

1 **Carbon isotope ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) excursions suggest times of major**  
2 **methane release during the last 14 ka in Fram Strait, the**  
3 **deep-water gateway to the Arctic**

4

5 **Chiara Consolaro<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tine L. Rasmussen<sup>1</sup>, Giuliana Panieri<sup>1</sup>, Jürgen Mienert<sup>1</sup>,**  
6 **Stefan Bünz<sup>1</sup> and Kamila Sztybor<sup>1</sup>**

7 [1]{CAGE - Centre for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate, Department of  
8 Geology, UiT the Arctic University of Norway, Dramsveien 201, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway}  
9 [2]{School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, Plymouth University, Drake  
10 Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, United Kingdom}

11 Correspondence to: C. Consolaro (chiara.consolaro@uit.no;  
12 chiara.consolaro@plymouth.ac.uk; chiara.consolaro@icloud.com)

13

14 **Abstract**

15 We present results from a sediment core collected from a pockmark field on the Vestnesa  
16 Ridge ( $\sim 80^\circ\text{N}$ ) in the eastern Fram Strait. This is the only deep-water gateway to the Arctic,  
17 and one of the northernmost marine gas hydrate provinces in the world. Eight  $^{14}\text{C}$  AMS dates  
18 reveal a detailed chronology for the last 14 ka BP. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record measured on the benthonic  
19 foraminiferal species *Cassidulina neoteretis* shows two distinct intervals with negative values  
20 termed carbon isotope excursion (CIE I and CIE II, respectively). The values were as low as -  
21  $4.37\text{\textperthousand}$  in CIE I correlating with the Bølling-Allerød interstadials and as low as  $-3.41\text{\textperthousand}$  in CIE  
22 II correlating with the early Holocene. In the Bølling-Allerød interstadials, the planktonic  
23 foraminifera also show negative values, probably indicating secondary methane-derived  
24 authigenic precipitation affecting the foraminiferal shells. After a cleaning procedure designed  
25 to remove authigenic carbonate coatings on benthonic foraminiferal tests from this event, the  
26  $^{13}\text{C}$  values are still negative (as low as  $-2.75\text{\textperthousand}$ ). The CIE I and CIE II occurred during periods  
27 of ocean warming, sea level rise and increased concentrations of methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) in the  
28 atmosphere. CIEs with similar timing have been reported from other areas in the North  
29 Atlantic suggesting a regional event. The trigger mechanisms for such regional events remain  
30 to be determined. We speculate that sea-level rise and seabed loading due to high sediment  
31 supply in combination with increased seismic activity as a result of rapid deglaciation may

1 have triggered the escape of significant amounts of methane to the seafloor and the water  
2 column above.

3

4 **1 Introduction**

5 Methane hydrate is an ice-like compound that exists in sediments at high pressures and low  
6 temperatures with sufficient supply of water and gas (Sloan, 1998). Methane hydrate  
7 provinces are widespread in the Arctic region, but their stability and longevity through time,  
8 and the significance of their contribution to the global carbon budget are still poorly  
9 understood (e.g., Biastoch et al., 2011). The Arctic region is highly sensitive to climate change  
10 and the effects of on-going global warming are probably more extreme in the Arctic than  
11 elsewhere (e.g., Screen and Simmonds, 2010; Spielhagen et al., 2011). Recent discoveries  
12 suggest that the stability of gas hydrates in the Arctic Ocean in water depths up to about 400 m  
13 is already affected by on-going ocean warming (e.g., Shakova et al., 2010; Ferré et al., 2012;  
14 Berndt et al., 2014). Methane emissions offshore west Svalbard from pockmarks in water  
15 depths greater than 800 m were recently recorded in the eastern part of the Vestnesa Ridge  
16 (Figs. 1, 2), where several additional gas plumes have been detected in 2010 (Bünz et al.,  
17 2012) and in 2012 (Smith et al., 2014), compared to the 2008 survey (Hustoft et al., 2009a),  
18 possibly indicating an increase in methane release activity. It is critical, therefore, to  
19 investigate the frequency of methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) emissions through time, in relation to past climate  
20 change with a special focus on periods of climate warming.

21 Gas hydrates occur in marine sediments at continental margins within the gas hydrate stability  
22 zone (GHSZ). The base of the stability zone is marked in seismic data by a bottom-simulating  
23 reflector (BSR). Pockmarks are seafloor craters formed in soft, fine-grained sediments, where  
24 localised seepage of gas and pore fluid occur (Judd and Hovland, 2007). As a result of focused  
25 fluid flow, so-called gas chimney structures may form beneath pockmarks representing  
26 feeding channels for the upward migration of fluids and gases by-passing the GHSZ (Riedel et  
27 al., 2002; Petersen et al., 2010; Bunz et al., 2012; Plaza-Faverola et al., 2011). The  $\text{CH}_4$   
28 originating from free gas below the BSR or released from gas hydrate dissociation may  
29 dissolve in pore waters, remain trapped as gas, or rise toward the seafloor as bubbles. In the  
30 near-seafloor sediments up to 90% of the methane can be consumed by anaerobic oxidation of  
31 methane (AOM) by a consortium of methanotrophic archaea and sulfate-reducing bacteria  
32 (Boetius et al., 2000; Hinrichs and Boetius, 2002; Treude et al., 2003; Reeburgh, 2007) within

1 the sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ) according to the Equation (1):  $\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \rightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{HS}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Boetius et al., 2000) and Equation (2):  $\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Sun and Turchyn, 2014). The production of bicarbonate from AOM can induce the  
2 precipitation of calcium carbonate, so-called methane-derived authigenic carbonates  
3 (MDACs), in different shapes of e.g. slabs, crusts, nodules, chimney, and pipes, with typical  
4 negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values (e.g. Whiticar, 1999). Benthonic foraminifera are often common in  
5 methane seep environments and it has been demonstrated that their calcium carbonate test can  
6 register the low  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of ambient dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) derived from the  
7 oxidation of methane in the pore space of the surface sediments (Wefer et al., 1994; Rathburn  
8 et al., 2003; Hill et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2004; Panieri et al., 2009, 2012, 2014a).

9 At high flux seep sites the SMTZ is typically very shallow and the methane may escape  
10 directly into the water column (Borowski et al., 1996). Most of the methane emitted at the  
11 seafloor is then consumed by methanotrophic aerobic microbes in the water column (Niemann  
12 et al., 2006; Reeburgh, 2007). For this reason planktonic foraminifera do not normally register  
13 the negative methane-derived  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values in their tests. After deposition at the seafloor, both  
14 planktonic and benthonic foraminifera can be affected by alteration due to the precipitation of  
15 AOM-derived authigenic carbonates on their tests (Torres et al., 2003, 2010; Millo et al.,  
16 2005a; Panieri et al., 2009). In order to distinguish the isotopic records of the secondary  
17 overgrowth from the primary tests, it is necessary to repeat the isotopic analyses on specimens  
18 cleaned with a specific procedure designed to remove all authigenic carbonate coatings (Pena  
19 et al., 2008; Panieri et al., 2012, 2014b).

20 Here we present a detailed data analysis from a sediment core that was taken in a pockmark  
21 from the western part of the Vestnesa Ridge (Fig. 2). The core has been investigated for stable  
22 isotopes ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ), together with the distribution of planktonic foraminifera and  
23 sedimentological parameters, in order to reconstruct past changes in emission of methane in  
24 the area. Our results of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  AMS (accelerator mass spectrometry) dates suggest an  
25 undisturbed sedimentary record for the last 14 ka BP. Negative carbon isotope excursions  
26 (CIEs) during the Bølling-Allerød interstadials and during the early Holocene provide  
27 important records of past methane release events. This study is part of an ongoing research  
28 project at the Centre of Excellence for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate (CAGE)  
29 at the Arctic University of Norway, where different sediment cores from the Vestnesa Ridge  
30 pockmark field are being investigated in order to reconstruct past methane emissions from the  
31 seafloor (Panieri et al., 2014b).

1    **2    Study Area**

2    The Vestnesa Ridge is an elongated sediment drift at ~80°N at the northwestern Svalbard  
3    margin in the eastern Fram Strait. The Fram Strait is dominated by two main surface currents:  
4    the warm West Spitsbergen Current (WSC) and the cold East Greenland Current (EGC)  
5    (Aagaard et al., 1987) (Fig. 1). The WSC is the northernmost branch of the North Atlantic  
6    Current (NAC) and brings relatively warm, saline water along the western Svalbard margin  
7    and through the Fram Strait into the Arctic Ocean. This current is the major source of heat and  
8    salt to high northern latitudes and is very important for the generation of deep water in the  
9    Nordic seas (Aagaard et al., 1985). The warm Atlantic water overlies the Greenland Sea  
10   Intermediate Water, which is generated from convection in the Nordic Seas (Aagaard et al.,  
11   1987). The EGC carries cold polar water and sea ice from the Arctic Ocean southwards along  
12   the East Greenland margin through the Denmark Strait and into the North Atlantic Ocean (Fig.  
13   1).

14   The Vestnesa Ridge is located on hot, thin and young (<20 Ma) oceanic crust (e.g. Hustoft et  
15   al., 2009a) (Figs. 2a, b). It belongs to the eastern spreading segment of the Molloy Fracture  
16   Zone that is connected to the northernmost extension of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge system: the  
17   ultra-slow spreading Knipovich Ridge (Engen et al., 2008; Hustoft et al., 2009a) (Fig. 2a). The  
18   sediment crest of the Vestnesa Ridge is pierced with pockmarks (Fig. 2b) (e.g. Vogt et al.,  
19   1994; Hustoft et al., 2009a), and seismic data show a BSR 160–180 m beneath the seabed  
20   indicating the presence of gas hydrate (Hustoft et al., 2009a; Petersen et al., 2010; Bünz et al.,  
21   2012). Active gas venting has recently been observed in the eastern part of the ridge, where  
22   thermogenic free gas migrates to the crest of the BSR anticline and further upward to the  
23   Vestnesa Ridge pockmark field (Hustoft et al., 2009a; Bünz et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2014).  
24   Seismic data beneath the pockmark field show vertical gas migration pathways (chimneys)  
25   that form conduits allowing the gas to by-pass the hydrate stability zone (HSZ) and escape  
26   from the seafloor (Bünz et al., 2012). The lack of observations of acoustic gas flares from the  
27   pockmark fields in the deeper (1300 mwd), western part of the ridge, induced Bünz et al.  
28   (2012) to believe that these pockmarks are most probably inactive.

