Dear Editor,

Please find below our detailed replies (in blue) and corresponding adjustments of our manuscript consequently to the comments and suggestions formulated by the two reviewers and your final advice. Enclosed is the revised version of the manuscript with all modifications highlighted in color.

The most important adjustments have been:

- We have put special attention to restructuring the introduction-methods-results,
- Improvements to (sub-) titles;
- Filtering text without significant contribution to the aims of the paper;
- Availability of data: When the doi for the final paper is available, we can link the doi to all the online available age models and R-scripts through the open access data depository.

We hope we successfully complied with the comments and suggestions and we feel that the manuscript has improved greatly.

Best regards,

Suzette Flantua, Maarten Blaauw & Henry Hooghiemstra

_____**______**_______**

Response to T. Giesecke: Interactive comment on "Quality assessment of chronologies in Latin American pollen records: a contribution to centennial to millennial scale studies of environmental change" by S. G. A. Flantua, H. Hooghiemstra, and M. Blaauw. doi:10.5194/cpd-11-1219-2015

We much appreciate the review report on our paper and we found it very helpful indeed to prepare an improved draft. We have included nearly all suggestions in the text and here we address each comment with explanation.

1) The authors need to make the results of their work available with this publication.

- This is a very good suggestion, thank you. We will make all chronologies, R code and results of star classification available as a dataset in a publically accessible data depository.

2) Flantua et al. adopt the age uncertainty reporting from the EPD (which needs to be cited as Giesecke et al. 2014) but focus in the text only on the classification system based on the density of dates in time. Giesecke et al. 2014 stress that this classification has to be used in conjunction with the propagation of age uncertainty from the dates through the age model. The intention of this system was also to provide information for individual samples in a database rather than for full records, as dating control often varies through the record.

- We updated the reference Giesecke et al. 2014 in the paper, thank you for the correction.

- Concerning the lacking information on the classification system for individual samples, we could not go into that detail within this paper. As we are aware of this specific recommendation mentioned by Giesecke et al., 2014, we think that by making all results available, researchers will have the necessary data to use the classification at the level of the record and individual samples throughout the age model. To reinforce the importance of the assessment at different levels, we will include the comment from the reviewer in the final section: "Dating control often varies throughout the record, therefore we emphasize the recommendation provided by Giesecke et al. (2014) that the star classification should be used in conjunction with the propagation of age uncertainty from the dates through the age model".

3) Flantua et al. discuss sources of age information including seismic activities that were not discussed by Giesecke et al. 2014, however, I missed a discussion on the uncertainties of these types of age information. Particularly in the case of biostratigraphic dates, the situation in South America is certainly more complex than in Europe. However, the information that samples date to the Lateglacial versus the Holocene is still important information that could be used in Bayesian methods without causing circularities.

Thank you for this observation. Indeed we discuss uncertainties of radiocarbon dates, but we did not address chronological uncertainties of tephra, seismic activities and OSL. Therefore in Section. 3.1 we will briefly discuss potential sources of these uncertainties.

For tephrochronology and OLS we will use: 1) Lowe, D.J., 2008. Uncertainty in tephrochronology. SUPRAnet consortium workshop 'Studying uncertainty in palaeoclimate reconstruction', Sheffield, U.K., 23-27 June 2008. Presentation available at http://caitlinbuck.staff.shef.ac.uk/; 2) Lowe D.J. (2011) Tephrochronology and its application: A review. Quaternary Geochronology, 6, 107–153.

In the case of biostratigraphy we will address uncertainty using Gladenkov Y.B. (2010) Zonal biostratigraphy in the solution of the fundamental and applied problems of geology. Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation, 18, 660–673.

Concerning chronological uncertainties in seismic activities, we decided to remove this section.

4) I am also curious how bottom ages were derived and why you think that 50 years is an adequate age uncertainty for all core tops while we used uncertainties of up to 250 years in Europe.

- Concerning bottom ages we mention P1225, I.24: "Similarly we did not use the basal ages of the authors when based on extrapolations, but allowed the recalibrated age model produce new down-core ages". With this we mean that we used the recalibrated age model to produce the bottom ages.

- Concerning the core tops, we have adjusted the text (P1225, I.20) to explain that only when the authors from the original age model claim to have certainty over the core top (being the sampling year or not), did we use an uncertainty of ±50. We did not use any of the estimated core tops, but as with the bottom ages, let the recalibrated age model produce the ages of the core tops. We decided to use the uncertainty range of ±50 considering that this standard deviation results in ca. 300yr of total uncertainty. We consider this value an appropriate estimate of uncertainty of core top ages. As we will be providing the R-code, anyone interested may adjust this value accordingly.

5) I find the discussion of uncertainties of age estimates important including the shape of particular probability distributions, as Bayesian methods can use them in a statistical way.

- Thank you.

6) The title, abstract and introduction should reflect the two different results presented, namely a database containing all dating information for all Latin America and new age models for north-west South America.

- Thank you for this very valuable suggestion. We adjusted the title and modified the abstract and introduction accordingly.

7) I also do not understand the reason for focusing on the different time periods in this manuscript. I gather that this manuscript is part of a special issue and can imagine that another paper refers to these periods. Otherwise, I cannot see the value of singling out particular periods in the presented manuscript and would consider removing it.

- We understand that being part of the Special Issue of "Millennial-scale variability in the American tropics and subtropics" and the INQUA workgroup of LaACER (Latin-American Abrupt Climate Changes and Environmental Responses) has been insufficiently addressed. We aimed to fulfill the specific goals of the Special Issue and workgroup, but understand that the selection of time periods caused confusion. Therefore we will make important adjustments to main thread of the paper to improve the general context of this study and clarify the aims and purposes of the paper. We do believe that it is valuable to present a full analysis of chronologies from MIS5 to the present independently of the purpose of the Special Issue. The time windows we discuss are continuous and specifying these periods facilitates the integration of results into other initiatives. Therefore removing a figure such as Figure 3, which provides an important overview of the last 60 kyr BP, would exclude the discussion on the late Holocene chronologies.

9) The text is in some sections unnecessary long as it includes anecdotal accounts on particularities of different sites that could be reduced or omitted altogether. Also some in-between explanations are not always needed and make the text unnecessarily long e.g. the explanation of conventional radiocarbon dates P. 1229, L. 10ff.

- Thank you for this observation. We reduced text throughout section 3.1 Control Points.

10) The title is unfortunate as it suggests the assessment of the quality of work of other palaeoecologists whose data are used.

- We understand the confusion of the suggestive title on data assessment. Therefore the title has been adjusted.

11) Also in the text the authors should consider that the purpose of the individual contributions that were reviewed was not to contribute to large-scale analysis but to address a local problem.

- Thank you for this suggestion. In the introduction we added the phrase: An additional difficulty is that the development of large-scale analyses is relatively recent, demanding occasionally a different approach to and data handling of individual pollen records. The latter were most often developed to explore questions on a local or regional terrain, unacquainted with requirements for multi-site integration.

12) P. 1220, L. 4: This is a strong statement and maybe not what you mean to express.

- We understand that the statement "Heterogeneous paleoecological databases are not suitable to be integrated without an uncertainty assessment of existing chronologies" might be strong, but we consider that uncertainty assessment of chronologies should be a first step in all multi-site and multi-proxy studies before making statements on observed "synchronous" events. To smooth off the rough edges of the statement, we changed it to: "However, all efforts to integrate paleoecological databases would highly benefit from an uncertainty assessment of existing chronologies".

13) The LAPD is not a heterogeneous database (see also P. 1221, L. 10) as it contains only pollen data. The age control between sites is heterogenic, hampering detailed comparisons and meta-analysis.

- Thank you for this observation. We removed "heterogeneous" in P.1221, L 10 as it is actually redundant.

13) P. 1221, L. 24 and throughout: You probably mean Giesecke et al. 2014 rather than 2012.

- Yes, thank you for this correction. We had an older version of this paper where 2012 was mentioned, but now we corrected this throughout the paper.

14) P. 1222, L. 20: Our intention was to describe the age uncertainty for individual samples rather than sites. In that system the classification needs to be combined with the uncertainty from the age model.

- We regard the purpose of this paper to provide an overview at the level of the sites. By providing all produced results and mentioning the importance of combining the assessment at the age model and sample level (now addressed in the Conclusions and Recommendations), each researcher has the possibility to review the findings and use them accordingly. The Supplementary information will contain the star results for the entire sites and individual depths per site.

15) P. 1225, L. 9-10: Confusing statement please consider revising.

- We adjusted the statement to: For example, the "Guantiva interstadial" (van der Hammen and González, 1965; van Geel and van der Hammen, 1973) and "El Abra stadial" (Kuhry et al., 1993; van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 1995) are commonly used biostratigraphic dates within Colombia. These periods are considered to be equivalent to the European Allerød Interstadial and the Younger Dryas sequence, respectively (van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 1995).

16) P. 1225, L. 21: What do you mean by 1 SD in brackets after 50 year uncertainty?

- Without further explanation, we understand that this comment causes confusion. We removed "1SD".

17) P. 1228, L. 14: The explanation of the abbreviation kyr BP in between MIS 5 and MIS3 is confusing.

- We adjusted this sentence as followed: Therefore, we focused on the following time windows: MIS 5 (c. 130–70 thousand years before present, here abbreviated to kyr BP), MIS 3 (c. 60–27 kyr BP), Heinrich event 1 (H1; c. 18–15 kyr BP), and the YD/Holocene transition (c. 12.86–11.65 kyr BP).

18) P. 1228, L. 14ff: I would assume that few records fall into this time period. Why was there no focus on a period in the Holocene, e.g. the moisture increase during the late Holocene? See also general comment on time periods.

- We understand that the reviewer would have preferred additional assessments on other periods of time. Within the projects in which we collaborated, the main focus has been on rapid climate events over a longer time period, such as the MISs here shown. Now that all results are made available, we hope that other researchers will elaborate more on other time periods such as changes during the Holocene.

19) P. 1229, L. 26: New sentence starts with citation in brackets.

- Corrected, thank you.

20) P. 1235, L. 19: It should be made clear that this is what was submitted by the authors or reported in publications. The heading is not reflecting the content of this section.

- We adjusted the heading to: Current age models and calibration curves

21) P. 1237, L. 4-5: The star assignment is fairly simple and I suppose M. Blaauw could either fix the R-code to make it more robust or the classes could be assigned manually.

- We agree that the star assignment system can be further developed to also solve the problem here mentioned that: "The star system classification did not assign stars to 4 chronologies, when the calibrated ages overlapped over a very short time range creating conflicts within the star calculation function". We think that for now this simple approach is sufficiently suitable for the wide range of age models assessed here, leaving open the possibility to improve the star classification system in collaboration with other researchers on South America.

22) P. 1237, L. 23: May this be due to the fact that the top is a date for a large number of sites?

- Probably not, because from many sites we did not use their top age when based on an estimate solely. Only sites with a measured top age were used.

23) P. 1240, L. 20ff: In discussion on why people are not using Bayesian methods I miss the motivation of why the presented study did not use these tools.

- Very good observation, thank you. As we describe for the example of applying Bayesian methods (P. 1236, L.13), these authors used "a priori information on sedimentation rates and tephra layers to construct the age model and consequently derive the best age for an uncertain tephra deposition". The success of the use of Bayesian methods depends partly on the background knowledge of the researchers (e.g. knowledge of accumulation rates of comparable sites in the region) to adjust the age model accordingly. As we do not pretend to have this *a priori* information to make full use of the results obtained from Bayesian modelling, we think it's more appropriate to motivate researchers to consider this method for future studies. In a paper currently in preparation, Blaauw et al will address the fact that even if the researchers find themselves without much prior knowledge of regional accumulation rates, Bayesian methods could well provide more realistic estimates of chronological uncertainties than classical methods.

Therefore we mention at P. 1241, L. 8: Researchers should make use of the freely available character of the Bayesian software packages to test multiple age-depth models, compare models that best approximate their knowledge of the sediment conditions, and address these comparisons in their studies.

24) P.1242, L. 15-16: The stars are only a classification of the temporal density of radiocarbon dates and need to be considered in conjunction with the age uncertainties provided by the age depth model.

- We added this important comment to the Conclusion and Recommendations section, thank you.

25) P. 1242, L. 25: I appreciate your frustration with the reporting of age determinations, but would disagree in two points: 1) the original research question leading to a site based investigation may NOT require detailed chronological information. 2) Ideally the information should be submitted by the authors to database and may not need to be presented in full in the publication.

- We understand your comment more as an additional recommendation than as a disagreement with our statement that "it is important that authors report at the necessary detail the chronology of their sediment core". Research questions may not have the purpose to develop detailed chronological information, but all studies considering a temporal feature should provide at least the minimum chronological backup to support their temporal assessment and statements. We encourage researchers who currently do not report the basic chronological information (e.g. depths of ¹⁴C dates, calibration method used, etc.), to work within a minimum set of information. We agree with the second suggestion of the reviewer that submitting the information to a database would be an important achievement.

Response to MP Ledru: Interactive comment on "Quality assessment of chronologies in Latin American pollen records: a contribution to centennial to millennial scale studies of environmental change" by S. G. A. Flantua, H. Hooghiemstra, and M. Blaauw. doi:10.5194/cpd-11-1219-2015

We much appreciate the review report on our paper and we found it very helpful indeed to prepare an improved draft. We have included nearly all comments in the text and here we address each comment with explanation.

1) I understand that this paper is part of a special issue on the last termination. However I can see it is actually (at least) two different papers in one: i) a quality assessment of the chronology of the pollen database for NW-SA, and ii) the use of the database to characterize the last termination. The second part could come as a regional application of the quality assessment of the data. In this case, it needs to be better separated from the first part. In addition, the whole section 3 is dedicated to presenting the LAPDChronDB, which is off topic, as it is neither the NW-SA nor the last termination. This is an excellent paper which certainly deserves publication in Climate of the Past. However the main thread of the paper is not clear and the paper thus requires major reorganization before being published.

- Thank you for this valuable comment. To improve the structure of the paper and to explain better the connection between both sections, we made adjustments in the Title, the Abstract, Introduction and structure of the Methods. In the improved draft of the manuscript we aimed at providing a much improved structure set out to the reader within the context of our working group.

2) The title is not representative of the content of the paper, although this is perhaps because I am confused about the main subject of the paper.

- Thank you for this suggestion. We adjusted the title.

3) The authors mention they have been re-calibrating all the data, but it is not clear why.

- This is an important observation and we are grateful for the comment. Updated calibration curves (currently IntCal13/SHCal13; Reimer et al. 2013; Hogg et al. 2013) reflect our latest understanding of how 14C ages fluctuate over calendar time, and recalibrating 14C dates with the latest calibration curve will result in better age estimates. Many of the sites within the database were analysed using calibration curves that have now become obsolete. In section 2.2 Chronology evaluation for Northwest South America we added an additional explanation: New chronologies were generated with updated calibration curves to be able to implement the star classification system and to provide alternative chronologies to the researchers from the original chronologies to evaluate possible differences between calibration curves.

4) We would need an example of the possible benefits of re-calibration. How are the authors going to use their re-calibrated data? What do they intend to suggest to those who want to download the metadata from Neotoma and use a proper age model? Could they use the new one, if it is accessible?

We will make all results available through the supplementary information of CP and Fig.Share, the LAPD website (will be launched shortly) and as an additional feature in Neotoma. Thank you for the suggestion.

5) Other methods (including probability density functions) have been tested to avoid discussing the quality of an age model when one wants to use pollen counts that do not support a good chronological control (see for instance Hély et al. 2014). These methods should be added to the discussion. Hély, C., A.M. Lézine, and APD contributors. 2014. Holocene changes in African vegetation: tradeoff between climate and water availability. Climate of the Past 10: 681-686.

