Lipid-biomarker-based sea surface temperature record offshore Tasmania over the last 23 million years
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Abstract. The Neogene (23.04–2.58 Ma) is characterised by progressive buildup of ice volume and climate cooling in the Antarctic and the Northern Hemisphere. Heat and moisture delivery to Antarctica is, to a large extent, regulated by the strength of meridional temperature gradients. However, the evolution of the Southern Ocean frontal systems remains scarcely studied in the Neogene. Here, we present the first long-term continuous sea surface temperature (SST) record of the subtropical front area in the Southern Ocean at Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 1168 off western Tasmania. This site is, at present, located near the subtropical front (STF), as it was during the Neogene, despite a 10° northward tectonic drift of Tasmania. We analysed glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs – on 433 samples) and alkenones (on 163 samples) and reconstructed the paleotemperature evolution using TEX\textsubscript{86} and U\textsubscript{k37}′ as two independent quantitative proxies. Both proxies indicate that Site 1168 experienced a temperate ∼25 °C during the early Miocene (23–17 Ma), reaching ∼29 °C during the mid-Miocene climatic optimum. The stepwise ∼10 °C cooling (20–10 °C) in the mid-to-late Miocene (12.5–5.0 Ma) is larger than that observed in records from lower and higher latitudes. From the Pliocene to modern (5.3–0 Ma), STF SST first plateaus at ∼15 °C (3 Ma), then decreases to ∼6 °C (1.3 Ma), and eventually increases to the modern levels around ∼16 °C (0 Ma), with a higher variability of 5° compared to the Miocene. Our results imply that the latitudinal temperature gradient between the Pacific Equator and the STF during late Miocene cooling increased from 4 to 14°C. Meanwhile, the SST gradient between the STF and the Antarctic margin decreased due to amplified STF cooling compared to the Antarctic margin. This implies a narrowing SST gradient in the Neogene, with contraction of warm SSTs and northward expansion of subpolar conditions.

1 Introduction

Sea surface temperature (SST) reconstructions (Rousselle et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Herbert et al., 2016; Sangiorgi et al., 2018; Super et al., 2018, 2020; Tanner et al., 2020; Van der Weijst et al., 2022) and benthic foraminiferal oxygen isotopes (Lewis et al., 2007; Holbourn et al., 2013; Lear et al., 2015; Westerhold et al., 2020; Leutert et al., 2021) demonstrated that Neogene climate cooling occurred stepwise, with episodes of intermittent warming, e.g. during the mid-Miocene climatic optimum (MCO, 16.9–15 Ma) and the mid-Pliocene warm period (mPWP, 3.264–3.025 Ma). This cooling trend is further accompanied by Antarctic ice volume increase (Lewis et al., 2007; Lear et al., 2015; Leutert et al., 2021), pCO\textsubscript{2} decline (Sosdian et al., 2018; Super et al., 2018; Tanner et al., 2020; Rae et al., 2021), strengthening of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC; Sijp et al., 2014; Evangelinos et al., 2022) and sea ice expansion (McKay et al., 2012; Bijl et al., 2018; Sangiorgi et al., 2018), e.g. during the mid-Miocene climatic transition (MMCT, 14.5–12.5 Ma). The Southern Ocean is of special importance in reconstructions of past climate, as it plays a crucial role in ocean circulation and ocean–atmosphere carbon exchange and as a modulator of heat transport towards the largest body of land ice on Earth, the Antarctic ice sheet (Rintoul et al., 2018). The latitudinal position and strength of the ACC and its associated ocean fronts are forced by position shifts of the westerlies and bathymetry and have been suggested to
modulate ocean–atmosphere CO₂ exchange as a feedback to the climate system (Toggweiler et al., 2006; Skinner et al., 2010). The gradual widening of the Tasmanian Gateway and the Drake Passage in the Neogene provided the geographic boundary conditions for a further strengthening of the ACC and associated oceanic fronts (Sijp et al., 2014; Evangelinos et al., 2022). Yet, the evolution of the ACC in the Neogene is poorly documented, as its strength and position are difficult to constrain from geological archives. One of the manifestations of a strengthening ACC and frontal systems would be an increase in the meridional temperature gradient in the Southern Ocean, particularly the gradient between the Antarctic margin and the subtropical front (STF). The latter represents the northern limit of the Southern Ocean, the northern branch of the ACC, and the boundary between the subtropical gyre and the subpolar waters, representing an oceanographic midpoint between the Equator and Antarctica. While individual SST reconstructions for the Neogene Southern Ocean exist (e.g., Herbert et al., 2016), the evolution of the latitudinal SST gradient has thus far not been evaluated. Although a compilation of Antarctic ice-proximal SSTs has recently become available (Duncan et al., 2022), SST reconstructions from the more northern parts of the Southern Ocean only cover short time intervals, which precludes an integrated overview of the evolution of the latitudinal SST gradient. Here, we provide a detailed reconstruction of the Neogene SST evolution of the subtropical front based on lipid biomarkers stored in sediments retrieved from Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 1168, offshore western Tasmania. We base our reconstruction on two independent SST proxies. The TEX₈₆ paleothermometer is based on the relative number of cyclopentane moieties in isoprenoid glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (isoGDGTs) produced by marine archaebacteria, which varies as a function of ambient temperature in a global set of marine surface sediments (Schouten et al., 2002). The Uₚ⁻¹₇₀ index is based on the relative abundance of di- and tri-unsaturated C₅₇ alklenones synthesised by unicellular haptophyte marine algae, which yield a robust relationship with SST (Volkman et al., 1980; Eglinton and Eglinton, 2008). We put our new record into the context of those from regions further north and closer to Antarctica for an integrated reconstruction of Southern Ocean latitudinal SST gradients.

