller than for the global mean temperature reconstructions. For GFDL-CM3 there is a 3% reduction in the mean standard deviation of the error bars over the annual-only experiment and a 1% reduction over the long time-scale only; for CCSM4, there is a 2% reduction in the mean standard deviation over the annual time-scale only and a 4% reduction over the long time-scale only. Figure 9 shows the corresponding
- 355 cross spectra for the reconstructions shown in Fig. 8. Given that the pseudoproxies are of surface air temperature, it is not surprising that the absolute skill values of the AMOC reconstructions are reduced relative to the reconstructions of global-mean temperature. Though it is striking how much power is lost in the reconstructions (Fig. 9) considering that these are "perfect model" experiments. This result is most likely due to the fact that the covariances between surface temperatures and
- 360 the AMOC index are quite small, Fig. 4(c) and (d). Thus surface temperatures in most locations across the globe are relatively uninformative about the AMOC. An additional result from Fig. 9 is the improved low-frequency components of the AMOC reconstructions when time-averaged surface temperature pseudoproxies are used. We argue that this result follows from the fact that the annual observations of atmospheric surface temperature are essentially noise to the slowly varying ocean.
- 365 One may improve the information content relevant to the ocean by averaging over the atmospheric noise. This interpretation may also be seen in Fig. 4, where the correlation (covariance) information between the atmosphere and the ocean is particularly low at annual averages but improves at longer time averages (as also seen in Tardif et al. (2014)).

¹Following a common technique to reduce noise in the cross spectra, they are computed using Welch's averaged periodogram method, which samples segments of the time series and averages the power spectra of these samples to arrive at the cross power spectral densities. As a result, the gray line spectra in Figs. 7 and 9 should not be expected to precisely match up with Figs. 2 and 3

We note that all the cross spectra of the reconstructions shown in Figs. 7 and 9 show a decrease in

- 370 power relative to the true state, though this need not always be the case. In additional experiments we performed using global ocean heat content, we found that this reconstructed variable tended to have more power than the true state and was thus higher than the respective dashed gray lines (not shown). Therefore the reduced power relative to the true state in Figs. 7 and 9 should not be interpreted as saying something general about the nature of DA-based reconstructions or the particular approach
- 375 employed here.

As an approximation of a real reconstruction scenario, the experiments shown in Figs. 6 and 8 with two time scales use twice as many pseudoproxies as the single time scale experiments (200 vs. 100). Therefore the improved skill might simply be a consequence of having more observation information. We tested this idea by repeating all the experiments shown here but instead increasing

- the number of observations to 200 for each experiment: the single time scale reconstructions used 380 200 randomly drawn pseudoproxies and the multi-scale reconstructions used 100 randomly drawn pseudoproxies each for the two time scales (the same as in the previous multi-scale reconstructions). Figure 10 is a characteristic example of the results of these additional tests. Figure 10(a) shows the reconstructions of the AMOC index with the CCSM4 model output and Fig. 10(b) shows the re-
- 385 spective cross spectra. In (a), the skill is best for the multi-scale reconstructions and in (b) the cross spectra shows the same general result of improved low-frequency power for the time-averaged pseudoproxies. However, the cross spectra for the 20+1 reconstruction is not always closest to the true spectrum, suggesting that the number of pseudoproxies does play a role in improving the spectrum of the reconstructions. Indeed it should be the case that as long as the proxies are unbiased, adding
- more of them will improve a data assimilation-based reconstruction. 390

5 Conclusions

395

This paper presents a data assimilation approach for paleoclimate reconstructions that can explicitly incorporate proxy data on arbitrary time scales. This approach generalizes previous data assimilation techniques in the sense that many scales of both proxies and climate states can be included explicitly in a single reconstruction framework. The primary interest in such a reconstruction technique

- is that it allows for the inclusion of much more proxy data in climate reconstructions. Given the spatially sparse and noisy nature of proxies, more information will tend to improve the quality of the reconstructions. Besides this benefit, using multi-scale proxy data may be particularly useful given the many inherent time scales of the climate system, such as the fast time scales of the atmosphere
- and the slow time scales of the ocean. We performed three types of realistic atmosphere-ocean 400 pseudoproxy reconstructions to assess the impact of using observations at multiple time scales: (1) short (annual) pseudoproxies only, (2) long (\sim decadal) pseudoproxies only, and (3) both short and long time-averaged pseudoproxies. We found that for both global-mean temperature and an index of

the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation, the reconstructions that incorporated proxies across

- 405 both short and long time scales were more skilful than reconstructions that used short or long time scales alone (Figs. 6 and 8). This result holds even when the number of pseudoproxies for the single time-scale reconstructions are doubled, Fig. 10(a). Multi-scale reconstructions would be expected to perform better than single-scale reconstructions because they can include information at multiple time scales and because the prior can be better conditioned as it's used from one time scale to the
- 410 next.