Thank you for the suggested reference. In the section 4.2 Temporal uncertainty assessment of chronologies we added a comment considering the use of probability density function with Hély et al., 2014 as an example.

6) Seismic activities page 1233, line 33-39 The gaps in the records from the Choco are probably due to seismic activity but never show synchroneity (see also Lim et al. 2014).

This observation is corrected. From Urrego et al. 2006 we assumed more synchronicity than actually occurred. We have removed this section totally as we felt that it was not adding more to the discussion of the paper.

7) The discussion about the use of the NH or of the SH correction in the section 3.3 Calibration curves and software is interesting. Why don't you give an example of a calculation using the two corrections and show the time difference?

The existence of a ¹⁴C age difference of up to a few decades between the northern and southern hemisphere is well known and has been discussed extensively in the literature, e.g. McCormac et al. 1998 (Geophysical Research Letters 25(9), 1321-1324; Turkney & Palmer, 2007 (Quaternary

research 67(1), 174-180; Hogg et al. 2013 (Radiocarbon 55, 1889–1903). The difference between the NH and SH calibration curve is ca. 40yr but differs in time. Therefore we do not think that showing examples of ¹⁴C dates calibrated with IntCal13 and SHCal13 is particularly relevant for our current paper.

8) Concerning the description of sedimentation conditions (p. 1241 line 8-9), this is an important piece of information and should be discussed earlier as, apart from BACON software, age models rarely take this point into consideration.

Thank you for this comment. We added at P.1236 L.15 the following phrase: The authors included a priori information on sedimentation rates and tephra-layers to construct the age model and consequently derive the best age for an uncertain tephra deposition. The use of the sedimentation conditions is a highly relevant component for age model development but rarely seen to be taken into account.

9) The Bayesian approach (section 4.2) should appear in the age model, in the methods section 2.3.

We moved the section on Bayesian approach from the Discussion section to the Results section. Thank you for this suggestion.

10) Figure 3: Would MIS 3 stand as an example of the use of re-calibration?

Yes. The re-calibration was aimed to a) provide alternative age models for those records without age models or with only linear interpolation; b) implement the star classification system on the updated age models; c) use the new, longer calibration curve, which now covers the MIS3, for southern hemisphere records. We included an additional comment to the figure caption to emphasize this.

11) Does figure 3 show the results of NW-SA or LAPD? Why only MIS 3? Why not all the data for NW-SA?

To create Fig. 3 data from NW-SA were used. We decided not to use the chronologies beyond MIS3 because of the very low number of sites available (Fig. 3b and Fig.4).

12) The following references should be added: Blaauw and Christen, 2011 Maezumi et al., 2015

- We checked these references both in the text (both on P. 1236) as also in the reference list, and they were correctly mentioned in the current paper. We are not sure what else the reviewer could be meaning.

Quality assessment of chronologies in Latin American pollen records: a contribution to centennial to millennial scale studies of environmental changeGeochronological database and classification system for age uncertainties in Neotropical Pollen records

Flantua, S.G.A.¹, Hooghiemstra, H.⁴, Blaauw, M.², Hooghiemstra, H.¹ and Data Contributors³

[1]{<u>Research group of Palaeoecology and Landscape Ecology</u>, Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED), University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, <u>the</u> Netherlands.}

[2]{School of Geography, Archaeology & Palaeoecology, Queen's University Belfast, UK}

Correspondence to: S.G.A. Flantua ()[3] {Original depth files were provided by studies from the following data contributors (in alphabetic order): H. Behling, J-C. Berrío, C. Brunschön, A. Cleef, C. González-Arango, Z., González-Carranza, R.A.J. Grabandt, K. Graf, A. Gómez, W. Gosling, B.S.C., Hansen, K.F. Helmens, N. Jantz, P. Kuhry, B.W. Leyden, A. Melief, M.C. Moscol-Olivera, H. Niemann, F. Rodriguez, V. Rull, M.L. Salgado-Labouriau, J.B. Salomons, E.J. Schreve-Brinkman, D. Urrego, L.E. Urrego, T. Van der Hammen, C. Velásquez-Ruiz, M.I. Vélez, A. Villota, M. Wille, J.J. Williams.}

Correspondence to: S.G.A. Flantua (s.g.a.flantua@uva.nl)

Abstract

The newly updated inventory of the-palaeoecological research in Latin America Latin American Pollen Database (LAPD) offers an important overview of data-sites available for multi-proxy and multi-site purposes. From the recollected literature supporting this inventory, However, heterogeneous paleoecological databases are not suitable to be integrated without an uncertainty assessment of existing chronologies. Therefore, we collected all chronological control points and all available age model metadata from the LAPD literature to create a complementary chronological database of 5116 control points dates (e.g. ¹⁴C, tephra, fission track, OSL, ²¹⁰Pb) from 1097 pollen records. Based on this literature review, we present We start with ana overviewsummary of chronological database and reporting in the

Neotropics- Central and South America. Specific problems Difficulties and recommendations for chronology reporting are discussed. Furthermore, for 22833 pollen records in northwest South-America, a classification system for age uncertainties is implemented based on New-chronologies- generated with updated calibration curves-are generated for 233 pollen records based on updated calibration curves -... With these outcomes an age models areis offered produced forto those sites without an existing chronology, an-alternative age models are is-provided for researchers interested in to-comparing the effects of differente calibration curves and age-depth modelling software, and the importance of uncertainty assessments of chronologies is highlighted-. Subsequently, we implement a temporal quality assessment of pollen records from northwest South America to support research on climate forcers and responses at a centennial millennial time scale. New chronologies are generated for 233 pollen records based on updated calibration curves. Different time windows are discussed on sSample resolution and temporal uncertainty of ages are discussed for different time windows, focusing on with a special interest for events relevantce for research on centennial to -millennial-scale climate variability. All outcomes and developed R scripts are publically available. Approximately one in four pollen diagrams depicts <500 years resolution data at the Younger Dryas/Holocene transition. Overall, our analyses suggest that the temporal resolution of multi-site syntheses of late Pleistocene fossil pollen records in the northwest South America is ca. 240 years, a resolution which allows analysis of ecological responses to centennial millennial scale climate change during the last deglaciation.

Keywords

<u>Geochronological Database - Latin American Pollen Database – Northwest South America – Temporal</u> <u>uncertainty assessment - Centennial Millennium time scale climate variability – Latin American Pollen</u> Database – Northwest South America – Centennial-Millennialum time-scale climate variability uncertainty assessment

1_Introduction

Temporal uncertainty remains a challenge in databases of fossil -pollen records (Blois et al., 2011). The demands for precise and accurate chronologies have increased and so have the questions needing higher

resolution data with accurate chronologies (Brauer et al., 2014). The increasing number of studies testing for potential synchronous patterns in paleo-proxies (Jennerjahn et al., 2004; Gajewski et al., 2006; Blaauw et al., 2007; Chambers et al., 2007; Blaauw et al., 2010; Giesecke et al., 2011; Austin et al., 2012) rely heavily on extremely-precise correlationcomparison between different records. Hypotheses have been proposelled as to whether abrupt climatic changes were regionally and altitudinally synchronous, or whether there were significant 'leads' and 'lags' between and/or within the atmospheric, marine, terrestrial and cryospheric realms (Blockley et al., 2012). The popular 'curve-matching' of proxy data has been thea cornerstone foron correlating potential synchronous events, but this method neglects timetransgressive climate change (Blaauw, 2012; Lane et al., 2013). Thus, accurate age-depth modelling has been identified as crucial to derive conclusions on climate change signals from different paleo-archives (Seddon et al., 2014).

It is important to identify those few (,-but growing numbers of) records which have relatively precise chronological information (Blois et al., 2011; Seddon et al., 2014; Sundqvist et al., 2014). The development of large-scale analyses is relatively recent, demanding occasionally a different approach to data handling of individual pollen records. The latter were most often developed to explore questions on a local or regional terrain, by researchers unacquainted with requirements for multi-site integration. MA multi-site temporal assessments haves recently been presented for the European Pollen Database (EPD; Fyfe et al., 2009; Giesecke et al., 2014), for the African Pollen database (Hélyet al., 2014) and for the North American pollen database (Blois et al., 2011), but for Latin America this important assessment is still missing.

To support multi-site and multi-proxy comparison, the recollectingen of chronological information of pollen records and implementation of an-uncertainty assessments on their temporal spinal cords is an indispensable step. The recently updated inventory of palaeoecological studies in Latin America (Flantua et al., 2013; 2015a; Grimm et al., 2013) shows the vast amount of available palynological sites with potential geochronological data throughout the continent. Therefore, we created a geochronological database originating from the updated Latin American Pollen Database (LAPD) and corresponding literature database (1956-2014). Here we summarize the recollected metadata on chronological dating and reporting in Neotropical studies. We describe the most commonly used dating methods, age modelling and calibration methods, and discuss fields of highest potential improvement in line with international recommendations. Furthermore, with the aim of enriching the discussion on uncertainty assessments of age models and exemplifying the use of geochronological data recollection, we produce

age models from pollen records in northwest South-America (NW-SA). Updated calibration curves are used and we evaluate the temporal uncertainty of age models by a conceptual framework proposed by Giesecke et al. (2014) for ranking the quality of the chronologies as well as₇ the individual ¹⁴C ages and depths with pollen countssamples. Based on the combined temporal quality and resolution assessment, the time windows best suitable for inter-site and inter-proxy comparison are highlighted. The resulting chronologies are not assumed to be the best age models, but serve as an a-alternative or potential age models for studies lacking published chronologies, reinforced by a temporal uncertainty assessment. We postulate that this study serves as a guidance to open up the discussion in South America on temporal quality of pollen records by providing a method openly accessible for adjustments and improvements. To stimulate reuse for new analyses and capacity building on age modelling, all outcomes and R scripts are available from data repositories.

Understanding millennial-scale climate variability during the last glacial is increasingly the focus of current research into past climate change, such as earth system responses to rapid events (Ammann et al., 2000; Clement and Peterson, 2008; Urrego et al., 2009), teleconnections (Fritz et al., 2010) and the synchronous display of paleoclimate events in different paleo proxies (Villalba et al., 2009; Austin et al., 2012). The mechanisms of responses to events of rapid climate change provide important insights to develop improved scenarios of ecosystem reactions to future environmental changes. The assessment of ecosystem resilience to millennial scale climate variability requires a set of high quality databases from different proxies. Integration of proxies can help to determine whether abrupt climatic changes were regionally and altitudinally synchronous, or whether there were significant 'leads' and 'lags' between and/or within the atmospheric, marine, terrestrial and cryospheric realms (Blockley et al., 2012). Therefore, reconstructions based on multi proxy datasets at millennial scale resolution are a key stone for linking important questions on current climate change responses to what we can understand from the past (Urrego et al., 2014).

However, temporal uncertainty remains a challenge in heterogeneous databases of fossil pollen records (Blois et al., 2011). The demands for precise and accurate chronologies have increased and so have the questions needing high resolution data with accurate chronologies (Brauer et al., 2014). The increasing number of studies testing for potential synchronous patterns in paleo proxies (Jennerjahn et al., 2004; Gajewski et al., 2006; Blaauw et al., 2007; Chambers et al., 2007; Blaauw et al., 2010; Giesecke et al., 2011: Austin et al., 2012), rely heavily on extremely precise correlation between different records. The popular 'curve matching' of proxy data has been the cornerstone on correlating potential teleconnections, but this method neglects time transgressive climate change (Blaauw, 2012; Lane et al., 2013). Thus, accurate age depth modelling has been identified as crucial to derive conclusions on climate change signals from paleo-archives (Seddon et al., 2014). Consequently, an increasing number of working groups have declared this their central aim to develop in the present and near future, such as the INTIMATE¹-initiative (Blockley et al., 2012), Neotoma Age Modelling Working Group (Grimm et al., 2014) and the INQUA¹ International Focus group, ACER¹ (Sanchez Goñi and Harrison, 2010). The Latin American version of the latter, LaACER, has proposed to integrate high quality paleo records to improve our understanding of consequences of millennial scale variability in the tropics (Urrego et al., 2014). Before correlating different paleo records, the first step is to assess the chronological quality of individual records.

To correctly correlate paleoclimate records and integrate results, it is important to identify those few, but growing numbers of records which have relatively precise chronological information (Blois et al., 2011; Seddon et al., 2014; Sundqvist et al., 2014). Within the total spatial coverage of regional pollen databases, such assessment has recently been presented for the European Pollen Database (EPD) (Fyfe et al., 2009; Giesecke et al., 2012) and for the North American pollen database (Blois et al., 2011), but for Latin America this important assessment is still missing. The recently updated Latin America Pollen Database (LAPD; Flantua et al., 2013; Grimm et al., 2013; Flantua et al., in review) shows the vast amount of available palynological sites with geochronological data throughout the continent, but to support multi site and multi proxy research on centennial to millennial time scale, a quality assessment of the chronology is evidently urgent.

As a contribution to the LaACER initiative (this issue), this paper starts with a brief overview of chronological dating and reporting available from pollen records in Central and South America. We

⁴-INTIMATE: The INTegration of Ice core, Marine and TErrestrial records of the last termination; INQUA: International Union for Quaternary Science; ACER: Abrupt Climate Changes and Environmental Responses

describe the most commonly used dating methods, age modelling and calibration methods, and discuss fields of highest potential improvement in line with international recommendations. Secondly, we assess the chronological quality of pollen records from a specific region of the LAPD, namely the countries from northwest. South America. (NW SA). We evaluate the temporal uncertainty of age models by a conceptual framework proposed by (Giesecke et al., 2012) for ranking the quality of the site chronologies and the individual.¹⁴C ages. For internal consistency, all chronologies from the NW SA are regenerated with updated calibration curves for both the Northern and the Southern Hemisphere going back to 50 kcal BP (IntCal13 and SHCal13; Reimer et al., 2013; Hogg et al., 2013). Subsequently the highest temporal resolution -currently possible is estimated from a site specific and synoptic perspective, in which resolution is calculated as the time between two consecutive depths with proxy information. Based on the combined temporal quality and resolution assessment, the time windows best suitable for inter site and inter proxy comparison are discussed. The resulting chronologies are not assumed to be the best age models, but serve as a guidance to discuss the current resolution and temporal quality of South American pollen records for research into centennial to millennial climate variability.

2 Methods

2.1 Geochronological database of Central and South Americathe Neotropics

To obtain an overview of the control points and age modelling methods used in pollen records throughout the <u>region-continent</u>, we performed a thorough review of the LAPD and corresponding literature database (Flantua et al., <u>2015ain review</u>). A total of 1245 publications were checked on <u>regarding</u> their chronological information covering 1369 sites. For 270 sites only biostratigraphic dates were mentioned, no chronological details were provided, or the original publications with specifications were not found. These sites originate primarily from the 1970s and the 1980s, although even some recent publications lack <u>enclosing</u> details on the chronology. All other sites consisting of at least one chronological reference point enter at this stage the geochronological database <u>at this stage</u> (Fig. 1). On <u>T</u>the <u>following</u> chronology <u>the following</u> metadata was collected for each site: *Site Name, Year of Data Preparation, Age Model, Calibration Method, Software, Material Dated, Depth (min, max, mean), Thickness, Laboratory number, pMC (error),* ¹³*C adjusted (± standard deviation),* ¹⁴*C date (min, max, errors), Reservoir correction, Calibrated age (min, max, best age, errors), Additional relevant comments from authors.* Furthermore, all additional parameters needed to <u>correctly</u> reconstruct correctly the chronologies, such as presence of hiatus, slumps, contaminated control points and other outliers

identified by authors, were included. As a result, the <u>LAPD-Neotropical</u> –Geochronological Database (<u>LAPDNeotrop</u>-ChronDB) currently contains <u>a</u>at total <u>of</u> 5116 chronological dates from 1097 sites throughout the <u>continent.study area</u>.