29  
30   **3    Material and methods**

31   Gravity core JM10-330GC (79.13°N, 5.6°E; 420 cm long) was collected from about 1300 m  
32   water depth (mwd) in a pockmark located on the inactive western part of the Vestnesa Ridge

1 (Figs. 1 and 2b). Before opening the core, magnetic susceptibility was measured with a  
2 Bartington MS2 loop sensor (Fig. 3). Afterwards the core was split longitudinally, one half  
3 was X-rayed and colour imaged with a Jai L-107CC 3 CCD RGB Line Scan Camera installed  
4 on an Avaatech XRF core scanner (Fig. 3). The other half was sampled at 5 cm intervals in 1  
5 cm thick slices, weighed and subsequently freeze-dried. Dry samples were weighed and wet  
6 sieved over mesh sizes of 63  $\mu\text{m}$ , 100  $\mu\text{m}$ , and 1 mm. The residues were dried at 40°C.  
7 Benthonic and planktonic foraminifera were picked from the >100  $\mu\text{m}$  size fraction, counted  
8 (at least 300 specimens for each sample when possible) and identified to species level for  
9 assemblage analysis. In this paper, we only present data on the two most dominant planktonic  
10 species *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* sinistral (s) and *Turborotalita quinqueloba*, which  
11 together constitute 88–98% of the assemblage. Ice Rafted Detritus (IRD) was counted in the  
12 >1 mm size fraction (Fig. 3).

13 Eight  $^{14}\text{C}$  AMS dates were performed on monospecific samples of *N. pachyderma* (s) (Table  
14 1) at the Chrono Centre of Queen's University, Belfast, UK. The radiocarbon dates were  
15 calibrated to calendar years using the Calib 7.0 program (Stuiver et al., 2014) and the marine  
16 calibration curve Marine13 (Reimer et al., 2013) that operates with a standard reservoir  
17 correction of -400 years (Mangerud and Gulliksen, 1975). A regional correction of  $\Delta\text{R}=7\pm11$   
18 years was applied, following the recommendations for planktonic foraminiferal dates by  
19 Bondevik and Gulliksen (in Mangerud et al., 2006). The ages were calculated as the mid-point  
20 value from the calibrated age range ( $\pm 2\sigma$ ). Calibrated dates are presented in years before  
21 present (BP) AD 1950 with standard deviation  $2\sigma$ . The age model was constructed assuming  
22 linear sedimentation between the calibrated dates (Fig. 3). The reservoir effect is probably not  
23 constant through time, and especially during the Younger Dryas it was probably larger (e.g.,  
24 Bard et al., 1994; Bondevik et al., 2006; Austin et al., 2011). However, the comparison of the  
25 stratigraphy and the magnetic susceptibility data between core JM10-330GC and the reference  
26 core for western Svalbard slope (Jessen et al., 2010) indicate that a standard reservoir  
27 correction age is appropriate. In particular, the presence of a diatom rich layer that was  
28 attributed to the early Holocene by Jessen et al. (2010), together with a marked shift in the  
29 species composition of the planktonic foraminiferal assemblage (previously dominated by the  
30 polar species *N. pachyderma* (s) and subsequently by the subpolar species *T. quinqueloba*),  
31 and the decrease in oxygen isotope values (Fig. 4) in the same interval, confirm an early  
32 Holocene age for these events, in accordance with our age model.

1 Stable isotopes (oxygen and carbon; Table S1) were measured on the planktonic foraminifera  
2 species *N. pachyderma* (s) and on the benthonic species *Cassidulina neoteretis* both picked  
3 from the >100 µm size fraction. Stable isotopes were performed at the Leibniz-Laboratory for  
4 Radiometric Dating and Isotope Research in Kiel, Germany, using a Finnigan MAT-253 mass  
5 spectrometer with Kiel IV system (analytical precision of  $\pm 0.05\text{\textperthousand}$  for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\pm 0.1\text{\textperthousand}$  for  
6  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  estimated by measuring the certified standard NBS-19). All isotope results are reported  
7 in standard delta notation relative to Vienna PeeDee Belemnite (VPDB). The  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  isotopic  
8 values were corrected for ice volume effect ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{IVC}}$ ), using the Fairbanks (1989) sea-level  
9 curve as dated by Bard (1990) with a correction of 0.11‰  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  per ten meters sea level  
10 change (subtracted from the measured  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values; Table S1).

11 Additional stable isotope analyses of cleaned benthonic foraminifera samples from the lower  
12 part of the core (418–370 cm) were performed on a Thermo Finnigan MAT252 mass  
13 spectrometer coupled with a CarboKiel-II carbonate preparation device (analytical precision  
14  $\pm 0.03\text{\textperthousand}$  for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\pm 0.08\text{\textperthousand}$  for  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  estimated by measuring the certified standard NBS-19;  
15 results are reported in standard delta notation relative to VPDB) at the Serveis Científico-  
16 Tècnics of the University of Barcelona (Table S1; Fig. 5). The benthonic foraminiferal  
17 samples have been cleaned following the protocol of Pena et al. (2005), which is adapted from  
18 Boyle and Rosenthal (1996). Prior to cleaning, the foraminifera were gently crushed between  
19 clean glass plates to break open individual chambers. The cleaning steps comprise: 1) removal  
20 of clays, Mn-Fe oxides and other mineral phases by a reductive cleaning step; 2) oxidative  
21 cleaning to eliminate organic matter; 3) weak acid leaching to remove remaining impurities  
22 from the shell surfaces. This protocol has proven to be efficient in removing the diagenetic  
23 carbonates attached to the tests of foraminifera (Pena et al., 2008; Panieri et al., 2012, 2014b).

24 The preservation state of the foraminifera tests were examined by Scanning Electron  
25 Microscope (SEM) in order to identify presence of secondary overgrowth of methane-derived  
26 authigenic carbonates in selected representative specimens of *N. pachyderma* (s) and *C.*  
27 *neoteretis* before the cleaning procedure (Figs. 6 and 8). Qualitative estimates of the trace  
28 metal content were obtained from the test surface (coated with gold/palladium) with Energy  
29 Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS) (Fig. 7). SEM secondary electronic images and EDS  
30 spectra were acquired on a JEOL 6610 tungsten SEM equipped with an Oxford Instrument  
31 AzTEC EDS system at the Electron Microscopy Centre, Plymouth University, UK.

1 **4 Results**

2 **4.1 Chronology and lithology**

3 Based on the calibrated  $^{14}\text{C}$  dates, the distribution patterns of polar and subpolar planktonic  
4 foraminifera species (Fig. 4a), and the benthonic and planktonic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records (Figs. 4b–d), we  
5 have correlated core JM10-330GC to the Greenland ice core event stratigraphy, applying the  
6 new Greenland Ice Core Chronology 2005 (GICC05) of Rasmussen et al. (2006). The GICC05  
7 time scale is b2k (before 2000 yrs), therefore to compare it with the calendar ages (AD before  
8 1950) used in this paper, we have subtracted 50 yrs from the GICC05 time scale. In this new  
9 chronology the different periods are defined as followed: end of Bølling interstadial: 14.025  
10 ka; onset of Younger Dryas (YD) stadial: 12.85 ka; YD-Holocene transition: 11.65 ka.

11 Our age model shows that the core contains postglacial sediments covering the last 14 ka,  
12 spanning from the upper part of the Bølling-Allerød (B-A) interstadial periods to Recent (Fig.  
13 4). The lithology (Fig. 3) is very similar to the reference core of the western Svalbard margin  
14 (Jessen et al., 2010), with the lower part (418–335 cm; 14.1–11.1 ka; B-A and YD interval)  
15 characterized by high concentration of ice-rafted debris (IRD) and common pyritized burrows  
16 indicative of bioturbation, with a greenish sandy layer at the beginning of the YD (360 cm;  
17 12.7 ka). There is a fine-grained, structureless, silty mud interval with high abundance of  
18 diatoms in the middle part (335–225 cm; 11.1–8.8 ka, labelled diatom rich mud in Fig. 4f). A  
19 homogeneous hemipelagic, grey clay with very little amount of IRD is present in the upper  
20 interval (225–0 cm; 8.8 ka to present).

21 The sedimentation rate is higher during the B-A period (~38 cm/ky) and in the early Holocene  
22 (42–50 cm/ky), but lower during the Younger Dryas (YD: ~18 cm/ky) and after 7.5 ka (19–26  
23 cm/ky) (Fig. 3; Table 1).

24

25 **4.2 Carbon isotope excursions (CIEs)**

26 During the Bølling-Allerød interstadials the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record of the infaunal benthonic foraminifera  
27 *C. neoteretis* shows values considerably lower than the average core value of  $-1.10\text{\textperthousand}$  (CIE I).  
28 The low values occur at about 13.9 ka ( $-2.87\text{\textperthousand}$ ) and at 13.5 ka ( $-4.37\text{\textperthousand}$ ), and another but less  
29 pronounced excursion at 12.9 ka ( $-2.21\text{\textperthousand}$ ; Fig. 4e). This first interval with depleted  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  has  
30 been termed carbon isotope excursion I (CIE I). The stable isotope analyses were repeated for  
31 this interval on *C. neoteretis* specimens cleaned with a procedure designed to remove

1 authigenic carbonate coatings (Pena et al., 2008; Panieri et al., 2012, 2014b). The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values  
2 obtained are higher (by up to 1.6‰) compared to the tests cleaned with the standard protocol,  
3 but are still lower (as low as -2.75‰) than the average value of the record (-1.10‰) (Table S1;  
4 Fig. 5).

5 The planktonic  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values during the CIE I interval are lower than the average core value of  
6 0.07‰, and show one prominent excursion (-2.61‰) at 13.5 ka (Fig. 4c).

7 Another interval with low benthonic  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values occurs in the early part of the Holocene (CIE  
8 II; Fig. 4e). This event lasted approximately 500 years (c. 10.5–10 ka) and is characterized by  
9 benthonic  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values that are lower than -2‰, with the most prominent excursion at 10.3 ka  
10 (-3.41‰). CIE II is not recorded in the planktonic record, where the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are very close  
11 to the average core value and within the normal range of the marine environment (Fig. 4c).

12 The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values in both benthonic and planktonic records between the two events (from about  
13 12.8 to 10.5 ka) are very close or slightly lower than average values, whereas after 9 ka they  
14 are higher compared to the average values (Figs. 4c–e).

15 The  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{IVC}}$  benthonic and planktonic records present little variability if compared to the  
16 average values of 4.35‰ and 3.12‰, respectively (Figs. 4b and 4d). Light isotope excursions  
17 are present in both the benthonic (<0.7‰ more depleted than the average) and planktonic  
18 record (about 1.2‰ more depleted than the average) during the Younger Dryas and are  
19 probably related to a melt water event, which is also documented by a sandy layer (Fig. 4f).  
20 No light isotopic excursions are associated with CIE I, and in the early Holocene only two  
21 relatively light (<0.7 ‰ more depleted than the average) excursions exist in the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$   
22 benthonic record at the beginning and at the end of CIE II (Fig. 4d).