2 Material and methods

2.1 ODP Site 1168

Site 1168 (42° 36′ 58.09″ S, 144° 24′ 76.20″ E; 2463 m modern water depth; Fig. 1) is located on the continental slope of the western Tasmanian continental margin. The site sits on the northern edge of the Subtropical Convergence Zone, which separates warm, saline subtropical waters from comparatively cold and fresh subantarctic water masses (Heath et al., 1985; Exon et al., 2001), with a modern SST ranging from 13–17 °C (winter–summer). During the Neogene, the location of Site 1168 tectonically drifted along with Tasmania and Australia from 52° 57′ S at 23 Ma to its modern position at 42° S (Van Hinsbergen et al., 2015). During this northward tectonic drift, the southern margin of Australia was continuously bathed by the eastward-flowing proto-Leeuwin Current (McGowran et al., 2004; Hoem et al., 2021). Hence, Site 1168 is well suited to the study of the Neogene evolution of the ACC and the STF.

2.2 Age model

The post-cruise bio-magnetostratigraphic age model includes nannofossil, planktonic foraminifer, diatom, radiolarian and dinocyst biostratigraphy, with constraints from magnetostratigraphy and stable isotope data (Stickley et al., 2004). Here, we recalibrated these data to the Geological Time Scale 2020 (Gradstein et al., 2020) by using state-of-the-art biostratigraphic constraints from Nannotax and Foraminix as well as updated diatom biostratigraphic constraints (Cody et al., 2008). We then fitted a loess smooth curve through these data, whereby we assigned a 10-fold weight to magnetostratigraphic and benthic δ¹³C tie points. We interpolated this loess curve to obtain ages for the samples. We derive average sediment accumulation rates of 1.8 cm kyr⁻¹ for the top 360 m (22–0 Ma) and 7.1 cm kyr⁻¹ between 360 and 462 m below seafloor (m b.s.f.; 23–22 Ma; Fig. 2).

