

Interactive comment on “Drilling disturbance and constraints on the onset of the Paleocene/Eocene boundary carbon isotope excursion in New Jersey” by P. N. Pearson and E. Thomas

Y. Godderis (Editor)

yves.godderis@get.obs-mip.fr

Received and published: 26 November 2014

Dear authors,

first of all, I would like to apologize for the delay in the review process, fully related to a work overload on my side. Both reviewer reports are quite positive, finding your contribution clear, interesting, and constructive. This last point is of particular importance, and I appreciate that you keep the tone of your contribution at a scientific discussion level. I carefully read your answer to the reviewers and find it quite clear. To summarize the two main points: 1) yes, I agree that adding a general discussion about drilling disturbances is a good idea. 2) the question whether you definitely rule out or not the

Wright and Schaller interpretation is a bit delicate. I think the way you reply to this point raised by reviewer 1 is the right one, and should appear in the main text, maybe in the conclusions.

In summary, I recommend the submission of a revised version of you manuscript, according to the guidelines you mention in your "answer to the reviewer" document.

Best regards

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 10, 3303, 2014.

CPD

10, C1999–C2000, 2014

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C2000