23 The SEM images of *N. pachyderma* (s) from the most negative excursion of CIE I (-2.61‰,  
24 390 cm bsf at 13.5 ka) show evidence of surface alteration (Figs. 6A–B) with a thin coating  
25 (<1µm) covering unevenly parts of the test (Fig. 6C). The Energy Dispersive X-ray  
26 Spectroscopy (EDS) estimates show that the deposited layer is highly enriched in Si,  
27 moderately enriched in Mg with minor traces of Fe and S (EDS 1 and 2 in Figs. 6C and 7).  
28 The internal part of the wall looks unaltered with pristine crystal palisades consisting of  
29  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (EDS 3 in Figs. 6C and 7).

30 The *N. pachyderma* (s) tests from CIE II (-0.02‰, 295 cm bsf at 10.3 ka) and from the late  
31 Holocene (0.68‰, 80 cm bsf at 4.1 ka) are well preserved with pristine shell structure (Figs.

1 6D–E and G–H) and walls characterized by crystal palisades typical of this species (Figs. 6F–  
2 I).

3 The SEM images of *C. neoteretis* (not cleaned specimens) are more difficult to interpret. No  
4 clear coatings have been detected on the test surface of the specimens from both depleted  
5 intervals CIE I ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ : -4.37‰, 13.5 ka, 390 cm bsf; Figs. 8A–C) and CIE II ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ : -3.41‰,  
6 10.3 ka, 295 cm bsf; Figs. 8D–F). In both cases, the surface of the tests appears altered with  
7 enlarged and irregular pores, probably due to dissolution and possibly associated with  
8 recrystallization in the internal part of the test. The specimens from CIE II look even more  
9 altered compared to the ones from CIE I. Specimens of *C. neoteretis* were very rare in this  
10 interval and almost all the specimens have been used for isotope analyses. The specimens used  
11 for the SEM pictures were the only examples left, were very small (note the scale in Fig. 8C)  
12 and very poorly preserved.

13 The *C. neoteretis* tests from an interval with normal  $^{13}\text{C}$  values (-0.44‰, 80 cm bsf at 4.1 ka)  
14 are well preserved with pristine shell structure and small (<1µm), round pores (Figs. 8Q–R).

15

## 16 5 Discussion

### 17 5.1 CIEs: secondary overgrowth vs. primary tests

18 The benthonic foraminiferal (*C. neoteretis*)  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record shows negative excursions in the  
19 Bølling-Allerød interstadials (CIE I) and in the early Holocene (CIE II). These values are 1–  
20 3.3‰ lower than the average value of -1.10‰ in the sediment core, which is comparable to  
21 values of *C. neoteretis* recovered from sites unaffected by methane seepage from the same  
22 region (ca. -1 to 0‰ in the northern Barents Sea: Wollenburg et al., 2001; -1.15‰ in a control  
23 site away from the Håkon Mosby mud volcano in the Barents Sea: Mackensen et al., 2006).

24 The planktonic foraminiferal  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record shows a similar negative trend only during CIE I  
25 with one prominent negative excursion (-2.61‰) at 13.5 ka (Fig. 4c). Here the planktonic  
26 values are generally lower compared to the normal  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  range of *N. pachyderma* (s) in the  
27 same region (between ca. -0.5‰ and 1‰: Volkmann and Mensch, 2001; Nørgaard-Pedersen  
28 et al., 2003; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Jessen et al., 2010).

29 Planktonic foraminifera are not expected to record a signal from methane in the water column  
30 because most of the methane that have escaped from the seafloor should be consumed by  
31 methanotrophic bacteria in the sediment and water column (Reeburgh, 2007). The negative  
32 values of *N. pachyderma* (s) can be attributed to diagenetic alteration that may stem from

1 methane-derived authigenic carbonates (MDACs) on the foraminiferal tests after their  
2 deposition to the seafloor (Torres et al., 2003, 2010; Millo et al., 2005a; Panieri et al., 2009).  
3 Therefore, in order to distinguish the isotopic records of the secondary overgrowth from the  
4 primary tests, we have repeated the isotopic analyses on cleaned *C. neoteretis* specimens from  
5 the CIE I interval (see above). The repetition of the isotopic analyses for *N. pachyderma* (s)  
6 and for *C. neoteretis* from the CIE II interval was impossible because of lack of material. Thus  
7 a detailed SEM investigation was carried out on both species (see below).

8 The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values obtained after the cleaning procedure (as low as  $-2.75\text{\textperthousand}$ ) are still significantly  
9 lower than the core average ( $-1.10\text{\textperthousand}$ ; Table S1; Fig. 5) and also lower compared to the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$   
10 records of *C. neoteretis* of the last deglaciation from the same region with values ca.  $-0.5$  to  
11  $0.3\text{\textperthousand}$  in the Kara Sea (Lubinski et al., 2001), ca.  $-1$  to  $0\text{\textperthousand}$  in the N Barents Sea (Wollenburg  
12 et al., 2001) and ca.  $0$  to  $1.5\text{\textperthousand}$  in the SW Barents Sea (Aagaard-Sørensen et al., 2010). Dead  
13 specimens (empty tests) of *C. neoteretis* from surface samples at the active Håkon Mosby mud  
14 volcano in the Barents Sea show very similar  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  negative values (from  $-1.65\text{\textperthousand}$  to  $-2.82\text{\textperthousand}$ )  
15 (Mackensen et al., 2006). We suggest that the low  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values obtained after the cleaning  
16 procedure could be the result of calcification in presence of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted DIC and probably  
17 ingestion of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted methanotrophic microbes on which foraminifera feed (e.g. Rathburn  
18 et al., 2003; Hill et al., 2004; Panieri et al., 2014a). We interpret the  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted values of  
19 diagenetic overgrowth on the *C. neoteretis* shells as cumulatively added to the already  
20 negative values of the primary tests.

21 The SEM pictures of *N. pachyderma* (s) from the most negative excursion during CIE I (390  
22 cm, 13.5 ka) show clear evidence of surface alteration with a thin coating enriched in  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  
23 Mg and traces of  $\text{FeS}$  (Figs. 6C and 7). Authigenic silica are common in ancient limestones  
24 from seep sites (Smrzka et al., 2015) and similar  $\text{SiO}_2$  enrichments have been observed in  
25 secondary coatings on *N. pachyderma* (s) from depleted  $^{13}\text{C}$  intervals in the southwestern  
26 Greenland Sea (Millo et al., 2005a). According to Smrzka et al. (2015), the increase of pH of  
27 pore waters due to AOM would mobilize biogenic silica present in the sediments, and  
28 subsequently the dissolved silica can re-precipitate in the periphery of the AOM hotspot. Mg  
29 enrichments in the foraminiferal tests are typical of MDACs, as previously observed by Torres  
30 et al. (2003, 2010). The production of bicarbonate and hydrogen sulfide increases carbonate  
31 alkalinity at the SMTZ, inducing the precipitation of carbonate minerals and pyrite (e.g. Ritger  
32 et al., 1987; Peckmann et al., 2001; Sassen et al., 2004).

1 *N. pachyderma* (s) in the early Holocene (CIE II) exhibit values in the normal marine range  
2 ( $>-0.5\text{\textperthousand}$ ) and the tests from this interval do not show any sign of surface alteration or coatings  
3 (Figs. 6D–F)

4 No clear indications of coatings have been observed on the benthonic foraminiferal tests from  
5 both depleted intervals (CIE I and CIE II; Figs. 8A–F). The surface alteration in this case  
6 mainly consists of dissolution features probably due to an increase in  $\text{CO}_2$  during AOM in  
7 marine sediments (Eq. (2); Sun and Turchyn, 2014). It is also possible that the precipitation of  
8 MDACs in this case have occurred inside the benthonic tests. This is probably because the  
9 smooth and imperforate test of *C. neoteretis* is less likely to accommodate contamination and  
10 crystalline overgrowth than the *N. pachyderma* (s) test with higher porosity and surface area,  
11 as already observed by Cook et al. (2011).

12 The results of the repeated isotope analyses on the cleaned specimens from CIE I interval  
13 seem to support this hypothesis since the cleaning procedure is meant to remove the authigenic  
14 carbonate overgrowth and the values obtained are indeed less negative compared to the un-  
15 cleaned specimens. However, these aspects are not fully understood and require additional  
16 analyses and investigations.

17

## 18 **5.2 CIEs: evidence for methane release**

19 In order to explain the different results found for CIE I and CIE II, we have used a schematic  
20 diagram modified after Borowski et al. (1996) and suggest two different scenarios depending  
21 on the strength of the methane flux at the seep site (Fig. 9).

22 According to Borowski et al. (1996), the intensity of upward methane flux can control sulfate  
23 ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) profiles and depth of the sulfate methane transition zone (SMTZ), if the sulfate  
24 diffusion from the seawater into the sediment and the sediment characteristics are considered  
25 constant (Fig. 9B).

26 The first scenario (Fig. 9A) represents CIE II, where only benthonic foraminifera show  
27 negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values. In this case the methane flux is high and the SMTZ is very close to the  
28 seafloor. The oxidation of methane is less efficient causing lower rates of AOM and higher  
29 methane flux into the bottom waters. The methane escaped is then partially oxidized by  
30 methanotrophic aerobic microbes in the water column (Niemann et al., 2006). In this scenario,  
31 the negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values in the benthonic foraminiferal tests are likely to be the result of

1 calcification in presence of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted DIC and probably ingestion of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted  
2 methanotrophic bacteria. In high advective flow settings, the surface communities are often  
3 dominated by bacterial mats (Treude et al., 2003; Boetius and Wenzhöfer, 2013) on which  
4 benthonic foraminifera can prey (Rathburn et al., 2003; Panieri et al., 2014a). Similar negative  
5  $^{13}\text{C}$  values (from -1.5 to -4.0 ‰) have been previously observed in benthonic foraminifera  
6 living at methane seep sites (Sen Gupta and Aharon, 1994; Wefer et al., 1994; Sen Gupta et  
7 al., 1997; Keigwin, 2002; Hill et al., 2003, 2004; Rathburn et al., 2003; Martin et al., 2007,  
8 2010; Panieri et al., 2009, 2012, 2014a). However, we cannot exclude that early authigenic  
9 carbonates were precipitated on the foraminiferal tests, when they were still alive. At Hydrate  
10 Ridge, authigenic carbonate precipitates as a product of AOM at the surface (Bohrmann et al.  
11 1998).