2.3 Lithology

A total of 883.5 m of sediment was recovered from Site1168 Hole A, dating back to the late Eocene to modern (Exon et al., 2001). The Neogene interval is represented in the upper 413 m. Between 260–413 m b.s.f. (early to mid-Miocene; 23.0–15.6 Ma), sediments are comprised of clay-bearing nannofossil chalk with a gradual decrease of non-carbonate minerals (Robert, 2004). The upper 260 m (mid-Miocene to modern; 15.6–0 Ma) contains calcareous biogenic oozes, with 85–97 wt % calcium carbonate (Exon et al., 2001); a sharp decrease in detrital clay content occurs at the boundary between these lithologic units (Robert, 2004).

2.4 Biomarker extraction and analysis

Lipid biomarkers were extracted from 433 powdered and freeze-dried samples with a Milestone ETHOS X microwave system using dichloromethane / methanol (DCM / MeOH) 9:1 (v/v). Activated Al₂O₃ columns were used for the separation of the total lipid extract into three fractions, using the solvent mixtures hexane / DCM 9 : 1 (v/v), hexane / DCM 1 : 1 (v/v) and DCM / MeOH 1 : 1 (v/v) for apolar, ketone and polar fractions, respectively. Polar fractions were filtered using a 0.45 µm polytetrafluorethylene filter and analysed us-
Figure 1. Neogene paleogeographic maps of the Australian–Antarctic sector, with the Deep Sea Drilling Program, Ocean Drilling Program and Integrated Ocean Drilling Program site locations referred to in this study. (a, b, c) Reconstructed map of studied area using GPlates (Torsvik et al., 2012; Van Hinsbergen et al., 2015) with inferred surface ocean currents (solid red and blue lines; De Vleeschouwer et al., 2019; Jackson et al., 2019; Sauermilch et al., 2021; Evangelinos et al., 2022). The thickness of the lines denotes the relative strength of the currents. The edge of the light-grey fill denotes present-day shorelines. The dark-grey contours indicate the edge of continental plates. Compiled sites and the site of this study are shown with black circles and a red star, respectively. (d) Modern map (modified from NOAA, https://www.ospo.noaa.gov/Products/ocean/sst/contour, last access: 13 March 2023) of the studied area filled with modern sea surface temperature, which is indicated by colours and contours and numbers on the contours. The white line indicates the subtropical front.

2.5 SST reconstruction and confounding-factor indices

We follow the approach by Sluijs et al. (2020) and Bijl et al. (2021) to assess non-temperature factors in relation to the relative distribution of isoGDGTs and thus the \( \text{TEX}_{86} \) value.
they represent. Briefly, this involves checking the weighted average of cyclopendane moieties of isoGDGTs compared to modern values (with the Ring Index – RI; Zhang et al., 2016), overprints from methanotrophic archaea (with the Methane Index – MI; Zhang et al., 2011; Weijers et al., 2011) and methanogens (with the GDGT-0 / Cren ratio; Bliga et al., 2009), as well as contributions from deep-dwelling archaea (with the GDGT-2 / GDGT-3 ratio; Taylor et al., 2013) or terrestrial GDGTs (with the BIT index; Hopmans et al., 2004; Weijers et al., 2006). The BIT index is determined by the ratio of branched GDGTs (brGDGTs) produced by terrestrial bacteria and marine-originated crenarchaeol. However, recent studies have proved that brGDGTs can be produced in situ in marine environments (Peterse et al., 2009; Sinninghe Damsté, 2016; Dearing Crampton-Flood et al., 2019). Thus, the sources of brGDGTs are assessed using the weighted number of cyclopendane moieties in tetramethylated branched GDGTs (#rings_{tetra}), where a value of > 0.7 is assumed to indicate a marine rather than a terrestrial source of these compounds (Sinninghe Damsté, 2016). In order to assess the influence of potential algae distribution on the U_{37}k′ based SST reconstruction, we explored the ratio between C_{37} and C_{38} (C_{37} / C_{38}; Rosell-Melé et al., 1994) and the ratio between all C_{37} and the ethyl C_{38} alkenones (C_{37} / C_{38} − C_{38}′; Zheng et al., 2019).