We found that reconstructions incorporating long time scale pseudoproxies improve the low-frequency components of the reconstructions over reconstructions that only use annual time-scale pseudoproxies, Figs. 7, 9, and 10(b). This result may at first seem surprising because the annual pseudoproxies should contain the low-frequency information. It is helpful to recall that the data as-

- similation algorithm outlined here proceeds by sequentially finding the optimal state at each time segment of interest given the prior, the observations, and their respective errors. This state update critically relies on the covariance between the prior and the model estimate of the observations, Eq. (2). If, for example, surface temperature observations do not covary well with the AMOC at annual resolution, then the posterior AMOC estimate will be little changed compared to the prior (Tardif
- 420 et al., 2014). But if the time average of surface temperatures has a large covariance with the AMOC, the posterior will be more influenced by the observations. This result is not controlled by the noise added to the pseudoproxies because, as noted in Sect. 3.2, we ensured that **R** from Eq. (2) remains fixed for both time scales.
- These results indicate that data assimilation-based atmosphere–ocean state estimates may be im-425 proved by including proxies and climate states from multiple time scales. The general results outlined above are consistent across the employed climate models. These results also show, as suggested by Kurahashi-Nakamura et al. (2014), that given a representative prior ensemble, features of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation may be reconstructed using observations of surface variables. However, the reconstructions lack spectral power across all frequencies, which we attribute to the 430 relatively small covariances between surface temperatures and the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation in the models we employed, Fig. 4(c) and (d). Therefore observations of ocean quantities such as salinity or indirect measures of ocean circulation may be better suited to reconstructing the
- Acknowledgements. We acknowledge the Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison and the
 WCRP's Working Group on Coupled Modelling for their roles in making available the CMIP5 data set. Support of the CMIP5 dataset is provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant AGS-1304263 and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration grant NA14OAR4310176.

Atlantic meridional overturning circulation.

References

440 Anderson, J. L.: An ensemble adjustment Kalman filter for data assimilation, Monthly weather review, 129, 2884–2903, 2001.

Annan, J., Lunt, D., Hargreaves, J., and Valdes, P.: Parameter estimation in an atmospheric GCM using the ensemble Kalman filter, Nonlinear processes in geophysics, 12, 363–371, 2005.

Bradley, R. S.: Paleoclimatology, Academic Press, Oxford, UK, 3rd edn., 2014.

- 445 Carro-Calvo, L., Salcedo-Sanz, S., and Luterbacher, J.: Neural computation in paleoclimatology: General methodology and a case study, Neurocomputing, 113, 262–268, 2013.
 - Dirren, S. and Hakim, G. J.: Toward the assimilation of time-averaged observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, doi:10.1029/2004GL021444, 2005.
 - Evans, M. N., Tolwinski-Ward, S., Thompson, D., and Anchukaitis, K. J.: Applications of proxy system mod-
- eling in high resolution paleoclimatology, Quaternary Science Reviews, 76, 16–28, 2013.
 Gneiting, T. and Raftery, A. E.: Strictly proper scoring rules, prediction, and estimation, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 102, 359–378, 2007.

Goosse, H., Crespin, E., Dubinkina, S., Loutre, M.-F., Mann, M. E., Renssen, H., Sallaz-Damaz, Y., and Shindell, D.: The role of forcing and internal dynamics in explaining the "Medieval Climate Anomaly", Climate