12 The second scenario (Fig. 9C) represents CIE I, where both benthonic and planktonic  
13 foraminifera exhibit negative values of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ . We interpreted these anomalies in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  as due to  
14 secondary carbonate precipitation after the benthonic and planktonic foraminifera were buried.  
15 The precipitation of carbonate occurs when the pore water is oversaturated with respect to  
16 carbonate minerals. The SMTZ is typically a narrow zone of just a few centimetres (e.g.,  
17 Iversen and Jørgensen, 1985; Niewöhner et al., 1998; Treude et al., 2005) resulting in the  
18 sharp negative shift in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  recorded by both benthonic and planktonic foraminifera (see Figs.  
19 4 and 5). According to Borowski et al. (1996) MDACs precipitation occur when the methane  
20 flux is low and all the methane is oxidised by AOM within the SMTZ. It is also possible that  
21 the primary test of the benthonic foraminifera was already depleted in  $^{13}\text{C}$  (orange benthonic  
22 foraminifera in Fig. 9C), and the negative values of the secondary carbonate overgrowth were  
23 cumulative added (see above).

24 These observations arise several questions and hypotheses about the possibility by benthonic  
25 foraminifera to record past methane emissions. Further measurements on foraminifera from  
26 methane seep sites and experiments are necessary to better understand how methane emissions  
27 from different sources can affect the foraminiferal shells and the sort of information that can  
28 be obtained from analysis of the isotope composition of their tests.

29

### 30 **5.3 North Atlantic deglacial CIEs**

31 Similar negative excursions in foraminiferal  $^{13}\text{C}$  records have been reported in several  
32 Quaternary isotope records and have been interpreted as evidence for methane release

1 (Kennett et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2001; Keigwin, 2002; Millo et al., 2005b; Cook et al., 2011;  
2 Hill et al., 2012). In particular, low  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values during the deglaciation have also been  
3 reported by Smith et al. (2001) in stable isotope records from the East Greenland continental  
4 shelf (cores JM96 in Fig. 1). In order to compare these events with the Vestnesa Ridge record  
5 (Fig. 10), the original radiocarbon ages of Smith et al. (2001) have been re-calibrated with  
6 Calib 7.0 program (see above). No regional correction has been applied for the deeper cores  
7 (JM96-1214: 574 mwd and JM96-1215: 668 mwd) as suggested by Jennings et al. (2006),  
8 whereas in the shallow core (JM96-1207: 404 mwd) a correction of  $\Delta R = -150$  years has been  
9 applied, following Jennings et al. (2002). The first (14.4–14.2 ka;  $-3.5\text{\textperthousand}$  for *C. neoteretis*) and  
10 second excursions (12.9 ka;  $-4.8\text{\textperthousand}$  for *N. pachyderma* (s)) isotopic excursions in the East  
11 Greenland record are close in time to the beginning and the end of CIE I, respectively (Fig.  
12 10b). The third excursion (10.9–9.7 ka;  $-6.4\text{\textperthousand}$  for *N. pachyderma* s,  $-3.8\text{\textperthousand}$  for *Cibicides*  
13 *lobatulus*) is coeval with CIE II, but apparently the event lasted longer (Fig. 10b).

14 Negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  excursions during glacial and deglacial times in the Nyegga pockmark  
15 field (800–1000 mwd, NPF in Fig. 1) have been recently reported (Hill et al., 2012). The  
16 seepage in this area was particularly active between 15 and 13 ka, with several  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  negative  
17 excursions (as low as  $-6\text{\textperthousand}$ ) in both benthonic and planktonic records, while around 9 ka a  
18 negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  excursion is present in the benthonic record ( $-2.7\text{\textperthousand}$ ), but not reflected in the  
19 planktonic record (Hill et al., 2012).

20 The similarity in timing of these carbon isotope excursions over long distances and  
21 wide water depth ranges (Fig. 1) is remarkable and suggests that the CIEs could be regional at  
22 the scale of the North Atlantic Ocean to the Fram Strait.

23 A short-term change in stable carbon isotope composition of the North Atlantic deep water  
24 would be registered also in the foraminiferal record of sites away from seep sites. According  
25 to the data published so far (e.g. Volkmann and Mensch, 2001; Wollenburg et al., 2001;  
26 Nørgaard-Pedersen et al., 2003; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Aagaard-Sørensen et al., 2010; Jessen  
27 et al., 2010) there are no such anomalies in the North Atlantic during these time intervals.  
28 Therefore, these regional events likely consist of local carbon isotope perturbation at different  
29 locations (Fig. 1), which presumably have been triggered by local processes, but with a similar  
30 timing during the last deglaciation.

31 Both the Bølling-Allerød interstadials and the early Holocene are periods of climate warming  
32 during the deglaciation (NGRIP Members, 2004) (Fig. 10c) and are characterized by increased  
33  $\text{CH}_4$  concentration in the atmosphere (Brook et al., 2000; GISP2) (Fig. 10d). They also occur

1 during periods of rapid sea-level rise (melt water pulse-mwp-1A: 14.3–12.8 ka; mwp-1B:  
2 11.5–8.8 ka; Fig. 10e) (Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Stanford et al., 2011). These findings  
3 suggest an apparent correlation between methane events in the North Atlantic and the Fram  
4 Strait, and climatic events at global or regional scale.

5

#### 6 **5.4 Possible triggering mechanisms and connection with climate change?**

7 It is still not possible to determine if present-day gas emissions on the eastern part of the  
8 Vestnesa Ridge are sourced from below the GHSZ (Gas Hydrate Stability Zone), directly from  
9 dissociation of gas hydrates, or from a combination of deeper and shallower processes (Bünz  
10 et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2014). It is also unclear whether focused fluid flow pathways from  
11 the base of the GHSZ have been established recently at the end of the last glaciation, or  
12 whether they have existed for much longer and have been reactivated multiple times. Even  
13 though this study has only documented two former events of increased emission at the western  
14 end of the Vestnesa Ridge, it favours a model of reactivation of chimney structures. The  
15 timing of the gas emissions and reactivation seem to occur during periods of climate change  
16 similar to observations from the mid-Norwegian margin, where the generation and initiation of  
17 focused fluid flow is most likely related to an overpressure due to a combined effect of loading  
18 of glaciogenic sediments and shelf ice glaciation (Hustoft et al., 2009b; Plaza-Faverola et al.,  
19 2011). However, the initial generation of such chimney structures and their reactivation might  
20 each be related to different geological processes. Chimneys are usually conceived as a network  
21 of connected small-scale fractures originating from natural hydraulic fracturing (Arntsen et al.,  
22 2007). They represent pre-existing zones of weakness and open pathways that might facilitate  
23 fluid flow much more easily than during the initial generation of the fracture network. In deep-  
24 water areas these fractures might be filled with gas hydrate (Kim et al., 2011).

25 The climate forcing of gas emission in the western part of the Vestnesa Ridge documented  
26 herein could be the result of the individual or combined effect of sea-level rise, increased  
27 seismicity, elevated sedimentation rates and/or gas hydrate dissociation. From the above  
28 mentioned processes it seems unlikely that gas hydrate dissociation and loading of glaciogenic  
29 sediment have played a major role given that our study area is in deep-water, far away from  
30 the shelf edge and that the timing of the deposition of large amount of glaciogenic sediments  
31 (Ottesen et al., 2005; Mattingdal et al., 2014) does not coincide with the venting periods  
32 documented herein.

1 Both CIEs occur at times when deep convection and generation of cold bottom water is strong  
2 (McManus et al., 2004; Ezat et al., 2014). The high  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{ICV}}$  values of the benthonic record  
3 during CIE I confirm that the area was bathed in cold water during the B-A interval (Fig. 4d).  
4 During CIE II, the marked shift in the planktonic assemblage (previously dominated by the  
5 polar species *N. pachyderma* (s) and subsequently by the subpolar species *T. quinqueloba*, Fig.  
6 4a) indicates a surface water warming. The presence of two relatively light excursions of  
7 benthonic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{ICV}}$  ( $<0.7\text{\textperthousand}$ ; Fig. 4d) indicate a small warming of the bottom water (see  
8 Rasmussen et al., 2007, 2014; Ezat et al., 2014; Groot et al., 2014), but certainly too small to  
9 start gas hydrate dissociation. Hydrate dissociation at such depth would require a substantial  
10 warming before any gas can escape from deeper buried sediments ( $>1000$  mwd) (Reagan and  
11 Moridis, 2007), although hydrates buried at shallower depth within chimneys could be  
12 affected to some extent and release gas at the seabed (Smith et al., 2014).

13 The present sedimentation rate on the Vestnesa Ridge is about 19 cm/ka whereas, during the  
14 deglaciation, it was considerably higher (40–50 cm/ka; Fig. 3 and Table 1). Around 14.6 to  
15 14.3 ka sedimentation rates on the western Svalbard margin increased over large areas to  $>$   
16 5m/ka (Jessen et al., 2010 and references therein). It is however, not known if such a relatively  
17 small loading alone could have significantly increased fluid overpressure in the subsurface  
18 resulting in methane release at the seabed.

19 Sea level, on the other hand, has risen considerably after the last glaciation and the two  
20 documented CIEs correlate well with two major melt water pulses (mwp-1A and -1B; Peltier  
21 and Fairbanks, 2006; Stanford et al., 2011) (Fig. 10e). Global sea level rise has been  
22 implicated in triggering of landslides by causing an increase in excess pore pressure in the  
23 sub-seafloor during the deglaciation and the Holocene in the northern North Atlantic sector  
24 (Owen et al., 2007; McGuire and Maslin, 2012). However, the hydrostatic pressure increase  
25 would only affect excess pore pressures if impermeable sediments or a complex subsurface  
26 structure traps the pores. These trapping mechanisms might be provided by gas hydrates that  
27 clog the pore space along the BSR or fill the fractures of gas chimneys (Nimblett et al., 2003;  
28 Kim et al., 2011). Potentially a cumulative effect of sedimentation and sea-level rise has  
29 elevated excess pore pressure to initiate gas migration along the fracture network within a  
30 chimney.

31 Moreover, sea level rise, elevated sedimentation rates in the whole study area and the isostatic  
32 rebound following the retreat of the glaciers (Landvik et al., 1998; Forman et al., 2004;  
33 Bungum et al., 2005) may foster other processes, such as lithospheric stress changes resulting

1 in increased seismicity. Modeling studies (Wallmann et al., 1988; Nakada et al., 1992) have  
2 demonstrated that sea-level changes are capable of triggering or modulating tectonic activity.  
3 More specifically, Luttrell and Sandwell (2010) have shown that lithospheric flexure due to  
4 ocean loading caused by post-glacial sea-level rise was sufficient to promote failure through  
5 the reduction of normal stress thereby reactivating faults.  
6 Increased seismicity might have had a two-fold effect on the fluid flow system in the Vestnesa  
7 Ridge. It might have led to an increased supply of gas along deep-seated faults leading to an  
8 increase in pore pressures beneath the hydrate-bearing sediments. Furthermore, it might have  
9 led to an excitation and dilation of fractures within the chimney structures leading to the  
10 leakage of gas, similar to what has been observed on the Bear Island Fan (Franek et al., 2014).  
11 We cannot conclusively distinguish which factors triggered gas venting in the two periods at  
12 the end of and shortly after the last glaciation, but suggest that a combined effect of sea-level  
13 rise, sedimentation and seismicity might have led to increased pore pressures in an already  
14 over-pressured system, thereby promoting and initiating gas venting from the seabed at the  
15 western part of the Vestnesa Ridge.