Numerous calibrations have been implemented to translate TEX_{86} into sea surface temperature (e.g. Schouten et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2010; Tierney and Tingley, 2014). However, improved understanding of archaea ecology questions the validity of TEX_{86} as a true proxy for the ocean mixed-layer temperature. This is especially due to the variable export production zone depth (50–200 m) of marine Thaumarchaeota. Fortunately, this can be revealed by the GDGT-2 / GDGT-3 ratio, which suggests that many modern core top samples actually receive contributions from deep-dwelling archaea (Van der Weijst et al., 2022). Thus, the ambient temperature of Thaumarchaeota, which determines the cyclisation of GDGTs, is not strictly sea surface temperature. Even though the GDGTs may, to a variable extent, derive from around the thermocline, it was shown that SST has a strong relationship with surface temperature (Van der Weijst et al., 2022).

Subsurface calibrations (Tierney and Tingley, 2014; Kim et al., 2015; Ho and Laepple, 2016) use variable methods to integrate temperature over depth; these methods still induce uncertainty with regard to their reliability. Nonetheless, even though a perfect calibration does not exist yet, TEX_{86} is still a valuable proxy that reflects the temperature of a relatively stable layer of the ocean (e.g. Kim et al., 2016; Hurley et al., 2018) and provides a robust ocean temperature change in both trend and variability (Van der Weijst et al., 2022) because the relationship between the TEX_{86} in sediments and surface temperature actually derives from the strong relationship between subsurface and surface temperature. In particular, when TEX_{86} is used along with other temperature proxies (e.g. Super et al., 2020; Leutert et al., 2020), such as the one we use here (U_{37}k′), both proxies together can provide better constraints on SST reconstructions.

Here, we apply the spatial linear Bayesian calibration BAYSPAR (Tierney and Tingley, 2014, 2015) to translate TEX_{86} values into temperatures using both surface (0–20 m) and depth-integrated temperature (0–200 m) calibrations (prior mean of 20 °C; prior standard deviation of 20 °C). We applied the U_{37}k′ paleothermometer based on alkenones as an independent additional paleothermometer. U_{37}k′ index values were calculated following Prahl and Wakeham (1987) and were converted to SST using the BAYSPLINE calibration of Tierney and Tingley (2018) (prior standard deviation of 10 °C). We choose the Bayesian calibrations for both proxies for the consideration of both high-temperature applicability and spatial characteristics. These two proxies, together with the TEX_{86}-related overprint indices, are combined to determine the sea surface temperature (SST) change.

3 Results

3.1 GDGT-based temperature reconstruction

Our new GDGTs data are derived from 412.7 to 0 m b.s.f. (22.6–0 Ma). The concentrations of all GDGTs are consistently high (~50 µg g⁻¹ sediment for total isoGDGTs; see Fig. S1 in the Supplement) in the early Miocene and show a normal relative distribution, except for the interval around the MCO (287–256 m b.s.f.; Fig. S2). The isoGDGT concentration drops to 5 µg g⁻¹ sediment at the onset of the MCO and remains stable until 7 Ma. In the MCO interval, of all GDGTs, crenarchaeol (cren) and crenarchaeol (cren′) decrease most strongly (to 1/400; GDGT-3 decreases to 1/200; GDGT-2 and GDGT-1 decrease to 1/100; GDGT-0 decreases to 1/25; Fig. S1). As cren is not in the TEX_{86} index, its anomalous trends in abundance do not directly affect TEX_{86} values but do affect the GDGT indices and ratios that have

Figure 2. Age model of Site 1168. Points indicate the data (Stickley et al., 2004). Colours indicate data types. The blue curve indicates the loess smooth curve with a span of 0.1 throughout the studied interval, which we resampled to obtain ages for the samples used in this study.
cren in the denominator. As a result of the extra decline in cren, GDGT-0 / cren, MI and GDGT-2 / cren all yield abnormally high values in the MCO interval (Fig. 3). The GDGT-2/3 ratio gradually increases from 5 to 8 throughout the record, with transient peaks in the early Miocene and MCO. Cut-off values for this ratio vary between 3 and 10 among users and sites (e.g. Leutert et al., 2020; Bijl et al., 2021; Van der Weijst et al., 2022). Hurley et al. (2018) demonstrated that the GDGT-2 / GDGT-3 values rapidly rise from 3–5 in the surface mixed layer (upper 150 m) to 20–25 at the thermocline depth (see also Basse et al., 2014; Hernández-Sánchez et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2016; Van der Weijst et al., 2022). In any case, sediments with GDGT-2 / GDGT-3 values > 3 might, to some extent, be biased towards deeper waters and thus to lower temperatures. The ΔRing Index varied from −2.2 to 1 in the whole record, and 152 data points fell outside the 95 % confidence interval of the RI-TEXS66 array (Fig. S3).