- 455 dynamics, 39, 2847–2866, 2012.
 - Guiot, J., Corona, C., et al.: Growing season temperatures in Europe and climate forcings over the past 1400 years, PloS one, 5, e9972, 2010.
 - Hanhijärvi, S., Tingley, M., and Korhola, A.: Pairwise comparisons to reconstruct mean temperature in the Arctic Atlantic Region over the last 2,000 years, Clim. Dyn., 41, 2039–2060, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1701-
- 460 4, 2013.
 - Houtekamer, P. L. and Mitchell, H. L.: A sequential ensemble Kalman filter for atmospheric data assimilation, Monthly Weather Review, 129, 123–137, 2001.
 - Huntley, H. S. and Hakim, G. J.: Assimilation of time-averaged observations in a quasi-geostrophic atmospheric jet model, Clim. Dyn., 35, 995–1009, doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0714-5, 2010.
- 465 Kalnay, E.: Atmospheric modeling, data assimilation and predictability, Cambridge, Cambridge, UK, 2003. Kurahashi-Nakamura, T., Losch, M., and Paul, A.: Can sparse proxy data constrain the strength of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation?, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 419–432, doi:10.5194/gmd-7-419-2014, 2014.
 - Li, B., Nychka, D. W., and Ammann, C. M.: The value of multiproxy reconstruction of past climate, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 105, 883–895, 2010.
- 470 Mann, M. E., Rutherford, S., Wahl, E., and Ammann, C.: Testing the fidelity of methods used in proxy-based reconstructions of past climate, Journal of Climate, 18, 4097–4107, 2005.
 - Mann, M. E., Zhang, Z., Hughes, M. K., Bradley, R. S., Miller, S. K., Rutherford, S., and Ni, F.: Proxy-based reconstructions of hemispheric and global surface temperature variations over the past two millennia, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 105, 13 252–13 257, doi:10.1073/pnas.0805721105, 2008.
- 475 Marcott, S. A., Shakun, J. D., Clark, P. U., and Mix, A. C.: A Reconstruction of Regional and Global Temperature for the Past 11,300 Years, Science, 339, 1198–1201, doi:10.1126/science.1228026, 2013.

- Mathiot, P., Goosse, H., Crosta, X., Stenni, B., Braida, M., Renssen, H., Van Meerbeeck, C. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Mairesse, A., and Dubinkina, S.: Using data assimilation to investigate the causes of Southern Hemisphere high latitude cooling from 10 to 8 ka BP, Climate of the Past, 9, 887–901, 2013.
- 480 Matsikaris, A., Widmann, M., and Jungclaus, J. H.: On-line and off-line data assimilation in palaeoclimatology: a case study, Climate of the Past, 11, 81–93, 2015.
 - PAGES 2k Consortium: Continental-scale temperature variability during the past two millennia, Nature Geosci., 6, 339–346, doi:10.1038/ngeo1797, 2013.

Schneider, T. and Neumaier, A.: Algorithm 808: ARfit-A Matlab package for the estimation of parameters and

- 485 eigenmodes of multivariate autoregressive models, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS), 27, 58–65, 2001.
 - Shakun, J. D., Clark, P. U., He, F., Marcott, S. A., Mix, A. C., Liu, Z., Otto-Bliesner, B., Schmittner, A., and Bard, E.: Global warming preceded by increasing carbon dioxide concentrations during the last deglaciation, Nature, 484, 49–54, 2012.
- 490 Smerdon, J. E.: Climate models as a test bed for climate reconstruction methods: pseudoproxy experiments, WIREs Clim. Change, 3, 63–77, doi:10.1002/wcc.149, 2012.
 - Steiger, N. J., Hakim, G. J., Steig, E. J., Battisti, D. S., and Roe, G. H.: Assimilation of time-averaged pseudoproxies for climate reconstruction, J. Climate, 27, 426–441, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00693.1, 2014.

Tardif, R., Hakim, G., and Snyder, C.: Coupled atmosphere-ocean data assimilation experiments with a loworder model and CMIP5 model data, Clim. Dyn., doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2390-3, 2014.

- Wang, J., Emile-Geay, J., Smerdon, J. E., Guillot, D., and Rajaratnam, B.: Evaluating climate field reconstruction techniques using improved emulations of real-world conditions, Climate of the Past, 10, 1–19, 2014.
 - Zachos, J. C., Dickens, G. R., and Zeebe, R. E.: An early Cenozoic perspective on greenhouse warming and carbon-cycle dynamics, Nature, 451, 279–283, 2008.

			Year	s		
Ense	$\chi_{m,1}$	$\chi_{m,2}$	$\chi_{m,3}$	$\chi_{m,4}$	•••	$\chi_{m,n}$
mble members	÷	• •	• •	• •	••.	•
	$\chi_{2,1}$	$\chi_{2,2}$	$\chi_{2,3}$	$\chi_{2,4}$	•••	$\chi_{2,n}$
	$\chi_{1,1}$	$\chi_{1,2}$	$\chi_{1,3}$	$\chi_{1,4}$	•••	$\chi_{1,n}$
		block				

Figure 1. Schematic of the general reconstruction method using an off-line approach. Prior ensembles of m state vectors, χ , are assigned to each of the n years. To retain some temporal coherency, the rows are composed of time-coherent blocks drawn from a climate model simulation (arbitrarily illustrated here as a 3 year block, or 3 consecutive annual states). The method updates prior ensembles for specific years corresponding to annual proxy data points, while for long time-scale proxies prior ensembles are computed by time averaging across the rows corresponding to the years of a proxy data point.