16

## 17 **6 Conclusions**

18 We have presented new data from a sediment core collected at the Vestnesa Ridge in the  
19 eastern part of the Fram Strait, the deep-water gateway to the Arctic Ocean. Here, a sediment  
20 core was retrieved from an apparently inactive pockmark in the western, deeper part of the  
21 Vestnesa Ridge. The results show a surprisingly undisturbed sedimentary record that allows  
22 establishing a detailed methane release event chronology for the last 14 ka. The benthonic  
23  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record shows negative carbon isotope excursions (CIEs) as low as  $-4.37\text{\textperthousand}$  during the  
24 Bølling-Allerød interstadials (CIE I) and as low as  $-3.41\text{\textperthousand}$  in the early Holocene (CIE II). The  
25 persistence of negative values (as low as  $-2.75\text{\textperthousand}$ ) after a thorough cleaning procedure, which  
26 was designed to remove all authigenic carbonate coatings on benthonic foraminiferal tests  
27 from CIE I samples, indicate that  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted values of diagenetic overgrowth of shells of *C.*  
28 *neoteretis* are cumulatively added to the already negative values of the primary test.  
29 The planktonic foraminifera  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record shows a similar negative trend during the CIE I (with  
30 values as low as  $-2.61\text{\textperthousand}$ ), but during CIE II the values are within the normal marine range ( $-0.5\text{\textperthousand}$  to  $1\text{\textperthousand}$ ). SEM investigations confirm the presence of a thin AOM-derived coating on the  
31 *N. pachyderma* tests exclusively from the CIE I interval.

1 During CIE I in the Bølling-Allerød the negative  $^{13}\text{C}$  values in both benthonic and planktonic  
2 foraminifera have been interpreted as due to methane derived authigenic carbonates. During  
3 CIE II in the early Holocene, only benthonic foraminifera exhibit negative  $^{13}\text{C}$  values, which  
4 in this case have been interpreted as the result of the incorporation of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted carbon  
5 from methane emissions during the primary biomineralization of the tests and probably  
6 ingestion of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted methanotrophic microbes on which foraminifera feed. It is also  
7 possible that early authigenic carbonates, as AOM products in surface sediments, were  
8 precipitated on the benthonic foraminiferal tests when they were still alive.

9 Methane release events with similar timing have been reported in several locations in the  
10 North Atlantic and together with our new observation point to a more regional event, showing  
11 an apparent correlation to northern climatic events.

12 We suggest that a combined effect of sea-level rise, high sediment loading and increased  
13 seismicity during the deglaciation could have led to increased pore pressures, and therefore  
14 promoting and initiating gas venting from the seafloor in the Vestnesa Ridge, eastern Fram  
15 Strait. The methane contribution from the ocean floor to the water column and to the  
16 atmosphere remains to be quantified.

17

## 18 **Acknowledgments**

19 This research is part of the Centre of Excellence: Arctic Gas hydrate, Environment and  
20 Climate (CAGE) funded by the Norwegian Research Council (grant no. 223259). Additional  
21 funding came from the European project HERMIONE of the 7<sup>th</sup> framework program  
22 environment including climate change (grant no. 226354), from the PNRA Project FORMAT  
23 and from the Paleo-CIRCUS project supported by the Mohn Foundation and UiT Arctic  
24 University of Tromsø. We are grateful to the captain, crew and scientific party on board R/V  
25 *Helmer Hanssen* for help in collecting the core. We thank the staff at the Electron Microscopy  
26 Centre at Plymouth University (UK) for assistance during SEM and EDS analysis. We  
27 acknowledge the assistance of J. P. Holm for Fig. 1 and Torger Grytå for Fig. 9. The  
28 manuscript has been greatly improved thanks to the constructive reviews by G. Dickens and L.  
29 J. de Nooijer. M. B. Hart is thanked for friendly review of the revised manuscript.

30

## 1 **References**

2 Aagaard, K., Foldvik, A., and Hillman, S. R.: The West Spitsbergen Current: disposition and  
3 water mass transformation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 3778–3784, 1987.

4 Aagaard, K., Swift, J. H., and Carmack, E. C.: Thermohaline circulation in the Arctic  
5 Mediterranean Seas, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 4833–4846, 1985.

6 Arntsen, B., Wensaas, L., Loseth, H., and Hermanrud, C.: Seismic modeling of gas chimneys,  
7 *Geophysics*, 72, 251–259, 2007.

8 Austin, W. E. N., Telford, R. J., Ninnemann, U. S., Brown, L., Wilson, L. J., Small, D. P., and  
9 Bryant, C. L.: North Atlantic reservoir ages linked to high Younger Dryas atmospheric  
10 radiocarbon concentrations, *Global Planet. Change*, 79, 226–233, 2011.

11 Bard, E., Arnold, M., Mangerud, J., Paterne, M., Labeyrie, L., Duprat, J., Mélières, M.-A.,  
12 Sønstegaard, E., and Duplessy, J.-C.: The North Atlantic atmosphere-sea surface  $^{14}\text{C}$   
13 gradient during the Younger Dryas climatic event, *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, 126, 275–287,  
14 1994.

15 Berndt, C., Feseker, T., Treude, T., Krastel, S., Liebetrau, V., Niemann, H., Bertics, V. J.,  
16 Dumke, I., Dünnbier, K., Ferré, B., Graves, C., Gross, F., Hissmann, K., Hühnerbach, V.,  
17 Krause, S., Lieser, K., Schauer, J., and Steinle, L.: Temporal constraints on hydrate-  
18 controlled methane seepage off Svalbard, *Science*, 343(6168), 284–287, 2014.

19 Biastoch, A., Treude, T., Rüpke, L. H., Riebesell, U., Roth, C., Burwicz, E. B., Park, W.,  
20 Latif, M., Böning, C. W., Madec, G., and Wallmann, K.: Rising Arctic Ocean  
21 temperatures cause gas hydrate destabilization and ocean acidification, *Geophys. Res.*  
22 *Lett.*, 38, L08602, 2011.

23 Boetius, A., Ravenschlag, K., Schubert, C. J., Rickert, D., Widdel, F., Gieseke, A., Amann, R.,  
24 Jørgensen, B. B., Witte, U., and Pfannkuche, O.: A marine microbial consortium  
25 apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane, *Nature*, 407, 623–626, 2000.

26 Boetius, A., and Wenzhöfer, F.: Seafloor oxygen consumption fuelled by methane from cold  
27 seeps, *Nat. Geosci.*, 6, 725–734, doi:10.1038/ngeo1926, 2013.

28 Bohrmann, G., Greinert, J., Suess, E., and Torres, M.: Authigenic carbonates from the  
29 Cascadia subduction zone and their relation to gas hydrate stability, *Geology*, 26,  
30 647–650, 1998.

1 Bondevik, S., Mangerud, J., Birks, H. H., Gulliksen, S., and Reimer, P.: Changes in North  
2 Atlantic radiocarbon reservoir ages during the Allerød and Younger Dryas, *Science*, 312,  
3 1514–1517, 2006.

4 Borowski, W., Paull, C. K., and Ussler III, W.: Marine pore-water sulphate profiles indicate in  
5 situ methane flux from underlying gas hydrate, *Geology*, 24(7), 655–658, 1996.

6 Boyle, E. A., and Rosenthal, Y.: Chemical hydrography of the south Atlantic during the Last  
7 Glacial Maximum: Cd vs.  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ . In: Wefer, G., et al. (Ed.), *The South Atlantic: Present*  
8 and *Past Circulation*. Springer, New York, 423–443, 1996.

9 Brook, E. J., Harder, S., Severinghaus, J., Steig, E. J., and Sucher, C. M.: On the origin and  
10 timing of rapid changes in atmospheric methane during the last glacial period, *Global*  
11 *Biogeochem. Cy.*, 14(2), 559–572, 2000.

12 Bungum, H., Lindholm, C., and Faleide, J. I.: Postglacial seismicity offshore mid-Norway  
13 with emphasis on spatio-temporal-magnitudal variations, *Mar. Petrol. Geol.*, 22, 137–148,  
14 2005.

15 Bünz, S., Polyanov, S., Vadakkepuliyambatta, S., Consolaro, C., and Mienert, J.: Active gas  
16 venting trough hydrate-bearing sediments on the Vestnesa Ridge, offshore W-Svalbard,  
17 *Mar. Geol.*, 332-334, 189–197, 2012.

18 Cook, M. S., Keigwin, L. D., Birgel, D., and Hinrichs, K-U.: Repeated pulses of vertical  
19 methane flux recorded in glacial sediments from the southeast Bering Sea,  
20 *Paleoceanography*, 26, PA2210, doi:10.1029/2010PA001993, 2011.

21 Ezat, M., Rasmussen, T. L., and Groeneveld, J.: Persistent intermediate water warming during  
22 cold stadials in the southeastern Nordic seas during the past 65 k.y., *Geology*, 42,  
23 663–666, doi:10.1130/G35579.1, 2014.

24 Engen, Ø., Faleide, J. I., and Dyreng, T. K.: Opening of the Fram Strait gateway: A review of  
25 plate tectonic constraints, *Tectonophysics*, 450, 51–69, 2008.

26 Fairbanks, R. G.: A 17,000-year glacio-eustatic sea level record: influence of glacial melting  
27 rates on the Younger Dryas event and deep-ocean circulation, *Nature*, 342, 637–742,  
28 1989.

29 Ferré, B., Mienert, J., and Feseker, T.: Ocean temperature variability for the past 60 years in  
30 the Norwegian-Svalbard margin influences gas hydrate stability on human time scale, *J.*  
31 *Geophys. Res.*, 117, C10017, doi:10.1029/2012JC008300, 2012.

1 Forman, S. L., Lubinski, D. J., Ingólfsson, Ó., Zeeberg, J. J., Snyder, J. A., Siegert, M. J., and  
2 Matishov, G. G.: A review of postglacial emergence on Svalbard, Franz Josef Land and  
3 Novaya Zemlya, northern Eurasia, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 23, 1391–1434, 2004.