2.2 Chronology evaluation for Northwest South America

To assess the temporal uncertainty of chronologies within a specific region of interest, namely NW SA, the following steps were undertaken: From the LAPD ChronDB, all sites present in Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Bolivia were selected (Fig.1 in grey). When more than one chronological date was available, new chronologies were generated with the updated calibration curves for the northern and the southern hemisphere and maintained as closely as possible to the authors' interpretation of the age model. (Section 2.3). The quality evaluation of age models consists of implementing the method proposed by Giesecke et al. (2012) and will be explained in the Section 2.4, followed by temporal resolution estimates at an site specific and synoptic level within different time windows (Section 2.5).

2.32.2 Age model generation

From the Neotrop-ChronDB, all sites present in Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Bolivia were extracted (Fig. 1, countries in grey). Over 300 publications were consulted for the to recalibrateion of control points and rebuild age models of 292-228 pollen records (see Supplement, Table S1). When more than one chronological date was available, new chronologies were generated with the updated calibration curves for the northern and the southern hemispheres, and maintained as closely as possible to the authors' interpretation of the age model. New chronologies were generated with updated calibration curves to a) be able to implement the temporal uncertainty analysis (the "star classification system"); b) to provide age models to studies without chronologies; c) to provide alternative age models for records based on older calibration curves; d) to estimate the temporal resolution of pollen records in general and at specific time windows of interest in NW-SA.

Chronology control points:

The most common control points are radiocarbon dates. For the age model generation we included the reported uncertainty of a date regardless of its origin (conventional dates or Accelerator Mass

Spectrometry (AMS)-date). Additional important control points in constructing chronologies are ages derived from tephra layers from volcanic material, radioactive <u>isotope of lead isotopes</u> (²¹⁰Pb) and fission track dates.

Biostratigraphic dates:

Before exact dating by ¹⁴C became available and more affordable, many records relied on the identification of presumably synchronous onsets of the Lateglacial, such as numerous pollen records from the Valle de Lagunillas (González et al., 1966) and Sierra Nevada (Van der Hammen, 1984). The transition of the Pleistocene/Holocene is often mentioned as an important reference point in diagrams, as is the Younger Dryas (YD). The onset of the Bølling/Allerød is less frequently used, whereas referring and correlating regionally defined stadials and interstadials is more popular. For example, the 'Guantiva interstadial' (Van der Hammen and González, 1965; Van Geel and Van der Hammen, 1973) and 'El Abra stadial' (Kuhry et al., 1993; Van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 1995) are commonly used biostratigraphic dates within Colombia, being the first considered to be an equivalent to the European Allerød Interstadial and the latter the Younger Dryas sequence (Van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 1995). Similarly in the tropical Venezuelan Andes, the 'Anteojos' cold phase was proposed as equivalent to the cold reversal of the YD and in some aspects comparable to El Abra (Rull et al., 2010).

Although high resolution well dated pollen records are becoming available, f<u>F</u>or the generation of the recalibrated age models, we did not use stratigraphic dates were not used. Use of these layers would ignore the possibility that for example the palynologically-detectable onset of the Holocene was asynchronous throughout northern South America.ca. Therefore any further inferences on spatial leads, lags or synchroneity would become flawed. Only in very few cases were very recent time markers used like the introduction of *Pinus*.

Core tops and basal ages: The <u>non-'decapitated' top of the sediment sequence sediment surface</u> can be assigned to the year of sampling, if explicitly mentioned by the authors as the result of being the youngest sample in an undisturbed way. Frequently, however, assigning depths to core tops adds a factor of uncertainty because the uppermost sediments have not been consolidated and <u>are can recurrently be</u> lost during coring. We did not use most of the estimated core tops as additional ages, but as with the bottom ages, let the recalibrated age model produce the new ages of the core tops. In case of considerable extrapolation or heavy overshooting of the age model (very young top ages)-, did we

produced analternative age models with-including the estimated top age. We decided to use the uncertainty range of ±50 yr considering that this standard deviation results in c. 300 yr of total uncertainty. We consider this value an appropriate estimate of uncertainty of core top ages. As the R-code of the procedures here presented areis made available, researchers may adjust this value accordinglyUncertainties of core top ages are hard to estimate but are here put at 50 yr (1 standard deviation). The age of core tops estimated by extrapolation were not included but replaced by the estimates provided by the new age model method. Extrapolations from the new chronologies that went beyond -50 cal yr BP (years before AD 1950) were not used for the estimates on resolution.

Calibration curves:

The South American continent covers both the northern hemisphere (NH) as well as the southern hemisphere (SH). The previous SH calibration curve (SHCalO4) only extended to 11 kcal-BPthousand calibrated years before present (here abbreviated as kcal BP). In age model tools like CLAM (Blaauw, 2010), options were provided to "glue" the NH calibration curve to the SH curve to extend back to 50 k-cal (thousands of calibrated years) -BP. However, recently the SH calibration curve was extended to 50 kcal BP updated with new datasets (Hogg et al., 2013) and now replaces obviates the need to use the NH curve for older dates in the SH. This provides new opportunities to recalibrate age models with updated calibration information and produce additional sample ages for reevaluation. Nevertheless, tropical regions still face an uncertainty factor open for-to discussion, namely the southern limit of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITZC). McCormac et al. (2004) defined this limit to be the boundary between the NH and the -SH, but models need additional data to better determine its exact location through time (McGee et al., 2014). For internal consistency we assigned the curve according to the general delimitation by Hogg et al. (2013) and Hua et al. (2013), or used the preferred calibration curve by the authors for the creation of the chronology. Mayle et al. (2000) for example, explicitly explain why their site in the Bolivian Amazonia experiences NH influences. Finally, a total of 13-22 sites include postbomb dating for which 5 different regional curves options exist (Hua et al., 2013). Post-bomb calibration curves were as used by original authors or assigned according to Hua et al. (2013).

Age model methods

Depending on the number of available control points, two age-depth models were created per site. All age-depth relationships were reconstructed using the R-code CLAM version 2.2 (Blaauw, 2010; R Development Core Team, 2014), which is an R code for 'classic age-modelling' (Blaauw and Heegaard, 2012). The simplest age model-possible, namely the linear interpolation method, produces a straightforward interpolation. It connects individual control points with straight lines which is in most cases unrealistic as it assumes abrupt changes in sedimentation rates at, and only at, the dated depths in the sediment core. The second age model method we used is the *smoothing spline*, with a default smoothing factor of 0.3. This interpolation method produces a curve between two-points that is also influenced by more distant control points. This method provides a smoother outline of age model and is considered to produce a more realistic model of the sedimentation process compared to the linear interpolation method. However, smoothing splines can only be performed-modelled at sites that present 4 or more control points. Furthermore, age models were not run on cores that were problematic from the start. Examples are: cores where a hiatus/slump disrupts the age model in a way that no linear interpolation is possible; cores with many age reversals (,-when an older date lies above a younger date with limited dates collected); and cores with many nearly identical radiocarbon dates nearly identical regardless of depth. Studies using tuning methods to establish their age models were not included.

For each site, tThe two newly produced models were evaluated, selecting the mostre appropriate one in accordance to the authors' description, with a general preference for the smoothing spline.

Sample depths and ages

The sample depths were derived from either the raw dataset <u>provided by the authors from the original</u> <u>paper</u> or from the specifications and figures in the original publication. In a few cases, neither were available, so a 10-cm sample interval was assigned <u>based on our assessments of the most likely depths</u> <u>for such dates</u>. The sample age is obtained as the highest-probability age based on the distribution of estimated ages from 1000 Monte Carlo runs and the uncertainties are provided as 95 % confidence intervals.

Age model check

For each site, the newly produced models were evaluated and if necessary adjustments were made to deal with obvious outliers, 'overshooting' of the age model towards the top, and degree of 'smoothness' of the smooth spline model. Outliers were identified visually when control points deviated excessively from the general depth-age tendency. To solve the over-extrapolation at the top (future dates), additional age models were created that included estimated surface dates. In some cases the default smoothing level of 0.3 was adjusted to 'touch' more of the available dates or to avoid an age reversal in the model. The most appropriate age model was selected in accordance to the authors' description, with a general preference for the smoothing spline model. With this model, we calculated the multi-site summary values, such as overall resolution and star classification system.

Data accessibility

The original data, the R-codes and the recalibrated age models from this paper are available through: http://dx.doi.org/xxx/xxx. We provide a manual that explains step by step the setup of the data and the use of the codes. For each individual pollen record, the corresponding folder contains the description of the original age model (copyright prevented the inclusion of no-pictures/figures-were included to the copy right properties), details on the recalibrated age models and the outcomes of the star classification system at sample level.

2.42.3 Age model evaluation Temporal uncertainty estimates by the star classification system

We followed the age model evaluation proposed by Giesecke et al. (20142) to define the temporal quality and uncertainty of the chronologies and individual samples. An uncertainty classification based on the assignationg of semi-quantitative "stars" focuses on the density of control point. The classification is additive and samples are assigned to the lowest class (a single star) where the estimated sample age is within 2000 years of the nearest control point. Additive stars are given at 1000-year and 500-year proximity to the nearest control point (Table 1). In addition to the three stars that characterize proximity to the nearest control point, an extra star is given to samples that are situated in a straight section of the sequence. The 'straightness' star is given to a sample where, within the nearest four control points, the modelled sediment accumulation rate changes less than 20%. Only sequences with at least four control

points can obtain such an additional star. The evaluation is based on the position of the sample relative to the control points and is independent of the interpolation procedure. Therefore stars are assigned to both the linear interpolation and smooth spline output unless insufficient control points are available for the latter. The outcome of this classification produces a text file with the assigned number of stars for each sample along the core that is based on the depth file. The star classification is visualized along the vertical axis of the age model with coloured symbols -bars-(Fig 2).

2.52.4 Time window assessment

Rapid events of climate change events-occurred during the Dansgaard-Oescher (D-O) cycles spanning the last glacial cycle and during the Holocene. Recently published pollen records, like at Lake Titicaca, Bolivia (Fritz et al., 2010) and Lake Fúquene, Colombia (Groot et al., 2011) show clear evidence of millennial climate variability of large –amplitude during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 4 to 2. As an example of the implementation of the star classification system, we select –a series of consecutive time windows relevant for paleoclimate reconstructions at millennial time-scale. These time windows are: MIS 5 (MIS 5 (c. 121-71130-70 kyr BP thousand yearskcal BP) (kyr BP), MIS 3 (c. 60-27 kcalyr BP; Van Meerbeeck et al., 2009), Heinrich event 1 (H1; c. 18-15 kcalyr BP; Álvarez-Solas et al., 2011), and the <u>Younger Dryas</u> (YD)/Holocene transition (c. 12,86 - 11,65 kyr-kcal BP; Rasmussen et al., 2006). For these time windows we summarize and discuss - We will discuss both-the temporal resolution and control-point density (the star classification system) - at these stages or events for a conclusive overview for paleoclimate reconstructions at millennial time-scale. All statistical calculations were done with the use of R (R Development Core Team, 2014).

3 Results

3.1 Chronological data in Central and South Americathe Neotropics

The number of available pollen records <u>in this region</u> has increased considerably in the last 20 years (Flantua et al., <u>in 2015areview</u>). During recent years, the number of <u>ages control points</u> used for stratigraphic age models has trended upwards; since 2010, the mean and median number of dates

<u>control points</u> per published pollen site has been five and three, respectively (Flantua et al., in <u>2015areview</u>). Here we enter provide in more detail on the available chronologies, describing the most commonly used control points for dating, age modelling and calibration methods.

3.1 Control points

Radiocarbon dates

The LAPD ChronDBNeotrop-ChronDB stores a total of 5,116 dates of which the most common control points are radiocarbon $\frac{14}{C}$ dates. Radiocarbon $\frac{14}{C}$ dating has been used to date pollen records for more than five decades now. The first dated records in South America came from the Orinoco delta of Venezuela (Muller, 1959), and from Colombian sites such as Ciudad Universitaria, Laguna de la América, and Páramo de Palacio (Van der Hammen and González, 1960) and Laguna de Petenxil in Guatemala (Tsudaka, 1967). These first control points, and many that followed in the initial decades, were estimated by patiently counting beta particles (could take days to months of counting time), i.e. the product of decay of ¹⁴C atoms. In the early stages of ¹⁴C measurement, this technique required a minimal sample size of 0.5 g carbon (Povinec et al., 2009), while sample sizes differed greatly among materials (Bowman, 1990). In paleoecological research, this has always been a limiting factor as natural samples generally present a small ¹⁴C/C ratio. As a consequence material to obtain a ¹⁴C date sometimes originated from a wide depth interval of the sediment core. Sites like Cala Conto, Bolivia (Graf, 1992), Lago Mascardi-Gutierrez, Argentina (Markgraf, 1983) and several sites from the Venezuelan Tepuys (Rull, 1991) mention a sample thickness of 50 cm and more (i.e. spanning several centuries of sedimentation) to obtain one single ¹⁴C control point. Even some recent sites at Trinidad and Tobago, namely Nariva Sand Hill West and Trough (Ramcharan, 2004) needed more than a meter of section for dating material. ThereforeConsequently, conventional radiocarbon dating based on bulk samples of lake sediments is often a high--risk undertaking as it can result in a substantial uncertainty and puzzling date estimates. (Vaughan et al., 1985) endured the consequences for Lake Petén: 29⁻¹⁴C measurements were rejected as meaningless due to various existing dating difficulties.

The great breakthrough came from the development of AMS dating in 1977 that consisted of direct counting of the ¹⁴C atoms present in a sample (Bowman, 1990; Povinec et al., 2009). This technique reduced the requirements for sample size and therefore improved the accuracy of samples. Furthermore, the required counting time to obtain dates was reduced from months to minutes. It took

some time for AMS dating to appear in Central and South America.<u>the Neotropics.</u> It was not until the early 1990s that AMS dating was used in sites as Lake Miragoane, Haiti (Brenner and Binford, 1988), Laguna de Genovesa, Ecuador (Steinitz-Kannan et al., 1998) and Lake Quexil, Guatemala (Leyden et al., 1993). Ever since an increasing number of sites report AMS dates to support their chronologies with higher precision. Nevertheless, even in a recent record with AMS ages, authors have been struggling to compile a consistent age model due to low carbon content of the samples (Groot et al., 2014). The advantages of using ¹⁴C as dating method, having broad applicability on many different sample materials and covering the most prevalent time range (50 k<u>calyr</u> BP), surpasses other methods and therefore remains to be the most commonly applied scientific dating method.

Currently ca.c. 68% of the geochronological dates in the LAPD fall within the last 10 kcalyr BP, 20% during within the 20-10 kyr kcal BP and 4% during the within 30-20 kyr kcal BP. A wide range of materials is used for dating: cellulose-containing materials (woods, seeds, achenes, plant remains, insect chitin; $n=1_2732$); charcoal and charred material (n=191); carbonates (shells and calcite; n=118), collagen-containing materials (bones and coprolites; n=48); and bulk sediments from different materials (n=1_074).