495



Figure 2. Characterization of the global-mean 2-m air temperature variables used in this paper. Panels (a) and (b) show the global-mean temperature time series for the pre-industrial control simulations of GFDL-CM3 and CCSM4, respectively. Panels (c) and (d) show their respective power spectra (GMT) with a best-fit red noise (RN) spectrum (computed as in Schneider and Neumaier (2001)) and an estimated 95% confidence interval.



Figure 3. Characterization of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC) index variables used in this paper. Panels (a) and (b) show the AMOC index time series (defined in the text) for the pre-industrial control simulations of GFDL-CM3 and CCSM4, respectively. Panels (c) and (d) show their respective power spectra with a best-fit red noise (RN) spectrum (computed as in Schneider and Neumaier (2001)) and an estimated 95% confidence interval.



Figure 4. (a) and (b) show the distribution of correlation values between the global-mean 2-m air temperature (GMT) and the spatial 2-m air surface temperatures (T2m) for GFDL-CM3 and CCSM4 at a range of time-averages. (c) and (d) show similar correlation distributions but for the correlation between the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC) index and the spatial 2-m air surface temperatures. The correlations are computed for each spatial grid point at a given time-average, with the spatial correlation information summarized with box plots (outliers have been omitted for clarity).



Figure 5. (a) Pseudoproxy locations used in this study (n = 274), drawn from the predominantly high-resolution (annual) proxy collection of PAGES 2k Consortium (2013) and all the comparatively low-resolution (decadal to centennial) proxy locations in Shakun et al. (2012) and Marcott et al. (2013). (b) The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) distribution for the pseudoproxies, based on a real-world estimate of Wang et al. (2014). For a given Monte Carlo experiment, the SNR for each pseudoproxy was randomly drawn from this distribution.



Figure 6. Global-mean temperature reconstructions (mean of 100 Monte Carlo iterations, with error bars indicating $\pm 2\sigma$ of the iterations and analysis ensembles) for the three types of experiments discussed in the text and for each climate model simulation. Black lines indicate the true time series while red lines indicate the reconstructed time series for only short time scale (annual) pseudoproxies, only long time scale (5 or 20 years) pseudoproxies, and both long and short time scale pseudoproxies. Skill metrics of the reconstructions, correlation (r), coefficient of efficiency (CE), and mean continuous ranked probability score (CRPS), are shown at the top of each subpanel.



Figure 7. Cross spectra of the reconstructed global-mean temperature time series with the true global-mean temperature time series, for the reconstructions shown in Fig. 6. For reference, the dashed gray line indicates the cross spectra of the true time series with itself, or equivalently its own power spectrum.



Figure 8. AMOC index reconstructions (mean of 100 Monte Carlo iterations, with error bars indicating $\pm 2\sigma$ of the iterations and analysis ensembles) for the three types of experiments discussed in the text and for each climate model simulation. Black lines indicate the true time series while red lines indicate the reconstructed time series for only short time scale (annual) pseudoproxies, only long time scale (5 or 20 years) pseudoproxies, and both long and short time scale pseudoproxies. Skill metrics of the reconstructions, correlation (r), coefficient of efficiency (CE), and mean continuous ranked probability score (CRPS), are shown at the top of each subpanel.



Figure 9. Cross spectra of the reconstructed AMOC index time series with the true AMOC index time series, for the reconstructions shown in Fig. 8. For reference, the dashed gray line indicates the cross spectra of the true time series with itself, or equivalently its own power spectrum.



Figure 10. AMOC index reconstructions (mean of 100 Monte Carlo iterations, with error bars indicating $\pm 2\sigma$ of the iterations and analysis ensembles) and corresponding cross-spectra similar to those shown in Figs. 8(b) and 9(b) but for the case where each experiment uses 200 pseudoproxies: the single time scale reconstructions use 200 pseudoproxies each, while the multi-time scale reconstructions use 100 pseudoproxies for the short time scale and 100 pseudoproxies for the long time scale.