4 Franek, P., Mienert, J., Bünz, S., and Géli, L.: Character of seismic motion at a location of a  
5 gas hydrate-bearing mud volcano on the SW Barents Sea margin, *J. Geophys. Res.-Sol.*  
6 *Ea.*, 119, 2014JB010990, 2014.

7 Groot, D. E., Aagaard-Sørensen, S., and Husum, K.: Reconstruction of Atlantic water  
8 variability during the Holocene in the western Barents Sea, *Clim. Past*, 10, 51–62, 2014.

9 Hill, T. M., Kennett, J. P., and Spero, H. J.: Foraminifera as indicators of methane-rich  
10 environments: a study of modern methane seeps in Santa Barbara channel, California,  
11 *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 49, 123–138, 2003.

12 Hill, T. M., Kennett, J. P., and Valentine, D. L.: Isotopic evidence for the incorporation of  
13 methane-derived carbon into foraminifera from modern methane seeps, Hydrate Ridge,  
14 Northeast Pacific, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 68, 4619–4627, 2004.

15 Hill, T. M., Paull, C. K., and Crister, R. B.: Glacial and deglacial seafloor methane emissions  
16 from pockmarks on the northern flank of the Storegga Slide complex, *Geo-Marine*  
17 *Letters*, 32, 73–84, 2012.

18 Hinrichs, K.-U., and Boetius, A.: The anaerobic oxidation of methane: New insights in  
19 microbial ecology and biogeochemistry, in: *Ocean Margin Systems*, edited by: Wefer, G.,  
20 Billett, D., and Hebbeln, D., Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 457–477, 2002.

21 Hustoft, S., Bunz, S., Mienert, J., and Chand, S.: Gas hydrate reservoir and active methane-  
22 venting province in sediments on <20 Ma young oceanic crust in the Fram Strait, offshore  
23 NW-Svalbard, *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, 284, 12–24, 2009a.

24 Hustoft, S., Dugan, B., and Mienert, J.: Effects of rapid sedimentation on developing the  
25 Nyegga pockmark field: Constraints from hydrological modeling and 3-D seismic data,  
26 offshore mid-Norway, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosy.*, 10, Q06012,  
27 doi:10.1029/2009GC002409, 2009b .

28 Iversen, N., and Jørgersen, B. B.: Anaerobic methane oxidation rates at the sulfate-methane  
29 transition in marine sediments from Kattegat and Skagerrak (Denmark), *Limnol.*  
30 *Oceanogr.*, 30(5), 944–955, 1985.

1 Jennings, A. E., Hald, M., Smith, M., and Andrews, J. T.: Freshwater forcing from the  
2 Greenland Ice Sheet during the Younger Dryas: evidence from southeastern Greenland  
3 shelf cores, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 25, 282–298, 2006.

4 Jennings, A. E., Knudsen, K. L., Hald, M., Carsten, V. H., and Andrews, J. T.: A mid-  
5 Holocene shift in Arctic sea-ice variability on the East Greenland Shelf, *The Holocene*,  
6 12(1), 49–58, 2002.

7 Jessen, S. P., Rasmussen, T. L., Nielsen, T., and Solheim, A.: A new Late Weichselian and  
8 Holocene marine chronology for the western Svalbard slope 30,000-0 cal years,  
9 *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 29, 1301–1312, 2010.

10 Judd, A. G., and Hovland, M.: Seabed Fluid Flow: the Impact on Geology, Biology, and the  
11 Marine Environment, Cambridge University press, 475 pp., 2007.

12 Keigwin, L. D.: Late Pleistocene-Holocene paleoceanography and ventilation of the Gulf of  
13 California, *Journal of Oceanography*, 58, 421–432, 2002.

14 Kennett, J. P., Cannariato, K. G., Hendy, I. L., and Behl, R. J.: Carbon Isotopic evidence for  
15 methane hydrate instability during Quaternary interstadials, *Science*, 288, 128–133, 2000.

16 Kim, G. Y., Yi, B. Y., Yoo, D. G., Ryu, B. J., and Riedel, M.: Evidence of gas hydrate from  
17 downhole logging data in the Ulleung Basin, East Sea, *Mar. Petrol. Geol.*, 28, 1979–1985,  
18 2011.

19 Knittel, K., and Boetius, A.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane: progress with an unknown  
20 process, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 63, 311–334, 2009.

21 Landvik, J. Y., Bondevik, S., Elverhøi, A., Fjeldskaar, W., Mangerud, J., Salvigsen, O.,  
22 Siegert, M. J., Svendsen, J-I., and Vorren, T. O.: The last Glacial Maximum of Svalbard  
23 and the Barents Sea area: ice sheet extent and configuration, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 17,  
24 43–75, 1998.

25 Luttrell, K., and Sandwell, D.: Ocean loading effects on stress at near shore plate boundary  
26 fault systems, *J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Ea.*, 115, B08411, 2010.

27 Mackensen, A., Wollenburg, J., and Licari, L.: Low  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  in tests of live epibenthonic and  
28 endobenthonic foraminifera at a site of active methane seepage, *Paleocenography*, 12,  
29 PA2022, doi:10.1029/2005PA001196, 2006.

1 Mangerud, J., and Gulliksen, S.: Apparent radiocarbon ages of recent marine shells from  
2 Norway, Spitsbergen, and Arctic Canada, *Quaternary Res.*, 5, 263–273, 1975.

3 Mangerud, J., Bondrevik, S., Gulliksen, S., Hufthammer, A. K., and Høisæter, T.: Marine  $^{14}\text{C}$   
4 reservoir ages for 19<sup>th</sup> century whales and molluscs from the North Atlantic, *Quaternary*  
5 *Sci. Rev.*, 25, 3228–3245, 2006.

6 Martin, R. A., Nesbitt, E. A., and Campbell, K. A.: Carbon stable isotopic composition of  
7 benthic foraminifera from Pliocene cold methane seeps, Cascadia accretionary margin,  
8 *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoecol.*, 246, 260–277, 2007.

9 Martin, R. A., Nesbitt, E. A., Campbell, K. A.: The effect of anaerobic methane oxidation on  
10 benthonic foraminiferal assemblages and stable isotopes on the Hikurangi Margin of  
11 eastern New Zealand, *Mar. Geol.*, 272, 270–284, 2010.

12 Mattingsdal, R., Knies, J., Andreassen, K., Fabian, K., Husum, K., Grøsfjeld, K., De Schepper,  
13 S.: A new 6 Myr stratigraphic framework for the Atlantic-Arctic Gateway, *Quaternary*  
14 *Sci. Rev.*, 92, 170–178, 2014.

15 McGuire, B., and Maslin, M.: *Climate Forcing of Geological Hazards*, John Wiley & Sons,  
16 328 pp., 2012.

17 McManus, J. F., Francois, R., Gherardi, J.-M., Keigwin, L. D., and Brown-Ledger, S.:  
18 Collapse and rapid resumption of Atlantic meridional circulation linked to deglacial  
19 climate changes, *Nature*, 428, 834–837, 2004.

20 Millo, C., Sarnthein, M., Erlenkeuser, H., Grootes, P. M., and Andersen, N.: Methane-induced  
21 early diagenesis of foraminiferal tests in the southwestern Greenland Sea, *Mar.*  
22 *Micropaleontol.*, 58, 1–12, 2005a.

23 Millo, C., Sarnthein, M., Erlenkeuser, H., and Frederichs, T.: Methane-driven late Pleistocene  
24  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  minima and overflow reversals in the southwestern Greenland Sea, *Geology*, 33,  
25 873–876, 2005b.

26 Naehr, T. H., Eichhubl, P., Orphan, V. J., Hovland, M., Paull, C. K., Ussler III, W., Lorenson,  
27 T., and Greene, H. G.: Authigenic carbonate formation at hydrocarbon seeps in  
28 continental margin sediments: A comparative study, *Deep-Sea Res. II*, 54, 1268–1291,  
29 2007.

30 Nakada, M., and Yokose, H.: Ice age as a trigger of active Quaternary volcanism and  
31 tectonism, *Tectonophysics*, 212, 321–329, 1992.

1 Niemann, H., Lösekann, T., de Beer, D., Elvert, M., Nadalig, T., Knittel, K., Amann, R.,  
2 Sauter, E. J., Schlüter, M., Klages, M., Foucher, J. P., and Boetius, A.: Novel microbial  
3 communities of the Haakon Mosby mud volcano and their role as a methane sink, *Nature*,  
4 443, 854–858, 2006.

5 Niewöhner, C., Hensen, C., Kasten, S., Zabel, M., and Schulz H. D.: Deep sulfate reduction  
6 completely mediated by anaerobic methane oxidation in sediments of the upwelling area  
7 off Namibia, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 62, 455–464, 1998.

8 Nimblett, J., and Ruppel, C.: Permeability evolution during the formation of gas hydrates in  
9 marine sediments, *J. Geophys. Res.-Sol. Ea.*, 108, doi:10.1029/2001JB001650, 2003.

10 North Greenland Ice Core Project members: High-resolution record of Northern Hemisphere  
11 climate extending into the last interglacial period, *Nature*, 431, 147–151, 2004.

12 Nørgaard-Pedersen, N., Spielhagen, R F., Erlenkeuser, H., Grootes, P. M., Heinemeier, J., and  
13 Knies, J.: Arctic Ocean during the Last Glacial Maximum: Atlantic water and polar  
14 domains of surface water mass distribution and ice cover, *Paleoceanography*, 18(3), 1063,  
15 doi:10.1029/2002PA000781, 2003.

16 Ottesen, D., Dowdeswell, J. A., and Rise, L.: Submarine landforms and the reconstruction of  
17 fast-flowing ice streams within a large Quaternary ice sheet: the 2500-km-long  
18 Norwegian-Svalbard margin (57 degrees-80 degrees N), *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 117,  
19 1033–1050, 2005.

20 Owen, M., Day, S., and Maslin, M.: Late Pleistocene submarine mass movements: occurrence  
21 and causes, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 26, 958–978, 2007.

22 Panieri, G., Camerlenghi, A., Conti, S., Pini, G. A., and Cacho, I.: Methane seepages recorded  
23 in benthonic foraminifera from Miocene seep carbonates, Northern Apennines (Italy),  
24 *Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl. Palaeoecol.*, 284, 271–282, 2009.

25 Panieri, G., Camerlenghi, A., Cacho, I., Carvera, C. S., Canals, M., Lafuerza, S., and Herrera,  
26 G.: Tracing seafloor methane emissions with benthonic foraminifera: Results from the  
27 Ana submarine landslide (Eivissa Channel, Western Mediterranean Sea), *Mar. Geol.*, 291–  
28 294, 97–112, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2011.11.005, 2012.