TephrochronologyTephrochronometry

The terminology *Tephrochronology* actually-means 'use of tephra layers as isochrons (time-parallel marker beds) to connect and synchronize sequences and to transfer relative or numerical ages to them using stratigraphy and other tools' (Lowe, 2011). The process of obtaining a numerical age or date for a tephra layer deposited after a volcanic eruption either directly or indirectly is called *Tephrochronometry* (Lowe, 2011). Primary minerals, such as zircon, K-feldspar and quartz, can be used to date tephras directly. Indirect methods include different applications such as radiometric dating (radiocarbon dating, fission-track dating, argon isotopes K/Ar, Ar/Ar, luminescence dating, U-series, ²³⁸U/²³⁸Th zircon dating), and incremental dating (annually banded found in the layering of ice cores) (Lowe, 2011). This field of advanced chronology is of essential importance in the search for precise dates for high-resolution paleoenvironmental records and research (Davies et al., 2012). Tephrochronology has become increasingly popular across a range of disciplines in the Quaternary field (Lowe, 2011). Bronk Ramsey et al., <u>2015; Lowe, 2015</u>), especially for linking and synchronizing paleorecords accurately along longer timescales. <u>Several uncertainties in tephroachronology are similar to those known from radiocarbon dating</u> such as methodological and dating faultserrors, and reworking of the-dated layers. The specific

challenges for this dating technique lay in that different tephras may display similar major element composition, or the same tephra may have a temporal and spatial compositional heterogeneity (see for a review and examples Lowe, 2011). International initiatives, such as INTIMATE (http://intimate.nbi.ku.dk/) and INTREPID (Lowe, 2010), have aimed at improving uncertainties from tephroachronologies, supported by an expanding global database on tephra layers (http://www.tephrabase.org/). Although not extensive, we provide here an overview of <u>studies</u>sediment cores__that welcomed this technology <u>to</u> for_improved the chronologies of their pollen recordscal purposes_in pollen records.

<u>From Mexico down to Patagonia, In Central and South America, there are regions of increased elevated</u> volcanic activities where frequent tephra layers can be found. Mexico's active seismic zones have numerous active volcanoes in the so-called 'Mexico's Volcanic Axis' or 'Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt' (*Eeje- Volcánico Transversal*). Ortega-Guerrero and Newton (1998) collected tephra layers in southern Mexico specifically aimed to produce stratigraphic markers for palaeoenvironmental research. Tephra layers called Tlácuac, Tlapacoya and Toluco can be found in different pollen records such as Lake Texcoco (Lozano-García and Ortega-Guerrero, 1998) and Lake Chalco Lozano-(Lozano-García et al., 1993). Additional tephra layers played an important role in the chronology of Lake Peten-Itza PI6, Guatemala (80 kyr BP; Hodell et al., 2008) and Laguna Llano del Espino and Laguna Verde, El Salvador (Dull, 2004a; 2004b).

The northern Andes forms part of the 'Northern Volcanic Zone' (Stern, 2004; Rodriguez-Vargas et al., 2005) and is shared by Colombia and Ecuador. In the Ruiz-Tolima region (Central Cordillera of Colombia), Herd₇ (1982) identified 28 eruptive events during the last 14,000 years. Sites like Puente Largo and Llano Grande (Velásquez-R et al., 1999) make use <u>of these events</u> in their chronologies-of these events. Even sites along the Eastern Cordillera capture these volcanic ashes, like Funza (Andriessen et al., 1994; Torres et al., 2005) and El Abra (Kuhry et al., 1993), while the ridge itself lacks volcanic activities (Rodriguez-Vargas et al., 2005). Otoño-Manizales Enea (Cleef et al., 1995) reports 5 events between 44 kyr-and 28.5 thousand calendar years (kyr) BP and Fúquene another 6 events between 30 kyr and 21 kyr BP (Van Geel and Van der Hammen, 1973). Fission-track ages on sparse zircons were obtained for the long cores fromef Funza <u>14</u>, <u>Funza 2</u>, Rio Frío and Facatativá (Andriessen et al., 1994; Wijninga, 1996).

Ecuador is <u>evenly_also</u> well known for its very active volcanic region. Two eruptions of the Guagua Pichincha and one of the Quilotoa were seen at pollen site Papallacta (Ledru et al., 2013). Thanks to four radiometric ⁴⁰Ar-³⁹Ar dates from tephra deposits, the chronology of the Erazo pollen record was placed

within the middle Pleistocene period (Cardenas et al., 2011). An important overview of tephrochronology in southern Ecuador was provided by Rodbell et al., (2002).

The central Andes forms part of the 'Central Volcanic Zone' (Stern, 2004; Rodriguez-Vargas et al., 2005) and is shared by Peru and Bolivia. Several ice cores from the Sajama Ice Cap in Bolivia use ash layers from Volcán Huaynaputina in Peru as dating control (Reese, 2003)-. To support the chronology of the long core of Lake Titicaca, nine aragonite-rich layers for U/Th <u>supportedhelped</u> correlation with the last interglacial period₇ (MIS5e;-(Fritz et al., 2007).

Finally, towards the south, the 'Southern Volcanic Zone' covers Chile and Argentina (Stern, 2004). An overview of the Holocene tephrochronology of an important part of this volcanic zone is presented in Naranjo and Stern (2004). The Pleistocene-Holocene transition has shown similarity in timing with an increase in volcanic activity in southern Chile (Abarzúa and Moreno, 2008). Jara and Moreno (2014) assessed the potential of volcanic events as being a driver of vegetation changes at a (sub-) millennial timescale based on 30 tephra layers since 13.5 kyr_cal? BP. Other sites with tephras to support their chronology are at Puerto del Hambre in Chile (Clapperton et al., 1995) and Rio Rubens in Argentina (Markgraf and Huber, 2010), among others.

Biostratigraphic dates

Before dating by ¹⁴C became available and more affordable, many records relied on the identification of biostratigraphic zones. Biostratigraphy is a branch of stratigraphy based on the study of fossils (Traverse, 1988; Bardossy and Fodor, 2013). Delimitated zones were interpreted as sequences of rocks that are characterized by a specific assemblage of fossil remains (Gladenkov, 2010). Each zone is a reflection of changing paleoecological settings different from the previous zone, identified by a set of characteristics such as taxon composition or abundance, or phylogenetic lines (Gladenkov, 2010). In general, stratigraphic schemes are still subject to constant adjustments, being updated by new records, improved dating and taxonomic revision. Difficulties arise in the accurate delimitation of the boundaries of biostratigraphic zones. Furthermore, older records relied heavily on zonal matching without accurate chronological background and assuming synchronicity. Additionally, the zonation and biostratigraphy may depend on localized stratigraphic layer may have been defined using a sparse data set while

<u>depending heavily on correct taxonomy identification.</u> Challenges of biostratigraphic correlation techniques are further explored in Punyasena et al. (2012) and Barossy and Fodor (2013).

A number of Several-different biochronological schemes are used or under discussion in South America and describing their development of these schemes (e.g. Van der Hammen, 1995; Van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 1995a) goes bevond the scope of this paper. Here we mention briefly some zones for NW-SA. Older records used presumably synchronous onsets of the Lateglacial-G as a reference point in time, such as numerous pollen records from the Valle de Lagunillas (González et al., 1966), Sierra Nevada (Van der Hammen, 1984) and Central Cordillera (Melief, 1985; Salomons, 1986). The transition of the Pleistocene/Holocene is often mentioned in diagrams, as is the YD. The onset of the Bølling/Allerød is less frequently used, whereas referring to and correlating regionally defined stadials and interstadials is more popular. For example, the 'Guantiva interstadial' (Van der Hammen and González, 1965; Van Geel and Van der Hammen, 1973) and 'El Abra stadial' (Kuhry et al., 1993; Van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 1995b) are commonly used biostratigraphic dates within Colombia. These periods are considered to be an equivalent to the North Atlantic Allerød Interstadial and the Younger Dryas sequence, respectively (vVan der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 1995b). Similarly in the tropical Venezuelan Andes, the 'Anteojos' cold phase was proposed as equivalent to the cold reversal of the YD and in some aspects comparable to El Abra (Rull et al., 2010).

Especially older pollen records relied heavily on this method of record matching without accurate chronological background.

Other dating techniques

An exceptional dating method was used at Ciama 2 in Brazil, through Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) encompassing the period between the MIS3 (unfortunately-MIS5 ages were discharged_discarded) and the last millennium (de Oliveira et al., 2012). The same technique was used at the Potrok Aike lake in Patagonia. A 65 kyr-long sediment core was recovered by the Potrok Aike Maar Lake Sediment Archive Drilling Project (PASADO; Recasens et al., 2012), where they-use-a combination of OSL, tephra and ¹⁴C was used to establish itstheir chronology (Buylaert et al., 2013; Recasens et al., 2015). The pollen record from this multi-proxy study is to be published soon and will be an important comparison to other long cores from South America on-regarding 4 ate Quaternary climate variability-research.

There are two_<u>very</u>-important records that serve in South America as a key reference for regional chronology testing, which are Fúquene-9C (Groot et al., 2014) and <u>the</u> MD03-2622 marine core from the Cariaco basin (González et al., 2008). Both cores were analysed at high resolution (Fq-9C: 60 yr; Cariaco: 350 yr) and cover <u>c.</u> 284-27 k<u>cal?yr</u>-BP and 68-28 <u>kcal?kcalyr</u> BP, respectively. No recalibrated age model or star classification was produced as <u>bB</u>oth_-sites, <u>however</u>, -implement different kinds of age models, namely frequency analyses of arboreal pollen % and orbital tuning (Fq-9C) and tuning to reflectance curve of another marine core (Cariaco, which itself has been tuned to Hulu Cave in China). <u>Long records</u>, <u>such as also from lake Titicaca (Therefore the longest cores considered in this study are from Titicaca</u>: LT01-2B and LT01-3A<u>i</u> (Hanselman et al., 2005; Fritz et al., 2007; Gosling et al., 2008; Gosling et al., 2009; Hanselman et al., 2011), rely on advanced methods of orbital tuning for the older sections and are therefore not considered in this study for the- recalibrated age model or star classification.

Seismic activities

In some cases, significant seismic events can be observed synchronously in various pollen records. For example, over a large area in the Chocó Biogeographic region, synchronous gaps in records were probably caused by a floodplain subsidence of a delta in the Colombian Pacific region (Berrío et al., 2000; González and Correa, 2001; Urrego Giraldo and del Valle A., 2002; Urrego et al., 2006). These events of high seismic activities can provide additional support for pinpointing chronologies when recorded as analogues events in different records.

3.2 Reporting of ¹⁴C measurements and corrections

Through the years the radiocarbon community has presented a series of papers indicating the proper way of reporting ¹⁴C data (Stuiver and Polach, 1977; Mook and Van der Plicht, 1999; Reimer et al., 2004a). In the early days, the world's laboratories reported all of their produced radiocarbon dates in the journal *Radiocarbon*, a journal then dedicated to compilinge these overviews. Probably the earliest radiocarbon dates from <u>Central and South Americathe Neotropics</u> can be found in Vogel and Lerman₇ (1969)₄ describing in detail dates produced from Cuba, Jamaica, Colombia, Guyana, Surinam, Peru and Argentina. However, this system could not keep up with the increasing number of both laboratories and studies reporting radiocarbon dates. Since then the correct reporting of ¹⁴C dates relied completely on the experience and willingness of the researchers.

Measured radiocarbon concentrations require an additional correction due to mass fractionation of ¹⁴C atoms during natural bio-geochemical processes (e.g. photosynthesis; Drake, 2014), and sample preparation and measurement (Wigley and Muller, 1981). This is a δ^{13} C_-based correction which has a default value of -25‰ based on wood (Stuiver and Polach, 1977). In the LAPD-ChronDBNeotrop-ChronDB there are 1,283 reported ¹⁴C dates have reported with fractionation corrections ranging from -42 to 30.2‰, but it's not always clear if the authors implement any correction- This number represents a quarter of the total number of radiocarbon dates in the database, meaning that over 600 studies neglect todo not report this fractionation correction.

Studies specifying additional corrections such as the possible reservoir age are rare. Although organic material potentially presents this ¹⁴C offset, it is rarely identified in terrestrial pollen records of the LAPDin the area of interest. For the marine reservoir correction, the marine calibration curves incorporate a global ocean reservoir correction of ca.c. 400 yr. Nevertheless, regional differences in reservoir values should be applied according to the Marine Calibration dataset (http://www.calib.qub.ac.uk/marine). Some marine studies in the LAPD-region implemented a fixed reservoir effect of 400 yr (according to Bard, $\frac{1}{7}$ (1988) for marine dates, while others only mentioned the used version of the CALIB program. A handful of marine cores in Chile (MD07-3104; MD07-3107; MD07-3088) estimate different local reservoir ages on calibrated ages from the IntCal calibration curve.

While Stuiver and Polach (1977) were the first to establish the conventions for reporting radiocarbon data, Reimer et al.₇ (2004b) dealt with the growing use of postbomb ¹⁴C and a corresponding new symbol in ¹⁴C reporting. Correct postbomb ¹⁴C reporting is problematic in the <u>LAPDNeotropics</u>. Negative ¹⁴C ages are treated highly variably, from being totally discharged, titled 'modern' or 'too young' without specified ¹⁴C value, or considered valid as the subtracted age from 1950 AD (resulting in any age estimate between 2014 and 1950). Also postbomb dates as percentage modern carbon values (% pMC, normalized to 100%) or 'fraction of modern' (F14C, normalized to 1) sometimes mislead uninformed authors to be acceptable ¹⁴C <u>ages</u>. At this moment, only one pollen record is known to report the F14C value with the corresponding post-bomb curve as proposed by Reimer et al.₇ (2004b), namely Quistococha in Peru (Roucoux et al., 2013). Laboratory sample or identification number (ID), which are given to the samples by the radiocarbon dating laboratory, enable the laboratory to be identified and should always be published alongside the ¹⁴C measurements (Grimm et al., 2014; See the long version of the workshop report published at http://www.pages-igbp.org/calendar/127-pages/826-age-models-chronologies-and-databases),- This is the case for most recent chronologies although the lack of

laboratory ID sometimes seems to depend more on the authors than on the year of publication <u>[bit too</u> strong? Have you done an analysis to back up your statement?].

3.3 Calibration curves and software Current age models and calibration curves

The relatively recent development of freely available computing packages has <u>as</u> a consequence that there is a large bulk in the <u>LAPD ChronDBNeotrop-ChronDB</u> without any age model (n=457), where most radiocarbon dates are simply plotted along the pollen record<u>without an explicit age-model</u>. The most common age model (n=298) is based on the simplest design, namely the linear interpolation between the dated levels even though this is hardly a realistic reflection of the occurred sedimentation history (Bennett, 1994; Blaauw and Heegaard, 2012). Polynomial regression methods (n=31) and the smooth spline (n=12) are becoming increasingly popular but mostly in international peer-reviewed journals compared to national publications. In the latter linear interpolation is more persistent. In 6 cases, age models and calibrated ages were created by the authors without further explanation. In a significant number of cases, age-depth modelling was performed with uncalibrated ¹⁴C ages, which does not produce valid results due to the non-linear relationship between radiocarbon years and calendar years.

The unclear geographical boundary between the NH and SH calibration curve has led to finding pollen records from the same region using curves from either side of the hemisphere. This is seen in the highland of Peru and Bolivia where the boundary between the IntCal13 (NH-curve) and SHCal13 (SH-curve) realms is still unclear and even causing the use of different calibration curves for the same lake. Several Bolivian lowland studies explain the influence of the southern range of the ITZC migration and therefore justify the use of the northern calibration curve (Mayle et al., 2000; Maezumi et al., 2015, this CP Special Issue). The existence of a ¹⁴C age difference of up to a few decades between the northern and southern hemisphere has been discussed in the literature, e.g. McCormac et al. (1998), Turney & Palmer (2007) and Hogg et al. (2013). This temporal uncertainty should be taken into account and it would be useful if authors address the choice of calibration curve in the publications.