BIT index values show a large range of variation, between 0.1 and 0.9, and show a prominent peak (∼0.9) during the MCO and consistent low values (∼0.1) in the Pliocene, indicating a potentially large contribution of GDGTs from land (Fig. 3). However, the #ring_s1teta values are highly varied throughout time but are consistently elevated between 17 and 7 Ma, from 0.3 to more than 1.0, suggesting that brGDGTs have an in situ marine origin. In a ternary diagram of the tetra-, penta- and hexa-methylated brGDGTs, Site 1168 samples generally plot offset to the global soil cluster (Fig. S4), which also supports a non-soil origin. This would imply that the BIT index cannot be interpreted as an indicator of the input of terrestrial matter at this site.

TEXS66 values of the early Miocene were around 0.65 but fluctuated, then they reached 0.8 at 16 Ma in the MCO interval, although these values are probably affected by non-thermal overprints. There is an abrupt decline in TExS66 values after the MCO and then a long-term decrease to 0.4 until 5 Ma. TExS66 values increased to 0.6 in the early Pliocene, then decreased to 0.36 at 13.5 Ma and eventually increased to 0.52 in the youngest sediment (Fig. 3). SSTs derived from the TExS66 are around 25 °C in the early Miocene section. TExS66 values at the peak MCO would equate to SSTs of 34 °C, followed by first a rapid cooling at around 14.5 Ma and then a more gradual down to 7 °C towards the end of the Miocene (Fig. 4). After an ephemeral warming to 20 °C in the early Pliocene, SST decreased to 6 °C in the mid-Pleistocene and then recovered to the modern level around 17 °C. Throughout the record, the difference between temperatures derived from surface and depth-integrated subsurface calibrations is small (< 2 °C).

3.2 Alkenone-based temperature reconstruction

Our new alkenone data are derived from 363 to 0 m.b.s.f. (21.9–0 Ma). Existing U37C′ data from this site were published in Guitián and Stoll (2021). C38 alkenones are mostly at or below detection limit in the sediments older than 8 Ma. In the younger sediments, we find four clear peaks that represent C38 alkenones. Here, C37 / C38 fluctuates between 0.9 and 1.2, while C37 / C38E fluctuates between 1.2 and 1.4 (Fig. S5). The U37C′ index record varies between 0.43 and 0.93 and generally follows the trends of TExS66, except during the MCO and the early Pleistocene (Fig. 4). Early Miocene sediments have an average U37C′ value of ∼0.8, in agreement with previously published data from the same site (Guitián and Stoll, 2021). In the MCO interval, U37C′ rose to 0.93. None of the analysed sediments in the MCO interval have saturated U37C′ index values. Subsequently, U37C′ gradually drops to 0.43 at the end of the Miocene and recovers to ∼0.6 in the Pliocene. U37C′-based SSTs reveal similar temperatures to TExS66-based subsurface temperature except in the MCO interval (Fig. 4). In the early Miocene, STSU37C′ yields ∼24 °C on average, then increases to ∼28 °C in the MCO. Subsequently, STSU37C′ cools down to 10 °C at 5 Ma and increases to 16 °C in the Pliocene. SSTSU37C′ shows a similar trend to that of STSTExS66 in the Pleistocene and varies between 17 and 7.5 °C. However, the difference between STSU37C′ and STSTExS66 increases from the onset of the Pleistocene due to the plunge of STSTExS66.