29 Panieri, G., Aharun, P., Sen Gupta, B. K., Camerlenghi, A., Palmer Ferrer, F., and Cacho, I.:  
30 Late Holocene foraminifera of Blake Ridge diapir: Assemblage variation and stable-  
31 isotope record in gas-hydrate bearing sediments, *Mar. Geol.*, 353, 99–107, 2014a.

1 Panieri, G., James, R. H., Camerlenghi, A., Westbrooks, G. K., Consolaro, C., Cacho, I.,  
2 Cesari, V., Cervera, C. S.: Record of methane emission from the West Svalbard  
3 continental margin during the last 23.5 ka revealed by  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of benthonic foraminifera,  
4 *Global Planet. Change*, 122, 151–160, 2014b.

5 Peckmann, J., Reimer, A., Luth, U., Luth, C., Hansen, B. T., Heinicke, C., Hoefs, J., and  
6 Reitner, J.: Methane-derived carbonates and authigenic pyrite from the northwestern  
7 Black Sea, *Mar. Geol.*, 177, 129–150, 2001.

8 Peltier, W. R., and Fairbanks, R. G.: Global glacial ice volume and Last Glacial Maximum  
9 duration from an extended Barbados sea level record, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 25,  
10 3322–3337, 2006.

11 Pena, L. D., Calvo, E., Cacho, I., Eggins, S., and Palejero, C.: Identification and removal of  
12 Mn-Mg-rich contaminant phases in foraminiferal tests: Implications for Mg/Ca past  
13 temperature reconstructions, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosy.*, 6, Q09P02,  
14 doi:10.1029/2005GC000930, 2005.

15 Pena, L. D., Cacho, I., Calvo, E., Palejero, C., Eggins, S., and Sadekov, A.: Characterization  
16 of contaminant phases in foraminifera carbonates by electron microprobe mapping,  
17 *Geochem., Geophys., Geosy.*, 9, Q07012, doi:10.1029/2008GC002018, 2008.

18 Petersen, C. J., Bünz, S., Hustoft, S., Mienert, J., and Klaeschen, D.: High-resolution P-Cable  
19 3D seismic imaging of gas chimney structures in gas hydrated sediments of an Arctic  
20 sediment drift, *Mar. Petrol. Geol.*, 27, 1981–1994, 2010.

21 Plaza-Faverola, A., Bünz, S., and Mienert, J.: Repeated fluid expulsion through sub-seabed  
22 chimneys offshore Norway in response to glacial cycles, *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, 305,  
23 297–308, 2011.

24 Rasmussen, S. O., Andersen, K. K., Svensson, A. M., Steffensen, J. P., Vinther, B. M.,  
25 Clausen, H. B., Siggaard-Andersen, M.-L., Johnsen, S. J., Larsen, L. B., Dahl-Jensen, D.,  
26 Bigler, M., Röhlisberger, R., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma, K., Hansson, M. E., and Ruth, U.:  
27 A new Greenland ice core chronology for the last glacial termination, *J. Geophys. Res.*,  
28 111, D06102, doi:10.1029/2005JD006079, 2006.

29 Rasmussen, T. L., Thomsen, E., Ślubowska, M. A., Jessen, S. P., Solheim, A., and Koç, N.:  
30 Paleoceanographic evolution of the SW Svalbard margin (76°N) since 20,000  $^{14}\text{C}$  yr BP,  
31 *Quaternary Res.*, 67, 100–114, 2007.

1 Rasmussen, T. L., Thomsen, E., and Nielsen, T.: Water mass exchange between the Nordic  
2 seas and the Arctic Ocean on millennial time scale during MIS 4-MIS 2, *Geochem.*  
3 *Geophys. Geosy.*, 15, 530-544, doi: 10.1002/2013GC005020, 2014.

4 Rathburn, A. E., Pérez, M. E., Martin, J. B., Day, S. A., Mahn, C., Gieskes, J., Ziebis, W.,  
5 Williams, D., and Bahls, A.: Relationships between the distribution and stable isotopic  
6 composition of living benthonic foraminifera and cold methane seep biogeochemistry in  
7 Monterey Bay: California, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosy.*, 4, 1106,  
8 doi:10.1029/2003GC000595, 2003.

9 Reagan, M. T., and Moridis, G. J.: Oceanic gas hydrate instability and dissociation under  
10 climate change scenarios, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L22709, doi:10.1029/2007GL031671,  
11 2007.

12 Reeburgh, W. S.: Anaerobic methane oxidation: rate depth distributions in Skan Bay  
13 sediments, *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, 47, 345–352, 1980.

14 Reeburgh, W. S.: Oceanic methane biogeochemistry, *Chem. Rev.*, 107, 486–513, 2007.

15 Reimer, P. J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J. W., Blackwell, P. G., Ramsey, C. B., Caitlin, E.  
16 B., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P. M., Guilderson, T. P.,  
17 Haflidason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T. J., Hoffmann, D. L., Hogg, A. G., Huguen,  
18 K. A., Kaiser, K. F., Kromer, B., Manning, S. W., Niu, M., Reimer, R. W., Richards, D.  
19 A., Scott, E. M., Staff, R. A., Turney, C. S. M., and van der Plicht, J.: Intcal13 and  
20 Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0 – 50,000 years cal bp, *Radiocarbon*, 55(4),  
21 1869–1887, 2013.

22 Riedel, M., Hyndman, R. D., Spence, G. D., and Chapman, N. R.: Seismic investigations of a  
23 vent field associated with gas hydrates, offshore Vancouver Island. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107  
24 (B9), 2200, doi:10.1029/2001, 2002.

25 Ritger, S., Carson, B., and Suess, E.: Methane-derived authigenic carbonates formed by  
26 subduction induced pore-water expulsion along the Oregon Washington margin, *Geol.*  
27 *Soc. Am. Bull.*, 98, 147-156, 1987.

28 Sarnthein, M., Van Kreveld, S., Erlenkeuser, H., Grootes, P. M., Kucera, M., Pflaumann, U.,  
29 and Schulz, M.: Centennial-to-millennial-scale periodicities of Holocene climate and  
30 sediment injections off the western Barents shelf, 75° N, *Boreas*, 32, 447–461,  
31 doi:10.1080/03009480310003351, 2003.

1 Sassen, R., Roberts, H. H., Carney, R., Milkov, A. V., DeFreitas, D. A., Lanoil, B., and Zhang,  
2 C.: Free hydrocarbon gas, gas hydrate, and authigenic minerals in chemosynthetic  
3 communities of the northern Gulf of Mexico continental slope: relation to microbial  
4 processes, *Chem. Geol.*, 205, 195–217, 2004.

5 Screen, J. A., and Simmonds, I.: The central role of diminishing sea ice in recent Arctic  
6 temperature amplification, *Nature*, 464 (7293), 1334–1337, 2010.

7 Sen Gupta, B. K., and Aharon, P.: Benthonic foraminifera of bathyal hydrocarbon vents of the  
8 Gulf of Mexico: initial report on communities and stable isotopes, *Geo-Marine Letters*,  
9 14, 88–96, 1994.

10 Sen Gupta, B. K., Platon, E., Bernhard, J. M., and Aharon, P.: Foraminiferal colonization of  
11 hydrocarbon-seep bacterial mats and underlying sediment, *Gulf of Mexico slope, J.*  
12 *Foramin. Res.*, 27, 292–300, 1997.

13 Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Salyuk, A., Yusupov, V., Kosmach, D., and Gustafsson, Ö.:  
14 Extensive methane venting to the atmosphere from sediments of the East Siberian Arctic  
15 Shelf, *Science*, 327, 1246–1250, 2010.

16 Sloan, E. D.: Gas hydrates: Review of physical/chemical properties, *Energy & Fuels*, 12,  
17 191–196, 1998.

18 Smith, A. J., Mienert, J., Bünz, S., and Greinert, J.: Thermogenic methane injection via bubble  
19 transport into the upper Arctic Ocean from the hydrate-charged Vestnesa Ridge, Svalbard,  
20 *Geochem. Geophys. Geosy.*, 15, 1945–1959, doi:10.1002/2013GC005179, 2014.

21 Smith, L. M., Sachs, J. P., Jennings, A. E., Anderson, D. M., and deVernal, A.: Light  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$   
22 events during deglaciation of the East Greenland continental shelf attributed to methane  
23 release from gas hydrates, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 2217–2220, 2001.

24 Smrzka, D., Kraemer, S.M., Zwicker, J., Birgel, D., Fisher, D., Kasten, S., Goedert, J. L., and  
25 Peckmann, J.: Constraining silica diagenesis in methane-seep deposits, *Palaeogeogr.*  
26 *Palaeocl. Palaeoecol.*, 420, 13–26, 2015.

27 Spielhagen, R. F., Wermer, K., Aagaard-Sørensen, S., Zamelczyk, K., Kandiano, E., Budeus,  
28 G., Husum, K., Marchitto, T. M., and Hald, M.: Enhanced modern heat transfer to the  
29 Arctic by warm Atlantic water, *Science*, 331(6016), 450–453, 2011.

1 Stanford, J. D., Hemingway, R., Rohling, E. J., Challenor, P. G., Medina-Elizalde, M., and  
2 Lester, A. J.: Sea-level probability for the last deglaciation: A statistical analysis of far-  
3 field records, *Global Planet. Change*, 79, 193–203, 2011.

4 Stuiver, M., Reimer, P. J., and Reimer, R. W.: CALIB Radiocarbon Calibration, Execute  
5 Version 7.0.html, <http://calib.qub.ac.uk/calib/>, 2014.

6 Sun, X., and Turchyn, A.V.: Significant contribution of authigenic carbonate to marine carbon  
7 burial, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 201–204, 2014.

8 Torres, M. E., Mix, A. C., Kinports, K., Haley, B., Klinkhammer, G. P., McManus, J., and de  
9 Angelis, M. A.: Is methane venting at the seafloor recorded by  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of benthonic  
10 foraminifera shells?, *Paleoceanography*, 18(3), 1062, doi:10.1029/2002PA000824, 2003.

11 Torres, M. E., Martin, R. A., Klinkhammer, G. P., and Nesbitt, E.: Post depositional alteration  
12 of foraminiferal shells in cold seep settings: New insights from flow-through time-  
13 resolved analysis of biogenic and inorganic seep carbonates, *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.*, 299,  
14 10–22, 2010.

15 Treude, T., Boetius, A., Knittel, K., Wallmann, K., Jørgensen, B. B.: Anaerobic oxidation of  
16 methane above gas hydrates at Hydrate Ridge, NE Pacific Ocean, *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.*,  
17 264, 1–4. DOI: 10.3354/meps264001, 2003.