Statistical approaches to chronological modelling have expanded dramatically over the last two decades. Advances in computer processing power and methodology have now enabled Bayesian age models which require millions of data calculations – a method which would not have been possible before. The development of such freely available Bayesian statistical computing age-modelling packages as 'OxCal' (Bronk Ramsey, 1995), 'BCal' (Buck et al., 1999), <u>Bchron (Parnell et al. 2008)</u>, 'BPeat' (Blaauw and Christen, 2005) –and 'Bacon' (Blaauw and Christen, 2011) has greatly advanced the science. To our knowledge, however, so far there has been only a single application of Bayesian methods for age modelling in South America, namely at Papallacta 1-08 (Ledru et al., 2013). The authors included *a priori* information on sedimentation rates and tephra-layers to construct the age model and consequently derive the best age for an uncertain tephra deposition. <u>The use of the sedimentation conditions is a highly relevant component for age model development but rarely seen to be taken into account. Plotting the sediment record next to the age model would complement greatly the interpretation of the chronology (as shown as an example in Fig.2).</u>

The previous situation of complementing calibration curves has led to finding pollen records from the same region using curves from either side of the hemisphere. This is seen in the highland of Peru and Bolivia where the boundary between the IntCal13 (NH curve) and SHCal13 (SH curve) realms is still unclear and even causing the use of different calibration curves for the same lake. Several Bolivian lowland studies explain the influence of the southern range of the ITZC migration and therefore justify the use of the northern calibration curve (Mayle et al., 2000; Maezumi et al., 2015, this <u>CP Special l</u>issue). The existence of a ¹⁴C age difference of up to a few decades between the northern and southern hemisphere has been discussed in the literature, e.g. McCormac et al. (1998), Turkney & Palmer (2007) and Hogg et al. (2013). This Nevertheless, this is a temporal uncertainty that has should to be taken into account and <u>it would be useful if authors address</u> the choice of calibration curve should be addressed in the publications.

Combining prior information from the sequences with the geochronological data is the basis of a Bayesian approach to construct an age-depth model (Blaauw and Heegaard, 2012). The current lack of Bayesian based age models in the Neotropics could be due to classic age-depth models (based on linear interpolation, smooth splines or polynomial regressions) being regarded as the most realistic models, or to the usefulness of Bayesian methods not yet having been explored. Each model comes inherent with errors and uncertainties (Telford et al., 2004), and each method consists of different approaches to address them. Linear interpolation for example provides reasonable estimates for ages and the gradients between adjacent pairs of points, but only includes the errors at the individual age-determinations and does not consider uncertainties and additional measurements (Blaauw and Heegaard, 2012). A wider range of possible errors can be included in 'mixed-effect models', while Bayesian age-depth modelling produces more realistic estimates of ages and uncertainties. The latter approach additionally supports the decision making process in labelling outliers through 'outlier probability' and 'age model fit' index

(Blaauw and Christen, 2005). Although we did not engage into Bayesian modelling in this study, even if researchers find themselves without much prior knowledge of regional accumulation rates, Bayesian methods could well provide more realistic estimates of chronological uncertainties than classical methods (Blaauw et al., in prep). Researchers shouldare encouraged to make use of the freely available character of the Bayesian software packages to test multiple age-depth models, compare models that best approximate their knowledge of the sediment conditions, and address these comparisons in their studies.

3.4 Age model evaluation of northwest South America (NW-SA)

From a total of 292 pollen records revised, 242 preliminary age models were regenerated based on the provided radiocarbon—dates. The other 50 pollen records either presented a lack of multiple geochronological dates or <u>had</u> too many chronological problems. During the process of adjustments of the age models for hiatus, outliers, and slumps, another 9 pollen records were rejected as no reliable models could be produced. In 125 cases both linear interpolation and spline could be implemented, requiring at least 4 valid geochronological dates for the latter. The median number of stars for recalibrated chronologies of NW-SA is 3, which we consider surprisingly high.

3.2 Temporal resolution of NW-SA

Based on the 233 checked and recalibrated age models from NW-SA (see Supplement, Table S1), the sample resolution (maximum, minimum, median and mean value) was estimated per pollen site and for the entire NW-SA. The resolution was calculated as the time between two consecutive depths with proxy information (sample depths). Minimum <u>values-resolutions</u> range from 10 yr to 1 kyr, compared to the maximum value between 5 kyr and 36 kyr (mostly due to extrapolations). The overall sample resolution estimates indicate that the <u>average</u> temporal resolution of this multi-site synthesis is ca.c. 240 yr, a resolution that allows analyses of ecological responses to sub-millennial-scale climate change. From a synoptic perspective, the NW-SA pollen records do not show spatial clustering based on the assigned stars (Fig. <u>3</u>2A). In other words, <u>chronologies with</u> good and poor <u>control</u> point density (<u>number of</u> <u>control points per unit time</u>) can be found along all the different elevational and latitudinal ranges. The best context to the star classification system can be given in conjunction with the sample resolution estimates as chronologies might present high sample resolution but poor chronological backup, and vice

versa. What is evident as a result of the recalibrated age models is the high number of pollen records within the 0-500 yr resolution with relatively high temporal quality (Fig. <u>3</u>2B).

3.4 Time window evaluation

MIS 5 (*c.* 130-70121-71 kcalyr BP): Within this study, this time window is represented by onlyy 54 pollen records from two lakes, namely from lake Titicaca LT01-2B -and LT01-3A (Hanselman et al., 2005; Fritz et al., 2007; Gosling et al., 2008; Gosling et al., 2009; Hanselman et al., 2011), Fúquene 3 and 7 (Mommersteeg, 1998; Van der Hammen and Hooghiemstra, 2003; Vélez et al., 2003; Bogotá-A et al., 2011), and El Abra (Schreve Brinkman, 1978). As previously mentioned, there are additional longer cores but with different chronology techniques we did not consider. Research into millennial-scale climate variability is very-difficult during this time window, as sample resolution varies greatly from a few centuries to several millenniathousands of years. For periods older than 65 kyrcal BP, mean resolution shifts around 2000 yr per sample with a star classification of mostly 0-1. Temporal uncertainty is high due to extrapolation of age models through limited number of control points and additional hiatus difficulties.

MIS 3 (60-27 kcalyr BP): MIS 3 is better represented in samples (Fig. <u>4</u>3A) and sites (Fig. <u>4</u>3B), and shows a wider variation in the star classification. The median number of 1 star still indicates a relatively poor control point density in the chronologies and therefore high temporal uncertainty. This time window is characterized by relatively older sites with reduced chronological quality even though overall resolution is at centennial timescale (430 yr).

LGM, H1 and YD/Holocene transition: The vast majority of chronologies cover the Holocene and Lateglacial time intervals because they have been established from lakes formed after the last glaciation. Consistent with the large number of pollen records that <u>fall withinreflect</u> the Holocene (Flantua et al., in <u>2015areview</u>), the highest density of palynological sampling covers the last 10 kyrcal (Fig.3Fig.4C). Most samples fall within the category of presenting 'good' control point density, namely either 3 or 4, just as the individual sites evaluated (Fig.3Fig.4D). There is an overall good point density in the NW-SA sites that cover the YD/Holocene transition but the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and H1 are represented by far fewer records with varying temporal quality.

The integration of the recalibrated chronologies and the estimated sample resolutions indicate the essential value of the existing radiocarbon calibration curves: There is a clear threshold at ca.c. 55 kcalyr BP (beyond– the extent of the current ¹⁴C calibration curves) from where the control point density and resolution currently do not support research on millennial timescales research, as sample resolutions are on average 1300 yr and temporal uncertainty high (Fig.<u>54</u>).

4 Discussion

4.1 Chronological data reporting

The relevance of publishing details on the sample, laboratory and reference numbers, provenance and reservoir correction details seems heavily underestimated by authors in many cases. Studies with insufficient chronology reporting undermine the consistency and credibility of the results presented, let aloneand weaken the value of the radiocarbon dates. Furthermore, considering the expanding palynological research in Central and South America (Flantua et al., in review 2015a), papers with deviations in chronology reporting will most likely not be used within the context of multi-proxy comparisons or more expanded regional synthesis efforts. Additionally, paleo-vegetation records with proper chronology details are frequently scanned by the archaeological community to correlate human and environmental dynamics (Aceituno et al., 2013; Delgado et al., in-press2015). Equally relevant are paleoecological records with solid chronologies for late Pleistocene understanding of megafaunal extinctions (Barnosky et al., 2004). Missing out on the chronology description is without a-doubt an unnecessary way to affect the credibility and citation rate of any study. A top-down approach to improve radiocarbon reporting initiates at the journals demanding complete and correct chronology information. Not less important are the reviewers in evaluating_critically evaluating_the presented age models. Sources to remain updated on the requirements of dating reporting are numerous (e.g. see Millard, 2014), but specific details can be online accessed through http://www.cl4dating.com/publication.html. Additional recommendations can be found in Blaauw and Heegaard, (2012) and from the "Neotoma Age models, chronologies, and databases workshop" in Grimm et al. (2014).

4.2 Temporal uncertainty assessment of chronologies

The importance of high-resolution records but especially temporal quality has been illustrated through the development of updated age models and control point density assessments. Compared to the implementation of the method in the EPD (Giesecke et al., 20122014), there is a higher proportion of samples and sites in the last 5 kcalyr BP in NW-SA. The normal rangemost common of-sample resolution in the EPD is between 50 and 250 years, while the NW-SA has a mean resolution of 235 years. This resolution is actually higher than we expected and this could be due to several reasons. First of all, during the age modelings procedure, chronologies with too many disturbing features were not used, implementing a first selection towards the best possible age models. Secondly, to assign 10-cm sample resolution (many older records were sampled at >20cm). Thirdly, there are several very high_-resolution sites that cover significant time periods overpassing greatly in sample numbers the sites with relatively low temporal resolution. <u>Any calculation based on multi-site information should use a median value instead of the mean value (Fig.3), which is less sensitive to extremes</u>. Nonetheless, the general tendency is that pollen records in NW-SA are improving chronological settings with high sample resolution on centennial timescales.

Combining prior information from the sequences with the geochronological data is the basis of a Bayesian approach to construct an age depth model (Blaauw and Heegaard, 2012). The current lack of Bayesian based age models in Central and South America could be due to classic age depth models (based on linear interpolation, smooth splines or polynomial regressions) being regarded as the most realistic models, or to the usefulness of Bayesian methods not yet having been explored. Each model comes inherent with errors and uncertainties, and each method consists of different approaches to address them. Linear interpolation for example provides reasonable estimates for ages and the gradients between adjacent pairs of points, but only includes the errors at the individual age determinations and does not consider uncertainties and additional measurements (Blaauw and Heegaard, 2012). A wider range of possible errors can be included in 'mixed effect models', while Bayesian age depth modelling produces more realistic estimates of ages and uncertainties. The latter approach additionally supports the decision making process in labelling outliers through 'outlier probability' and corresponding 'agemodel fit' measurements (Blaauw and Christen, 2005). Researchers should make use of the freely available character of the Bayesian software packages to test multiple age depth models, compare models that best approximate their knowledge of the sediment conditions, and address these comparisons in their studies.

Until now, differences in resolution and chronological quality between older and newer sites have hampered the ongoing discussion on the rapid climatic shifts such as the YD. A synchronous similar climate reversal at the YD is not evident throughout South -America.ca. Differences in magnitude haves been observed between Venezuela and Colombia (Rull et al., 2010), while pollen records at relatively close distances in Peru/Bolivia are considered both different in timing and expression (Hansen, 1995; Paduano et al., 2003; Bush et al., 2005). This points again to the danger of using assumed synchronous events to align archives across a region, e.g., (Israde-Alcántara et al., (2012a) who align several poorly dated sites in Latin America to circularly argue for a YD comet impact (Blaauw et al., 2012; Israde-Alcántara et al., 2012b). New studies on correlating biostratigraphic patterns with improved chronology are important as they can identify possible long-distance synchronicity of climate signals, but at the same time display their own local signature when supported by high--resolution data. Therefore, additional well-dated records have a high potential of contributing to this current discussion (e.g. Rull et al., 2010; Montoya et al., 2011). However, advanced tools to assess leads, lags and synchronocitysynchronicities in palaeorecords are still urgently needed (Blockley et al., 2012; Seddon et al., 2014) while only few case studies have yet explored the available tools (Blaauw et al., 2007; Blaauw et al., 2010; Parnell et al. 2008). So-As long as the discussion consists of correlating poorly dated events, new hypotheses based on assumed synchronous events fail to provide additional insights to current questions.

5 Conclusions & Recommendations

This paper presents an overview on chronological dating and reporting in <u>the Neotropics</u>, <u>Central and</u> <u>South America</u> <u>based</u> on a new <u>LAPD</u>-Geochronological Database consisting of 5116 dates from 1097 pollen records. To support centennial <u>to</u> -millennial <u>time</u>scale climate research, the temporal resolution and quality of chronologies from 292 pollen records in the northwest South America were assessed based on the method proposed by <u>Giesecke et al.F-et al.</u> (201<u>4</u>2). This method includes associated evaluations of uncertainties for the inferred sample ages and age models, and is suitable for a wide range of <u>paleo</u>-proxies. Over 300 publications were evaluated and new age models were constructed based on new calibration curves implementing either linear interpolation or (preferentially) smoothing splines. Using the R-code CLAM these newly derived chronologies formed the basis to estimate the sample error from the uncertainties of control points density in the age model. These sample-age confidences are assigned so-called "stars" and this semi-quantitative star classification system is discussed for different time windows such as MIS75, MIS35, the LGM and the YD. Based on these classifications, uncertainties and age control requirement are discussed for <u>research into</u> millennium-scale climate variability-research. This provides a general-purpose chronology fit for most continental-scale questions and multi-proxy comparisons of temporal uncertainties.

Finally, we address specific fields of improvements for chronological reporting in Central and South America-pollen records.

Recommendations in practice, approaches or techniques

It is important for authors to report at the necessary detail the chronology of their sediment core because it is the spinal core of the interpretation. Furthermore, due to the spatial coverage of the LAPD, for the increasing number of questions requiring multi-proxy comparison, sites can be selected based on their considered usefulness for models. There is a lose-lose situation by not including potentially important sites just because the chronology is insufficiently presented in the paper. The number of recent sites that present incomplete descriptions of their presumed age model is striking, leaving out information such as depths, calibration method, and even only presenting calibrated dates without further explanation.

The discussion on detecting synchronicity of rapid climate change events should pass from correlating chronologies with incompatible resolution and temporal quality, to understanding the causes of leads and lags between geographically different localities with high chronological settings. Future studies on detecting rapid climate changes in a multi-site and multi-proxy context can be supported in their site selection procedure by the method <u>presented</u> in Giesecke et al. (20124). The method here implemented is fully suitable for other regions and proxies that deal with geochronological dating. As the <u>LAPD-ChronDBNeotrop-ChronDB</u> currently covers a much larger area-of-the-continent, similar exercises can be done for other regions-for comparison purposes.

The vast number of sites reflecting the last 10 kyr <u>BP</u> with high samples densities and well-presented chronologies offer great opportunities for current<u>ly</u> running working groups, like <u>the</u> *International Biosphere Geosphere Programme / Past Global Change - 6k* (IGBP-Pages 6k, <u>www.pages-</u>igbp.org/workinggroups/landcover6k/intro) and *Long-Term climate REconstruction and Dynamics of*

South America – 2k (LOTRED-SA-2k; <u>www.pages-igbp.org/workinggroups/lotred-sa/intro</u>). Both multiproxy working groups address human–environmental interactions in which pollen records in Central and South America are a vital source of information (Flantua et al., <u>2015b</u>).

The produced chronologies in this paper do not substitute the validity and interpretation of the authors' original chronology, but serve the purpose to present an overview of the current potential temporal resolution and quality, and contribute to the discussion on age model assessments. Data control often varies throughout the record, therefore we emphasize the recommendation provided by Giesecke et al. (2014) that the star classification should be used in conjunction with the propagation of age uncertainty from the dates through the age model. The success of the use of Bayesian methods depends partly on the background knowledge of the researchers (e.g. knowledge of accumulation rates of comparable sites in the region) to adjust the age model accordingly. As we do not pretend to have this *a priori* information to make full use of the results obtained from Bayesian modelling, we think it's more appropriate to motivate researchers to consider this method for future studies. Users should always check the original papers and address questions on the chronologies to the main authors. At the same time, calibration curves as well as age-modelling methods will continue to be updated, so age models should rather be considered as inherent to a dynamic process of continuous improvement, rather than a static side component of a paleoecological record. For that purpose, we would like to emphasize that there are increasingly more resources available for providing Digital Object Identifications (DOI) to stand-along datasets, figures and variable media to obtain the rights to be cited as any other literature reference (e.g. Fig Share: http://figshare.com; Data Dryad: http://datadryad.org/). Authors considering an updated version of an age model could evaluate these resources, as well as for unpublished pollen datasets.

Acknowledgements

This paper forms part of the INQUA International Focus Group, ACER (Abrupt Climate Changes and Environmental Responses) for Latin America (La-ACER<u>; Urrego et al., 2014</u>). We thank the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO, grant 2012/13248/ALW) for financial support of this project. The Neotoma Paleoecology Database is supported by the Geoinformatics Program of the U.S. National Science Foundation, projects EAR-0947459 and EAR-0948652. PAGES co-supported both La-ACER workshops in Colombia (November 2012) and in Brazil (August 2013) (http://www.ephe-

paleoclimat.com/acer/LaACER.htm). We would like to thank the editors for organizing the Special Issue "Millennial-scale variability in the American tropics and subtropics" and a special thanks to Dr. Dunia Urrego and Dr Mitchel J. Power for their encouragements and patience. We thank the reviewers Thomas Giesecke and Marie-Piere Ledru for their helpful advice on improving the manuscript. Last but not least, we are grateful to the researchers that provided us the depth files of the original pollen records for the purpose of this paper.

References

Abarzúa, A. M. and Moreno, P. I.: Changing fire regimes in the temperate rainforest region of southern Chile over the last 16,000 yr, Quat. Res., 69(1), 62–71, doi:10.1016/j.yqres. 2007.09.004, 2008.

Aceituno, F. J., Loaiza, N., Delgado-Burbano, M. E. and Barrientos, G.: The initial human settlement of <u>n</u>+orthwest South America during the Pleistocene/Holocene transition: <u>S</u>ynthesis and perspectives, Quat. Int., 301, 23–33, doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2012.05.017, 2013.

Ammann, B., Birks, H. J. B., Brooks, S. J., Eicher, U., von Grafenstein, U., Hofmann, W., Lemdahl, G., Schwander, J., Tobolski, K. and Wick, L.: Quantification of biotic responses to rapid climatic changes around the Younger Dryas — a synthesis, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 159(3–4), 313–347, doi:10.1016/S0031-0182(00)00092-4, 2000.

Anderson, D., Goudie, A. and Parker, A.: Global environments through the Quaternary: Exploring environmental change; Second Edition. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK., 133 pp, 2013.

Andriessen, P. A. M., Helmens, K. F., Hooghiemstra, H., Riezebos, P. A. and Van der Hammen, T.: Pliocene-Quaternary chronology of the sediments of the high plain of Bogota<u>Á</u>, Eastern Cordillera, Colombia, Quat. Sci. Rev., 12, 483–501, 1994.

Austin, W. E. N., Hibbert, F. D., Rasmussen, S. O., Peters, C., Abbott, P. M. and Bryant, C. L.: The synchronization of palaeoclimatic events in the North Atlantic region during Greenland Stadial 3 (ca 27.5 to 23.3 kyr b2k), Quat. Sci. Rev., 36, 154–163, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev. 2010.12.014, 2012.

Bard, E.: Correction of accelerator mass spectrometry ¹⁴C ages measured in planktonic foraminifera: <u>Pp</u>aleoceanographic implications, Paleoceanography, 3(6), 635–645, doi:10.1029/PA003i006p00635, 1988.

Bardossy, G. and Fodor, J.: Evaluation of Uncertainties and Risks in Geology: New Mathematical Approaches for their Handling, Springer Science & Business Media., 2013.

Barnosky, A. D., Koch, P. L., Feranec, R. S., Wing, S. L. and Shabel, A. B.: Assessing the causes of Late Pleistocene extinctions on the continents, Science, 306(5693), 70–75, doi:10.1126/science.1101476, 2004.

Bennett, K. D.: Confidence intervals for age estimates and deposition times in late-Quaternary sediment sequences, The Holocene, 4(4), 337–348, doi:10.1177/095968369400400401, 1994. Björk, S.:Younger Drays oscillation, global evidence. In: Elias, S.A. (Eds.), Encyl. Quat. Sci. 4; Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985-1993, 2007.

Berrío, J. C., Behling, H. and Hooghiemstra, H.: Tropical rain forest history from the Colombian Pacific area: a 4200 year pollen record from Laguna Jotaordó, The Holocene, 10(6), 749–756, doi:10.1191/09596830094999, 2000.

Blaauw, M.: Methods and code for "classical" age-modelling of radiocarbon sequences, Quat. Geochronol., 5(5), 512–518, doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2010.01.002, 2010.

Blaauw, M.: Out of tune: the dangers of aligning proxy archives, Quat. Sci. Rev., 36, 38–49, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.11.012, 2012.

Blaauw, M., Christen, J.A., 2005. Radiocarbon peat chronologies and environmental change. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. C Appl. 54, 805–816. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9876.2005.00516.x

Blaauw, M. and Christen, J. A.: Flexible paleoclimate age-depth models using an autoregressive gamma process, Bayesian Anal., 6(3), 457–474, doi:10.1214/ba/1339616472, 2011.

Blaauw, M., Christen, J. A., Mauquoy, D., Van der Plicht, J. and Bennett, K. D.: Testing the timing of radiocarbon-dated events between proxy archives, The Holocene, 17(2), 283–288, doi:10.1177/0959683607075857, 2007.

Blaauw, M. and Heegaard, E.: Estimation of age-depth relationships, in: Birks, H.J.B., Lotter, A.F., Juggins, S., Smol, J.P. (Eds.), Tracking environmental change using lake sediments, Developments in Paleoenvironmental Research Vol. 5: Data handling and statistical techniques, Springer, <u>Dordrecht, The</u> Netherlands, 379–413, 2012.

Blaauw, M., Holliday, V. T., Gill, J. L. and Nicoll, K.: Age models and the Younger Dryas impact hypothesis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 109(34), 2240, doi:10.1073/pnas.1206143109, 2012.

Blaauw, M., Wohlfarth, B., Christen, J. A., Ampel, L., Veres, D., Hughen, K. A., Preusser, F. and Svensson, A.: Were last glacial climate events simultaneous between Greenland and France? A quantitative comparison using non-tuned chronologies, J. Quat. Sci., 25(3), 387–394, doi:10.1002/jqs.1330, 2010.

Blockley, S. P. E., Lane, C. S., Turney, C. S. M. and Bronk Ramsey, C.: The INTegration of Ice core, MArine and TErrestrial records of the last termination (INTIMATE) 60,000 to 8000 BP, Quat. Sci. Rev., 36, 1, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.10.001, 2012.

Blois, J. L., Williams, J. W., Grimm, E. C., Jackson, S. T. and Graham, R. W.: A methodological framework for assessing and reducing temporal uncertainty in paleovegetation mapping from late-Quaternary pollen records, Quat. Sci. Rev., 30(15-16), 1926–1939, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev. 2011.04.017, 2011.

Bogotá-A, R. G., Groot, M. H. M., Hooghiemstra, H., Lourens, L. J., Van der Linden, M. and Berrio, J. C.: Rapid climate change from north Andean Lake Fúquene pollen records driven by obliquity: implications for a basin-wide biostratigraphic zonation for the last 284 ka, Quat. Sci. Rev., 30(23-24), 3321–3337, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.08.003, 2011.

Bowman, S. (Ed.): Radiocarbon dating. University of California Press/British Museum, Berkeley and Los Angeles, USA, 64 pp., 1990.

Brauer, A., Hajdas, I., Blockley, S. P. E., Bronk Ramsey, C., Christl, M., Ivy-Ochs, S., Moseley, G. E., Nowaczyk, N. N., Rasmussen, S. O., Roberts, H. M., Spötl, C., Staff, R. A. and Svensson, A.: The importance of independent chronology in integrating records of past climate change for the 60– 8 ka INTIMATE time interval, Quat. Sci. Rev., <u>106, 47-66,</u> doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.07.006, 2014. Brenner, M. and Binford, M. W.: A sedimentary record of human disturbance from Lake Miragoane, Haiti, J. Paleolimnol., 1(2), 85–97, 1988.

Bronk Ramsey, C.: Radiocarbon calibration and analyses of stratigraphy: the OxCal Program, Radiocarbon, 37(2), 425–430, 1995.

Bronk Ramsey, C., Housley, R. A., Lane, C. S., Smith, V. C. and Pollard, A. M.: The RESET tephra database and associated analytical tools, Quat. Sci. Rev., <u>118, 33-47,</u> doi:10.1016/j.quascirev. 2014.11.008, <u>2015In press</u>.

Buck, C.E., Christen, J.A. and James, G.N.: BCal: An on-line Bayesian radiocarbon calibration tool. Internet Archaeol. 7, <u>http://intarch.ac.uk/journal/issue7/ buck/</u>, <u>last accessed January 2015</u>, 1999.

Bush, M. B., Hansen, B. C. S., Rodbell, D. T., Seltzer, G. O., Young, K. R., León, B., Abbott, M. B., Silman, M. R. and Gosling, W. D.: A 17,000-year history of Andean climate and vegetation change from Laguna de Chochos, Peru, J. Quat. Sci., 20(7-8), 703–714, doi:10.1002/jqs.983, 2005.

Buylaert, J.-P., Murray, A. S., Gebhardt, A. C., Sohbati, R., Ohlendorf, C., Thiel, C., Wastegård, S. and Zolitschka, B.: Luminescence dating of the PASADO core 5022-1D from Laguna Potrok Aike (Argentina) using IRSL signals from feldspar, Quat. Sci. Rev., 71, 70–80, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.03.018, 2013.

Cardenas, M. L., Gosling, W. D., Sherlock, S. C., Poole, I., Pennington, R. T. and Mothes, P.: The response of vegetation on the Andean flank in western Amazonia to Pleistocene climate change, Science, 331(6020), 1055–1058, doi:10.1126/science.1197947, 2011.

Chambers, F. M., Mauquoy, D., Brain, S. A., Blaauw, M. and Daniell, J. R. G.: Globally synchronous climate change 2800 years ago: Proxy data from peat in South America, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 253(3–4), 439–444, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.11.007, 2007.

Clapperton, C. M., Sugden, D. E., Kaufman, D. S. and McCulloch, R. D.: The last glaciation in central Magellan Strait, <u>s</u>outhernmost Chile, Quat. Res., 44, 133–148, 1995.

Cleef, A. M., Noldus, G. W. and Van der Hammen, T.: Estudio palinologico del Pleniglacial Medio de la sección Rio Otoño-Manizales Enea (Cordillera Central, Colombia), in: <u>-Studies on Tropical Andean Ecosystems/Estudios de Ecosistemas Tropandinos, edited by T.</u> Van der Hammen, <u>T.</u> and A. G. dos Santos (Eds.)₇ : Studies on Tropical Andean Ecosystems/Estudios de Ecosistemas <u>Tropandinos 4, 441–449</u>, Cramer (Borntraeger), Berlin/Stuttgart, Germany₇, <u>441–449</u>, 1995.

Clement, A. C. and Peterson, L. C.: Mechanisms of abrupt climate change of the last glacial period, Rev. Geophys., 46(4), 1–39, doi:10.1029/2006RG000204, 2008.

Davies, S. M., Abbott, P. M., Pearce, N. J. G., Wastegård, S. and Blockley, S. P. E.: Integrating the INTIMATE records using tephrochronology: rising to the challenge, Quat. Sci. Rev., 36, 11–27, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.04.005, 2012.

Delgado, M., Aceituno, F. J. and Barrientos, G.: ¹⁴C data and the early colonization of northwest South America: <u>Aa</u> critical assessment, Quat. Int., <u>363, 55-64,</u> doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2014.09.011, <u>In press2015</u>.

<u>Drake, B. L.: Using models of carbon isotope fractionation during photosynthesis to understand</u> the natural fractionation ratio, Radiocarbon, 56(1), 29–38, doi:10.2458/56.16155, 2014.

Dull, R. A.: A Holocene record of Neotropical savanna dynamics from El Salvador, J. Paleolimnol., 32(3), 219–231, 2004a.

Dull, R. A.: An 8000-year record of vegetation, climate, and human disturbance from the Sierra de Apaneca, El Salvador, Quat. Res., 61(2), 159–167, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2004.01.002, 2004b.

<u>Flantua, S. G. A., Hooghiemstra, H., Grimm, E. C. and Markgraf, V.: Updated Latin American</u> <u>Pollen Database: Version 2013 in preparation for Neotoma, PAGES News, 21(2), 88, 2013.</u>

Flantua, S. G. A., Hooghiemstra, H., Grimm, E. C., Behling, H., Bush, M. B., González-Arango, C., Gosling, W. D., Ledru, M. P., Lozano-García, S., Maldonado, A., Prieto, A. R., Rull, V. and Van Boxel, J. H.: Updated site compilation of the Latin American Pollen Database, <u>Rev. Palaeobot.</u> Palynol., http://dx.dpo.org/10.1016/j .revpalbo.2015.09.008, 2015a. Flantua, S. G. A., Hooghiemstra, H., Vuille, M., Behling, H., Carson, J. F., Gosling, W. D., Hoyos, I., Ledru,
M. P., Montoya, E., Mayle, F., Maldonado, A., Rull, V., Tonello, M. S., Whitney, B. S. and González-Arango,
C.: Climate variability and human impact on the environment in South America during the last 2000
years: synthesis and perspectives, Climate of the Past Discussions, 11(4), 3475–3565, doi:10.5194/cpd11-3475-2015, 2015b.

in review.

Flantua, S. G. A., Hooghiemstra, H., Grimm, E. C. and Markgraf, V.: Updated Latin American Pollen Database: Version 2013 in preparation for Neotoma, PAGES News, 21(2), 88, 2013.

Fritz, S. C., Baker, P. A., Ekdahl, E., Seltzer, G. O. and Stevens, L. R.: Millennial-scale climate variability during the Last Glacial period in the tropical Andes, Quat. Sci. Rev., 29(7-8), 1017–1024, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.01.001, 2010.

Fritz, S. C., Baker, P. A., Seltzer, G. O., Ballantyne, A., Tapia, P., Cheng, H. and Edwards, R. L.: Quaternary glaciation and hydrologic variation in the South American tropics as reconstructed from the Lake Titicaca drilling project, Quat. Res., 68(3), 410–420, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2007 .07.008, 2007.

Fyfe, R. M., Beaulieu, J.-L. de, Binney, H., Bradshaw, R. H. W., Brewer, S., Flao, A. L., Finsinger, W., Gaillard, M.-J., Giesecke, T., Gil-Romera, G., Grimm, E. C., Huntley, B., Kunes, P., Kühl, N., Leydet, M., Lotter, A. F., Tarasov, P. E. and Tonkov, S.: The European Pollen Database: past efforts and current activities, Veg. Hist. Archaeobotany., 18(5), 417–424, doi:10.1007/s00334-009-0215-9, 2009.

Gajewski, K., Viau, A. E., Sawada, M., Atkinson, D. E. and Fines, P.: Synchronicity in climate and vegetation transitions between Europe and North America during the Holocene, Clim. Change, 78(2-4), 341–361, doi:10.1007/s10584-006-9048-z, 2006.