18 Treude, T., Niggemann, J., Kallmeyer, J., Wintersteller, P., Schubert, C. J., Boetius, A.,  
19 Jorgensen, B. B.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane and sulfate reduction along the Chilean  
20 continental margin, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 69, 2767–2779, 2005.

21 Vogt, P. R., Crane, K., Sundvor, E., Max, M. D., and Pfirman, S. L.: Methane-generated (?)  
22 pockmarks on young, thickly sedimented oceanic crust in the Arctic: Vestnesa ridge,  
23 Fram strait, *Geology*, 22, 255–258, 1994.

24 Volkmann, R., and Mensch, M.: Stable isotope composition ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) of living planktic  
25 foraminifers in the outer Laptev Sea and the Fram Strait, *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 42, 163–  
26 188, 2001.

27 Wallmann, P., Mahood, G., and Pollard, D.: Mechanical models for correlation of ring-  
28 fracture eruptions at Pantelleria, Strait of Sicily, with glacial sea-level drawdown, *Bull.*  
29 *Volcanol.*, 50, 327–339, 1988.

30 Wefer, G., Heinze, P-M., and Berger, W. H.: Clues to ancient methane release, *Nature*, 369,  
31 282, 1994.

1 Whiticar, M. J : Carbon and hydrogen isotope systematics of bacterial formation and oxidation  
2 of methane. *Chem. Geol.*, 161, 291–314, doi:10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00092-3, 1999.

3 Wollenburg, J. E., Kuhnt, W., and Mackensen, A.: Changes in Arctic Ocean paleoproductivity  
4 and hydrography during the last 145 kyr: The benthonic foraminiferal record,  
5 *Paleoceanography*, 16, 65–77, 2001.

6

7 **Figure captions**

8 Figure 1. Bathymetric map of the Nordic seas showing the major surface currents and the  
9 location of studied core JM10-330GC (yellow circle), the location of cores described by Smith  
10 et al. (2001) (JM96, white circles), and of the cores described by Hill et al. (2012) in the  
11 Nyegga pockmark field (NPF, white circle) next to the Storegga Slide (dark grey area) on the  
12 mid-Norwegian margin. Abbreviations: NAC: North Atlantic Current; WSC: West  
13 Spitsbergen Current; ESC: East Spitsbergen Current; EGC: East Greenland Current.

14

15 Figure 2. A) Bathymetric map of the W-Svalbard margin and eastern Fram Strait.  
16 Abbreviations: MFZ: Molloy Fracture Zone; MD: Molloy Deep; MR: Molloy Ridge; SFZ:  
17 Spitsbergen Fracture Zone; YP: Yermak Plateau. B) Overview swath bathymetry map of the  
18 Vestnesa Ridge. Locations of studied core JM10-330GC and location of active sites with gas  
19 flares observed in June 2010 (Bünz et al., 2012) and in 2012 (Smith et al., 2014) are indicated.  
20 Figures are modified after Hustoft et al. (2009a).

21

22 Figure 3. Left: lithology and colour scan of core JM10-330GC, together with magnetic  
23 susceptibility and concentration of ice-raftered debris (IRD) per gram dry weight sediment.  
24 Arrows indicate positions of original radiocarbon dates. Right: age model and calculated  
25 sediment accumulation rates. Red triangles indicate radiocarbon dates.

26

27 Figure 4. Planktonic foraminifera and geochemical data of core JM10-330GC plotted versus  
28 calibrated (cal) ka before present (BP) AD 1950; (a) relative abundance of *Neogloboquadrina*  
29 *pachyderma* (s) (black line) and *Turborotalita quinqueloba* (purple line); (b) ice volume  
30 corrected (IVC)  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{IVC}}$  record of *N. pachyderma* (s); (c)  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record of *N. pachyderma* (s);  
31 (d)  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{IVC}}$  record of *Cassidulina neoteretis* before (red line) and after (green line) the  
32 cleaning protocol of Pena et al. (2005); (e)  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record of *C. neoteretis* before (red line) and  
33 after (green line) the cleaning protocol of Pena et al. (2005); (f) stratigraphy obtained for

1 JM10-330GC with chronological subdivisions (dashed lines). Dotted vertical lines indicate  
2 average core values. White diamonds on the y axis indicate AMS  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating points for JM10-  
3 330GC. Shaded horizontal bars indicate carbon isotope excursions CIE I and CIE II.  
4 Abbreviations: B-A: Bølling-Allerød; YD: Younger Dryas; sl: sandy layer.

5  
6 Figure 5. Detail of the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record of *C. neoteretis* from CIE I interval, before (red  
7 line) and after (green line) the cleaning protocol of Pena et al. (2005).

8  
9 Figure 6. Representative SEM images of *N. pachyderma* (s) from different intervals: A, B and  
10 C from 390 cm bsf; D, E and F, from 295 cm bsf; G, H, and I from 80 cm bsf. A) *N.*  
11 *pachyderma* (s) test from the most depleted interval within CIE I ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ : -2.61‰, 13.5 ka, 390  
12 cm bsf), showing a test with surface alteration. B) Detail of picture A with clearly altered  
13 external surface. C) Broken test of a different specimen of *N. pachyderma* (s) from the same  
14 interval, where a thin deposited layer (methane-derived coating) unevenly cover the external  
15 text, whereas the foraminiferal wall shows a pristine structure with pristine crystal palisades.  
16 The numbers in the picture indicate EDS analyses in Fig. 7. D) *N. pachyderma* (s) from CIE II  
17 interval ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ : -0.02‰, 10.3 ka, 295 cm bsf) showing an unaltered test. E) Detail of picture D  
18 with pristine shell structure. F) Detail of the wall structure with pristine crystal palisades from  
19 a different specimen in the same interval. G) *N. pachyderma* (s) from interval with normal  $^{13}\text{C}$   
20 values ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ : 0.68‰, 4.1 ka, 80 cm bsf) showing a well-preserved test with pristine shell  
21 structure. H) Detail of picture G with pristine crystals shape. I) Detail of the wall structure  
22 with pristine crystal palisades from a different specimen in the same interval. Picture  
23 magnifications and length of the white bar are indicated in each picture.

24  
25 Fig. 7. 1–2) Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy EDS spectra of outer layer on the test of  
26 *N. pachyderma* (s) from the most depleted interval within CIE I (Fig. 6C) showing  
27 enrichments in Si and Mg and traces of Fe and S. 3) EDS spectra of the internal part of the  
28 wall of the same specimens (fig. 6C) showing a pristine composition consisting of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ .

29  
30 Figure 8. Representative SEM images of not cleaned *C. neoteretis* specimens from the  
31 depleted intervals (A–C from 390 cm bsf; D–F from 295 cm bsf;) and from an interval with  
32 normal  $^{13}\text{C}$  values (G–I from 80 cm bsf). A) *C. neoteretis* test from the most depleted interval  
33 within CIE I ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ : -4.37‰, 13.5 ka, 390 cm bsf), showing a test with surface alteration by  
34 dissolution features. B) Detail of picture A where the external test shows enlarged pores (5–

1 7 $\mu$ m) with irregular shapes. C) Detail of picture A with a different magnification showing the  
2 irregular profile of the test wall. D) *C. neoteretis* from CIE II interval ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ : -3.41‰, 10.3 ka,  
3 295 cm bsf) showing a test with surface alteration characterized by dissolution features. Note  
4 the smaller scale of the picture. E) Detail of picture D, where the enlarged and irregular shaped  
5 pores are widespread. F) Detail of the test of a different specimen from the same interval,  
6 where the surface alteration is less evident, but the profile of the test walls is quite irregular.  
7 G) *C. neoteretis* from an interval with normal  $^{13}\text{C}$  values ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ : -0.44‰, 4.1 ka, 80 cm bsf)  
8 showing a well-preserved test with pristine shell structure. H) Detail of picture G showing  
9 small (<1 $\mu$ m) and round shape pores with no alteration features. I) Detail of picture G with a  
10 different magnification showing a well preserved test and regular profile of the test wall.  
11 Picture magnifications and length of the white bar are indicated in each picture.

12  
13 Fig. 9. A) Cartoon representing CIE II, where only benthonic foraminifera exhibit negative  
14  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values. In this scenario, methane activity is sufficiently high as to surpass the SMTZ and  
15 oxidized less efficiently with the consequence of lower AOM rates and higher methane fluxes  
16 into the bottom waters. The methane escaped is then partially oxidized by methanotrophic  
17 aerobic microbes in the water column. In this scenario the negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are likely to be  
18 the result of calcification in presence of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depleted DIC and probably ingestion of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -  
19 depleted methanotrophic microbes on which foraminifera feed. B) Schematic diagram  
20 showing how methane flux controls the SMTZ, if the flux of sulfate ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) from the seawater  
21 is constant and the characteristics of the sediments are constant. Arrow size is proportional to  
22 upward methane flux. Modified after Borowski et al. (1996). C) Cartoon representing CIE I,  
23 where both benthonic and planktonic foraminifera exhibit negative  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values. In this case  
24 the methane flux is low and upward fluxes of methane and downward fluxes of sulfate  
25 (coming from the sea water) meet within the SMTZ and the anaerobic oxidation of methane  
26 (AOM) can take place (Knittel and Boetius, 2009). Here, sulfate and methane may be  
27 consumed simultaneously according to the Equation (1) (Boetius et al., 2000). The production  
28 of bicarbonate from AOM can induce the precipitation of calcium carbonate, so-called  
29 methane-derived authigenic carbonates, on the benthonic and planktonic foraminifera tests  
30 after burial through the Equation (2) (Sun and Turchyn, 2014).

31  
32 Fig. 10. Isotopic data of core JM10-330GC and other records plotted versus calibrated (cal) ka  
33 before present (BP) AD 1950; (a)  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  record of *N. pachyderma* (s) (blue line) and of *C.*  
34 *neoteretis* (red line) from JM10-330GC; (b)  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  negative excursions from east Greenland

1 continental shelf (Smith et al., 2001): event 1 from core JM96-1215, event 2 from core JM96-  
2 1214, and event 3 from core JM96-1207; (c) NorthGRIP ice core  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  record (North  
3 Greenland Ice Core Project Members, 2004); (d) Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 (GISP2)  
4 atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> record (Brook et al., 2000); (e) melt water pulse mwp-1A and mwp-1B  
5 (Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Stanford et al., 2011); (f) stratigraphy obtained for JM10-330GC  
6 with chronological subdivisions (dashed lines). White diamonds on y axis indicate dating  
7 points for JM10-330GC (next to plot a) and black diamonds next to plot (b) for cores of Smith  
8 et al. (2001). Shaded horizontal bars indicate carbon isotope excursions CIE I and CIE II.  
9 Abbreviations: B-A: Bølling-Allerød; YD: Younger Dryas; sl: sandy layer.

10