Giesecke, T., Bennett, K. D., Birks, H. J. B., Bjune, A. E., Bozilova, E., Feurdean, A., Finsinger, W., Froyd, C., Pokorný, P., Rösch, M., Seppä, H., Tonkov, S., Valsecchi, V. and Wolters, S.: The pace of Holocene vegetation change – testing for synchronous developments, Quat. Sci. Rev., 30(19-20), 2805–2814, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.06.014, 2011. Giesecke, T., Davis, B., Brewer, S., Finsinger, W., Wolters, S., Blaauw, M., Beaulieu, J.-L. de, Binney, H., Fyfe, R. M., Gaillard, M.-J., Gil-Romera, G., Van der Knaap, W. O., Kuneš, P., Kühl, N., van Leeuwen, J. F. N., Leydet, M., Lotter, A. F., Ortu, E., Semmler, M. and Bradshaw, R. H. W.: Towards mapping the late Quaternary vegetation change of Europe, Veg. Hist. Archaeobotany., (23(1), 1–1275-86, doi:10.1007/s00334-012-0390-y, 20142.

González, E., Van der Hammen, T. and Flint, R. F.: Late Quaternary glacial and vegetational sequence in Valle de Lagunillas, Sierra Nevada del Cocuy, Colombia, Leidse Geol. Meded., 32, 157–182, 1966.

González, C., Dupont, L. M., Behling, H. and Wefer, G.: Neotropical vegetation response to rapid climate changes during the last glacial period: Palynological evidence from the Cariaco Basin, Quat. Res., 69(2), 217–230, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2007.12.001, 2008.

González, E., Van der Hammen, T. and Flint, R. F.: Late Quaternary glacial and vegetational sequence in Valle de Lagunillas, Sierra Nevada del Cocuy, Colombia, Leidse Geol. Meded., 32, 157–182, 1966.

González, J. L. and Correa, I. D.: Late Holocene evidence of coseismic subsidence on the San Juan Delta, Pacific coast of Colombia, J. Coast. Res., 17(2), 459–467, 2001.

Gosling, W. D., Bush, M. B., Hanselman, J. A. and Chepstow-Lusty, A.: Glacial-interglacial changes in moisture balance and the impact on vegetation in the southern hemisphere tropical Andes (Bolivia/Peru), Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 259(1), 35–50, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2007.02.050, 2008.

Gosling, W. D., Hanselman, J. A., Knox, C., Valencia, B. G. and Bush, M. B.: Long-term drivers of change in *Polylepis* woodland distribution in the central Andes, J. Veg. Sci., 20(6), 1041–1052, doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.01102.x, 2009.

Graf, K.: Pollen diagramme aus den Anden: Eine Synthese zur Klimageschichte und Vegetationsentwicklung seit der letzten Eiszeit, Physische Geographie 34, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland, 138 pp., 1992.

Grimm, E. C., Blaauw, M., Buck, C. E. and Williams, J. W.: Age models, chronologies, and databases workshop, PAGES Mag., 22(2), 104, 2014. <u>http://www.pages-igbp.org/calendar/127-pages/826-age-models-chronologies-and-databases</u>

Grimm, E. C., Bradshaw, R. H. W., Brewer, S., Flantua, S., Giesecke, T., Lézine, A.-M., Takahara, H. and Williams, J. W.: Pollen methods and studies | Databases and <u>T</u>heir <u>a</u>Application, in: Elias, S.A. (Ed.), <u>Encyclopedia Encycl.of Quaternary Quat.</u> Science Sci. (Second Edition), <u>831–838</u>, Elsevier, Amsterdam, <u>831–838</u>, 2013.

Groot, M. H. M., Bogotá, R. G., Lourens, L. J., Hooghiemstra, H., Vriend, M., Berrio, J. C., Tuenter, E., Van der Plicht, J., Van Geel, B., Ziegler, M., Weber, S. L., Betancourt, A., Contreras, L., Gaviria, S., Giraldo, C., González, N., Jansen, J. H. F., Konert, M., Ortega, D., Rangel, O., Sarmiento, G., Vandenberghe, J., Van der Hammen, T., Van der Linden, M. and Westerhoff, W.: Ultra-high resolution pollen record from the northern Andes reveals rapid shifts in montane climates within the last two glacial cycles, Clim. Past, 7(1), 299–316, doi:10.5194/cp-7-299-2011, 2011.

Groot, M. H. M., Van der Plicht, J., Hooghiemstra, H., Lourens, L. J. and Rowe, H. D.: Age modelling for Pleistocene lake sediments: A comparison of methods from the Andean Fúquene <u>b</u>Basin (Colombia) case study, Quat. Geochronol., 22, 144–154, doi:10.1016/j.quageo. 2014.01.002, 2014.

Hanselman, J. A., Bush, M. B., Gosling, W. D., Collins, A., Knox, C., Baker, P. A. and Fritz, S. C.: A 370,000-year record of vegetation and fire history around Lake Titicaca (Bolivia/Peru), Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 305(1-4), 201–214, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.03.002, 2011.

Hanselman, J. A., Gosling, W. D., Paduano, G. M. and Bush, M. B.: Contrasting pollen histories of MIS 5e and the Holocene from Lake Titicaca (Bolivia/Peru), J. Quat. Sci., 20(7-8), 663–670, doi:10.1002/jqs.979, 2005.

Hansen, B. C.: A review of lateglacial pollen records from Ecuador and Peru with reference to the Younger Dryas event, Quat. Sci. Rev., 14, 853–865, 1995.

Herd, D. G.: Glacial and volcanic geology of the Ruiz-Tolima volcanic complex Cordillera Central, Colombia, INGEOMINAS, Bogotá, Colombia, 48 pp, 1982.

Hodell, D. A., Anselmetti, F. S., Ariztegui, D., Brenner, M., Curtis, J. H., Gilli, A., Grzesik, D. A., Guilderson, T. J., Müller, A. D. and Bush, M. B.: An 85-ka record of climate change in lowland

Central America, Quat. Sci. Rev., 27(11-12), 1152–1165, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev. 2008.02.008, 2008.

Hogg, A. G., Hua, Q., Blackwell, P. G., Niu, M., Buck, C. E., Guilderson, T. P., Heaton, T. J., Palmer,
J. G., Reimer, P. J., Reimer, R. W., Turney, C.S.M., Zimmerman, S.R.H.: SHCal13 Southern
Hemisphere calibration, 0–50,000 cal yr BP, Radiocarbon, 55(24), 1–151889-1903, 2013.

Hua, Q., Barbetti, M. and Rakowski, A. Z.: Atmospheric radiocarbon for the period 1950–2010, Radiocarbon, 55(4), 2059–2072, 2013.

Israde-Alcántara, I., Bischoff, J.L., Domínguez-Vázquez, G., Li, H.-C., DeCarli, P.S., Bunch, T.E., Wittke, J.H., Weaver, J.C., Firestone, R.B., West, A., Kennett, J.P., Mercer, C., Xie, S., Richman, E.K., Kinzie, C.R., Wolbach, W.S., 2012a. Evidence from central Mexico supporting the Younger Dryas extraterrestrial impact hypothesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., a 109, 738–747. doi:10.1073/pnas.1110614109

Israde-Alcántara, I., Bischoff, J.L., DeCarli, P.S., Domínguez-Vázquez, G., Bunch, T.E., Firestone, R.B., Kennett, J.P., West, A., 2012b. Reply to Blaauw et al., Boslough, Daulton, Gill et al., and Hardiman et al.: Younger Dryas impact proxies in Lake Cuitzeo, Mexico. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 2245–2247. doi:10.1073/pnas.1209463109

Jara, I. A. and Moreno, P. I.: Climatic and disturbance influences on the temperate rainforests of northwestern Patagonia (40 °S) since ~14,500 cal yr BP, Quat. Sci. Rev., 90, 217–228, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.01.024, 2014.

Jennerjahn, T. C., Ittekkot, V., Arz, H. W., Behling, H., Pätzold, J. and Wefer, G.: Asynchronous terrestrial and marine signals of climate change during Heinrich events, Science, 306(5705), 2236–2239, doi:10.1126/science.1102490, 2004.

Kuhry, P., Hooghiemstra, H., Van Geel, B. and Van der Hammen, T.: The El Abra stadial in the Eastern Cordillera of Colombia (South America), Quat. Sci. Rev., 12(5), 333–343, 1993.

Lane, C. S., Brauer, A., Blockley, S. P. E. and Dulski, P.: Volcanic ash reveals time-transgressive abrupt climate change during the Younger Dryas, Geology, 41(12), 1251–1254, doi:10.1130/G34867.1, 2013.

Ledru, M.-P., Jomelli, V., Samaniego, P., Vuille, M., Hidalgo, S., Herrera, M. and Ceron, C.: The Medieval climate anomaly and the Little Ice age in the eastern Ecuadorian Andes, Clim. Past, 9(1), 307–321, doi:10.5194/cp-9-307-2013, 2013.

Leyden, B.W., Brenner, M., Hodell, D.A., Curtis, J.H., 1993. Late Pleistocene climate in the central American lowlands, in: Swar, P.K. (Ed.), American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., pp. 165–178.

Lowe, D.J.: Uncertainty in tephrochronology. SUPRAnet consortium workshop 'Studying uncertainty in palaeoclimate reconstruction', Sheffield, U.K., 23-27 June 2008. Available from: http://caitlinbuck.staff.shef.ac.uk/SUPRAnet/ (Accessed September 2015), 2008.

Lowe, D. J.: Project 0907: INTREPID – Enhancing tephrochronology as a global research tool through improved fingerprinting and correlation techniques and uncertainty modelling, University of Waikato Research Commons [online] Available from: http://researchcommons.waikato.ac.nz/handle/10289/4183 (Accessed 9 October 2015), 2010.

Lowe, D. J.: Tephrochronology and its application: a review, Quat. Geochronol., 6(2), 107–153, doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2010.08.003, 2011.

Lowe, D. J.: Connecting and dating with tephras: principles, functioning, and application of tephrochronology in Quaternary research, Conference: 12th Quaternary Techniques Short Course "Techniques of Palaeoclimatic and Palaeoenvironmental Reconstruction" (21-22 May, 2015), At National Isotope Centre, GNS Science, Lower Hutt, New Zealand, [online] Available from: http://researchcommons.waikato.ac.nz/handle/10289/9338 (Accessed 10 July 2015), 2015.

Lozano-García, M. S. and Ortega-Guerrero, B.: Late Quaternary environmental changes of the central part of the Basin of Mexico; correlation between Texcoco and Chalco basins, Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 99, 77–93, 1998.

Lozano-García, M. S., Ortega-Guerrero, B., Caballero-Miranda, M. and Urrutia-Fucugauchi, J.: Late Pleistocene and Holocene paleoenvironments of Chalco Lake, Central Mexico, Quat. Res., 40(3), 332–342, doi:10.1006/qres.1993.1086, 1993. Maezumi, S. Y., Power, M. J., Mayle, F. E., McLauchlan, K. and Iriarte, J.: The effects of past climate variability on fire and vegetation in the cerrãdo savanna ecosystem of the Huanchaca Mesetta, Noel Kempff Mercado National Park, NE Bolivia, Clim Past Discuss, 11(1), 135–180, doi:10.5194/cpd-11-135-2015, 2015.

Markgraf, V.: Late and postglacial vegetational and paleoclimatic changes in subantarctic, temperate, and arid environments in Argentina., Palynology, 7, 43–70, 1983.

Markgraf, V. and Huber, U. M.: Late and postglacial vegetation and fire history in Southern Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 297(2), 351–366, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2010.08.013, 2010.

Mayle, F. E., Burbridge, R. and Killeen, T. J.: Millennial-<u>s</u>cale <u>d</u>-ynamics of <u>s</u>-outhern Amazonian <u>Rrain</u> <u>f</u>-orests, Science, 290(5500), 2291–2294, doi:10.1126/science.290.5500.2291, 2000.

Mayle, F.E., Langstroth, R.P., Fisher, R.A., Meir, P.: Long-term forest-savannah dynamics in the Bolivian Amazon: implications for conservation. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 362, 291–307. doi:10.1098/rstb.2006.1987, 2007.

McCormac, F. G., Hogg, A. G., Blackwell, P. G., Buck, C. E., Higham, T. F. and Reimer, P. J.: SHCal04 Southern Hemisphere calibration, 0–11.0 cal kyr BP, Radiocarbon, 46(3), 1087–1092, 2004.

McGee, D., Donohoe, A., Marshall, J. and Ferreira, D.: Changes in ITCZ location and crossequatorial heat transport at the Last Glacial Maximum, Heinrich Stadial 1, and the mid-Holocene, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 390, 69–79, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.12.043, 2014. <u>Melief, A. Late Quaternary paleoecology of the Parque Nacional Natural los Nevados (Cordillera Central)</u> and Sumapaz (Cordillera Oriental) areas, Colombia. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Amsterdam,

Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 162 pp, 1985.

Millard, A. R.: Conventions for reporting radiocarbon determinations, Radiocarbon, 56(2), 555–559, doi:10.2458/56.17455, 2014.

Mommersteeg, H.:, <u>1998</u>. Vegetation development and cyclic and abrupt climatic changes during the late <u>Q</u>quaternary: palynological evidence from the Colombian Eastern Cordillera, Ph.D. thesis (unpublished), Hugo de Vries Laboratory, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 191 pp., 1998.

Mook, W. G. and Van <u>d</u>er Plicht, J.: Reporting 14C activities and concentrations., Radiocarbon, 41(3), 227–239, 1999.

Muller, J.: Palynology of recent Orinoco delta and shelf sediments: Reports of the Orinoco shelf expedition, Micropaleontology, 5(1), 1–32, doi:10.2307/1484153, 1959.

Naranjo, J. A. and Stern, C. R.: Holocene tephrochronology of the southernmost part (42°30′-45°S) of the Andean Southern Volcanic Zone, Rev. Geológica Chile, 31(2), 224–240, doi:10.4067/S0716-02082004000200003, 2004.

De Oliveira, M. A. T., Porsani, J. L., de Lima, G. L., Jeske-Pieruschka, V. and Behling, H.: Upper Pleistocene to Holocene peatland evolution in southern Brazilian highlands as depicted by radar stratigraphy, sedimentology and palynology, Quat. Res., 77(3), 397–407, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2011.12.006, 2012.

Ortega-Guerrero, B. and Newton, A. J.: Geochemical characterization of late Pleistocene and Holocene tephra layers from the basin of Mexico, Central Mexico, Quat. Res., 50(1), 90–106, doi:10.1006/qres.1998.1975, 1998.

Paduano, G. M., Bush, M. B., Baker, P. A., Fritz, S. C. and Seltzer, G. O.: A vegetation and fire history of Lake Titicaca since the Last Glacial Maximum, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 194(1-3), 259–279, doi:10.1016/S0031-0182(03)00281-5, 2003.

Parnell, A.C., Haslett, J., Allen, J.R.M., Buck, C.E., Huntley, B.:. 2008. A flexible approach to assessing synchroneity of past events using Bayesian reconstructions of sedimentation history. Quaternary. Science. Reviews., 27, 1872-1885, doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.07.009, 2008

Povinec, P. P., Litherland, A. E. and <u>V</u>+on Reden, K. F.: Developments in radiocarbon technologies: from the Libby counter to compound-specific AMS analyses, Radiocarbon, 51, 45–78, 2009.

Punyasena, S. W., Jaramillo, C., de la Parra, F. and Du, Y.: Probabilistic correlation of single stratigraphic samples: a generalized approach for biostratigraphic data, AAPG Bulletin, 96(2), 235–244, doi:10.1306/06201111026, 2012.

R Development Core Team, 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <u>http://www.R-project.org/, last accessed</u> January 2015.

Rasmussen, S. O., Andersen, K. K., Svensson, A. M., Steffensen, J. P., Vinther, B. M., Clausen, H. B., Siggaard-Andersen, M.-L., Johnsen, S. J., Larsen, L. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Bigler, M., Röthlisberger, R., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma, K., Hansson, M. E. and Ruth, U.: A new Greenland ice core chronology for the last glacial termination, J. Geophys. Res., 111(D6), D06102, doi:10.1029/2005JD006079, 2006.

Recasens, C., Ariztegui, D., Gebhardt, C., Gogorza, C., Haberzettl, T., Hahn, A., Kliem, P., Lisé-Pronovost, A., Lücke, A., Maidana, N., Mayr, C., Ohlendorf, C., Schäbitz, F., St-Onge, G., Wille, M., Zolitschka, B. and <u>Science</u> Team, S.: New insights into paleoenvironmental changes in Laguna Potrok Aike, southern Patagonia, since the <u>ILate</u> Pleistocene: The PASADO multiproxy record, The Holocene, 22(11), 1323–1335, doi:10.1177/0959683611429833, 2012.

Recasens, C., Ariztegui, D., Maidana, N. I. and Zolitschka, B.: Diatoms as indicators of hydrological and climatic changes in Laguna Potrok Aike (Patagonia) since the <u>L</u>ate Pleistocene, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 417, 309–319, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2014.09.021, 2015.

Reese, C. A.: Pollen dispersal and deposition in the high-central Andes, South America, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Geography and Anthropology, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, USA, 132 pp., 2003.

Reimer, P. J., Baillie, M. G. L., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J. W., Bertrand, C. J. H., Blackwell, P. G., Buck, C. E., Burr, G. S., Cutler, K. B., Damon, P. E., Edwards, R. L., Fairbanks, R. G., Friedrich, M., Guilderson, T. P., Hogg, A. G., Hughen, K. A., Kromer, B., McCormac, G., Manning, S., Ramsey, C. B., Reimer, R. W., Remmele, S., Southon, J. R., Stuiver, M., Talamo, S., Taylor, F. W., <u>V</u>an der Plicht, J. and Weyhenmeyer, C. E.: IntCal04 terrestrial radiocarbon age calibration, 0-26 cal kyr BP, Radiocarbon, 46(3), 1029–1058, 2004a. Reimer, P. J., Brown, T. A. and Reimer, R. W.: Discussion: #Reporting and calibration of postbomb 14C data, Radiocarbon, 46(3), 1299–1304, 2004b.

Reimer, P. J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J. W., Blackwell, P. G., Ramsey, C. B., Buck, C. E., Cheng, H.,
Edwards, R. L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P. M., Guilderson, T. P., Haflidason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatté, C.,
Heaton, T. J., Hoffmann, D. L., Hogg, A. G., Hughen, K. A., Kaiser, K. F., Kromer, B., Manning, S. W., Niu,
M., Reimer, R. W., Richards, D. A., Scott, E. M., Southon, J. R., Staff, R. A., Turney, C. S. M., and van der
Plicht, J.: IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. Radiocarbon,
55, 1869–1887, 2013.

Rodbell, D. T., Bagnato, S., Nebolini, J. C., Seltzer, G. O. and Abbott, M. B.: A late Glacial– Holocene tephrochronology for glacial lakes in southern Ecuador, Quat. Res., 57(3), 343–354, doi:10.1006/qres.2002.2324, 2002.

Rodriguez-Vargas, A., Koester, E., Mallmann, G., Conceição, R. V., Kawashita, K. and Weber, M. B. I.: Mantle diversity beneath the Colombian Andes, Northern Volcanic Zone: Constraints from Sr and Nd isotopes, Lithos, 82(3-4), 471–484, doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2004.09.027, 2005.

Roucoux, K. H., Lawson, I. T., Jones, T. D., Baker, T. R., Coronado, E. N. H., Gosling, W. D. and Lähteenoja, O.: Vegetation development in an Amazonian peatland, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 374, 242–255, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2013.01.023, 2013.

Rull, V.: Contribución a la paleoecología de Pantepui la Gran Sabana (Guayana Venezolana): Clima, biogeografía, y ecología., Sci. Guaianae, 2, 1–133, 1991.

Rull, V., Stansell, N. D., Montoya, E., Bezada, M. and Abbott, M. B.: Palynological signal of the Younger Dryas in the tropical Venezuelan Andes, Quat. Sci. Rev., 29(23–24), 3045–3056, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.07.012, 2010.

Salomons, J.B.: Paleoecology of volcanic soils in the Colombian Central Cordillera. Dissertationes Botanicae, 95,1-212, 1986.

Sanchez Goñi, M. F. and Harrison, S. P.: Millennial-scale climate variability and vegetation changes during the Last Glacial: Concepts and terminology, Quat. Sci. Rev., 29(21–22), 2823–2827, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.11.014, 2010.

Schreve Brinkman, E.: A paynological study of the upper Quaternary sequence in the El Abra Corridor and rock shelters (Colombia), Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 25, 1–109, 1978.

Seddon, A. W. R., Mackay, A. W., Baker, A. G., Birks, H. J. B., Breman, E., Buck, C. E., Ellis, E. C., Froyd, C. A., Gill, J. L., Gillson, L., Johnson, E. A., Jones, V. J., Juggins, S., Macias-Fauria, M., Mills, K., Morris, J. L., Nogués-Bravo, D., Punyasena, S. W., Roland, T. P., Tanentzap, A. J., Willis, K. J., Aberhan, M., van Asperen, E. N., Austin, W. E. N., Battarbee, R. W., Bhagwat, S., Belanger, C. L., Bennett, K. D., Birks, H. H., Bronk Ramsey, C., Brooks, S. J., de Bruyn, M., Butler, P. G., Chambers, F. M., Clarke, S. J., Davies, A. L., Dearing, J. A., Ezard, T. H. G., Feurdean, A., Flower, R. J., Gell, P., Hausmann, S., Hogan, E. J., Hopkins, M. J., Jeffers, E. S., Korhola, A. A., Marchant, R., Kiefer, T., Lamentowicz, M., Larocque-Tobler, I., López-Merino, L., Liow, L. H., McGowan, S., Miller, J. H., Montoya, E., Morton, O., Nogué, S., Onoufriou, C., Boush, L. P., Rodriguez-Sanchez, F., Rose, N. L., Sayer, C. D., Shaw, H. E., Payne, R., Simpson, G., Sohar, K., Whitehouse, N. J., Williams, J. W. and Witkowski, A.: Looking forward through the past: identification of 50 priority research questions in palaeoecology, J. Ecol., 102(1), 256–267, doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12195, 2014.

Steinitz-Kannan, M., Riedinger, M. A., Last, W., Brenner, M. and Miller, M. C.: Un registro de 6000 años de manifestaciones intensas del fenómeno de El Niño en sedimentos de lagunas de las Islas Galápagos, Bull Inst Fr Etudes Andin., 27(3), 581–592, 1998.

Stern, C. R.: Active Andean volcanism: its geologic and tectonic setting, Rev. Geológica Chile, 31(2), 161–206, doi:10.4067/S0716-02082004000200001, 2004.

Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A.: Discussion; reporting of C-14 data., Radiocarbon, 19(3), 355–363, 1977.

Sundqvist, H. S., Kaufman, D. S., McKay, N. P., Balascio, N. L., Briner, J. P., Cwynar, L. C., Sejrup, H. P., Seppä, H., Subetto, D. A., Andrews, J. T., Axford, Y., Bakke, J., Birks, H. J. B., Brooks, S. J., de Vernal, A., Jennings, A. E., Ljungqvist, F. C., Rühland, K. M., Saenger, C., Smol, J. P. and Viau, A. E.: Arctic Holocene proxy climate database ; new approaches to assessing geochronological accuracy and encoding climate variables, Clim. Past., 10, 1605-1631, doi:10.5194/cp-10-1605-2014, 2014.

Telford, R. J., Heegaard, E. and Birks, H. J. B.: All age–depth models are wrong: but how badly?, Quat. Sci. Rev., 23(1–2), 1–5, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.11.003, 2004.

Torres, V., Vandenberghe, J. and Hooghiemstra, H.: An environmental reconstruction of the sediment infill of the Bogotá basin (Colombia) during the last 3 million years from abiotic and biotic proxies, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 226(1-2), 127–148, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.05.005, 2005.

Traverse, A. Paleopalynology. Unwin/Hyman Ltd., Boston-London, 600 pp., 1988.

Tsudaka, M.: The pollen sequence, in: Cowgill, U., Goulden, C.E., Hutchinson, G.E., Patrick, R., Racek, A.A., Tsudaka, M. (Eds.), The history of Laguna Petenxil, a small lake in northern Guatemala, Memoir 17, Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences, New Haven, USA, 63–66, 1967.

Urrego, D. H., Bernal, J. P., Chiessi, C. M., Cruz, F. W., Sanchez Goñi, M. F., Power, M., Hooghiemstra and LaAcer participantes: Millennial-scale climate variability in the American tropics and subtropics, PAGES Mag., 22(2), 94–95, 2014.

Urrego, D. H., Bush, M. B., Silman, M. R., Correa-Metrio, A. Y., Ledru, M. P., Mayle, F. E., Paduano, G. and Valencia, B. G.: Millennial Scale ecological changes in tropical South America since the Last Glacial Maximum, in: Vimeux, F., Sylvestre, F. and Khodri, M. (Eds), Past Climate Variability in South America and Surrounding Regions, Vol. 14, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 283–300, 2009.

Urrego Giraldo, L. E. and del Valle A., J. I.: Reconstrucción de la sucesión de un bosque de "Guandal" (Pacífico Colombiano) durante el Holocenno reciente, Caldasia, 24(2), 425–443, 2002.

Urrego, L. E., Molina, L. A., Urrego, D. H. and Ramírez, L. F.: Holocene space-time succession of the Middle Atrato wetlands, Chocó biogeographic region, Colombia, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 234(1), 45–61, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.10.018, 2006.

Van der Hammen, T.: Data on the history of climate, vegetation and glaciation of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta (Colombia), Transecto Buritaca-La Cumbre. Estudios de ecosistemas tropandinos, edited by Van der Hammen, Thomas and P. M. Ruiz, Cramer (Borntraeger), Berlin/Stuttgart, Germany., 561–580, 1984.

Van der Hammen, T.: La ultima glaciación en Colombia (Glaciacion Cocuy; Fuquense). Análisis Geográficos, 24, 69-89, 1995.

Van der Hammen, T. and González, E.: Upper Pleistocene and Holocene climate and vegetation of the "Sabana de Bogotá" (Colombia, South America), Leidse Geol. Meded., 25, 261–315, 1960.

Van der Hammen, T. and González, E.: A late-glacial and Holocene pollen diagram from Cienaga del Visitador Dep. Boyacá, Colombia, Leidse Geol. Meded., 32, 193–201, 1965.

Van der Hammen, T. and Hooghiemstra, H.: Cronostratografia y correlacion del Plioceno y Cuaternario en Colombia, Análisis Geográficos, 24, 51-67, 1995a

Van der Hammen, T. and Hooghiemstra, H.: The El Abra stadial, a Younger Dryas equivalent in Colombia, Quat. Sci. Rev., 14, 841–851, 1995<u>b.</u>

Van der Hammen, T. and Hooghiemstra, H.: Interglacial–glacial Fúuquene-3 pollen record from Colombia: an Eemian to Holocene climate record, Glob. Planet. Change, 36(3), 181–199, doi:10.1016/S0921-8181(02)00184-4, 2003.

Van Geel, B. and Van der Hammen, T.: Upper Quaternary vegetational and climatic secuence of the F<u>ú</u>uquene area (Eastern Cordillera, Colombia), Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 14, 9–92, 1973.

Van Meerbeeck, C. J., Renssen, H. and Roche, D. M.: How did Marine Isotope Stage 3 and Last Glacial Maximum climates differ?–perspectives from equilibrium simulations, Clim. Past, 5(1), 33–51, 2009.

Vaughan, Hague H.H., Deevey, E. S. J. and Garrett-Jones, S. E.: Pollen stratigraphy of two cores from Petén lake district, in: Pohl, M.D. (Eds.), Prehistoric Leowland Maya eEnvironment and sSubsistence Economy, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA, 73–89, 1985.

Velásquez-R, C.-A., Parra S., L.-N., Sánchez S., D., Rangel_-Ch., J.-O., Ariza N., C.-L. and Jaramillo J., A.: Tardiglacial y Holoceno del norte de la Cordillera Occidental del Colombia, Universidad Nacional de Medellín, Medellín, Colombia, 236 pp., 1999. Vélez, M. I., Hooghiemstra, H., Metcalfe, S., Martínez, I. and Mommersteeg, H.: Pollen- and diatom based environmental history since the Last Glacial Maximum from the Andean core Fúquene-7, Colombia, J. Quat. Sci., 18(1), 17–30, doi:10.1002/jqs.730, 2003.

Villalba, R., Grosjean, M. and Kiefer, T.: Long term multi-proxy climate reconstructions and dynamics in South America (LOTRED SA): State of the art and perspectives, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 281(3–4), 175–179, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.08.007, 2009.

Vogel, J. C. and Lerman, J. C.: Groningen radiocarbon dates VIII., Radiocarbon, 11(2), 351–390, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.11.204, 1969.

Wigley, T. M. L. and Muller, A. B.: Fractionation correction in radiocarbon dating, Radiocarbon, 23(2), <u>173–190, 1981.</u>

Wijninga, V. M.: A Pliocene Podocarpus forest mire from the area of the high plain of Bogotá (Cordillera Oriental, Colombia), Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 92, 157–205, 1996.

Maximum distance to the nearest data (yr s)	Stars	<u>Colorba</u> <u>r Fig. 2</u>
2000	1	<u>Green</u>
		<u>Dark</u>
1000	2	<u>blue</u>
		<u>Light</u>
500	3	<u>blue</u>
Straight segment	+1	Red

 Table 1. Classification of sample age uncertainty from the star classification system (Adapted from

 Giesecke et al., 2014)

Figure 1. Pollen records currently present in the <u>LAPD_Neotropical_</u>Geochronological database: All records contain at least one geochronological date.



Figure 2. Recalibrated age depth relationship from Laguna Chaplin A (Mayle et al., 2007). The green, dark blue, light blue bars along the vertical axis reflect the proximity of a sample to the nearest control points, from 'far', 'good', 'best' respectively. The red bar marks samples within a segment of the core supported by at least four control points within which the sediment accumulation changes less than 20 %. The addition of an additional upper age estimate would better constrain the extrapolation toward the top, which otherwise yield ages that are too young as shown in this example. The blue polygons at the control points represent the calibrated age range as a distribution, where the height of the polygon provides an indication of the probability of the age obtained from the control point. The dark bar alongside is shown as an example where the interpretation of the chronology can be supported by the lithological information alongside.



Figure 23. Temporal uncertainty assessment on recalibrated control points and age models in the NWnorthwest South America SA... A) Number of stars assigned to samples of recalibrated chronologies (normalized to 100%). B) Median value of stars and resolution of the recalibrated chronologies. The small window displays the region of the Galapagos Islands and the marine core ODP677.



Figure <u>4</u>3. Histograms depicting <u>during the MIS 3 and in 1000 year increments t</u><u>t</u>he <u>star classification</u> <u>outcome on distribution of sample_level</u><u>s</u> (A, <u>C</u>) and sites (B, <u>D</u>) with their corresponding star classification. for the last 60 kcal BP. <u>Similarly, the hH</u>istograms <u>A</u><u>C</u>) and <u>B</u><u>D</u>) depict the samples and sites and their corresponding star classification the MIS 3 (at 1000 yr time bins) and histograms C and D over the last 25 k<u>calyr in-(at 500 yrear binsincrements</u>). The height of the bar indicates the number of samples or sites with a certain number of stars. The different colours illustrate the <u>number of samples or</u> samples in core segments that were classified with <u>number of stars assigned for that time bin. Samples</u> and sites beyond 60 kcal BP were not presented due to the very low number of sites available (Fig.5). 3 and 4 stars and that indicate good control point density for most samples.





Figure 4<u>5</u>. Changing mean sample resolution (left) and mean number of stars (right) of the pollen database of northwest South America during the period 100 k_{yrcal} to -50 <u>cal</u> yr BP.