4 Discussion

4.1 Reliability assessment of the SST record

In all intervals of the records besides the MCO, temperature estimates derived from the U37C′ and TExS66 have similar trends and absolute temperatures, demonstrating that both proxies represent the same water layer. The large U37C′ / TExS66 discrepancy during the MCO suggests that one of the paleotemperature proxies is affected by non-thermal overprints (Figs. 4, 5). Given the many indices that signal anomalous isoGDGT distributions in the MCO interval, it is likely that TExS66 has a non-pelagic GDGT assemblage and thus reflects an unreliable SST. However, the high GDGT-0 / cren, MI and GDGT-2 / cren and BIT index values in the MCO interval are all caused by the anomalously low contribution of cren (i.e. the denominator) and not that of the elevated relative abundances of the signalling compound for that overprint (the nominator). Moreover, the low total organic carbon (TOC) wt % (< 0.5 %; Exxon et al., 2001) in the MCO sediments does not support the existence of any cold seeps, anaerobic oxidation of methane or methane hydrate production despite the high GDGT-0 / cren, MI and GDGT-2 / cren values (Fig. 3). If it is indeed the excess relative decrease in cren concentration that causes the high BIT, GDGT-0 / cren, MI and GDGT-2 / cren and the low RI because all have cren involved in their equation – the question is to what extent this affects TExS66 values. In any case, the indices may not necessarily reflect the overprints that they are usually associated with at this site. However, since TExS66 and U37C′ disagree in the same interval as the anomalous GDGT compositions, and
to be conservative, we discard the TEX$_{86}$-based SSTs with high confounding-factor values in the MCO interval at this site.

The anomalously low relative abundance of cren could be explained by different preservation efficiency and/or degradation rates for distinct GDGTs. The interval in which GDGT concentrations decrease (17.34–16.85 Ma, 294.66–274.28 m b.s.f.) is concomitant to an interval of decreased terrigenous clay and quartz and increased calcium carbonate content (Fig. 5; Robert, 2004). The loss of terrigenous clay can lead to reduced preservation of organic matter (Ran- som et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2019) because enhanced pore-water flow in the overlying sediment enhances oxygen exposure time (Huguet et al., 2008; Schouten et al., 2013). It was shown that isoGDGTs with more cyclopentane moieties are less resistant to oxidation than those with less cyclopentanes (Ding et al., 2013). As a result, degradation processes would result in lower TEX$_{86}$ values and an underestimation of SSTs. However, in the MCO interval, TEX$_{86}$ was very high and led to SST reconstructions that were much higher than SST$_{UK37}$. While selective degradation could be an explanation for the relatively excessive loss of cren, it cannot explain the anomalously high TEX$_{86}$-based SSTs in that interval. On the other hand, preferential degradation of alkenones could have biased SST$_{UK37}$ at this site as well, notably in the form of a warm bias in SST (Freitas et al., 2017). Yet, in the MCO interval, we do not observe anomalous warmth, and the index is not yet saturated. Therefore, the selective degradation in alkenones cannot explain the SST difference between SSTs derived from SST$_{UK37}$ and TEX$_{86}$.

The extremely high (up to 100) GDGT-2/GDGT-3 ratio found in the MCO is unprecedented in both paleo and modern ocean records (e.g. Taylor et al., 2013; Hernández-Sánchez et al., 2014; Hurley et al., 2018; Besseling et al., 2019; Bijl et al., 2021; Van der Weijst et al., 2022). Perhaps the isoGDGTs found in the MCO interval were produced by other archaeal communities, e.g. Marine Group II and/or III (Besseling et al., 2019), and the ratio could have been further increased through selective degradation of GDGT-3 over GDGT-2. Given the temperature trend in the MCO interval, these GDGT producers may have also responded to water temperature, although indirectly or with a different dependency. In the early and late Miocene, GDGT-2/GDGT-3 values are still relatively high (> 5), indicating some isoGDGT input from deep-water sources. The overall

---

**Figure 3.** TEX$_{86}$ values and indices and ratios to detect non-thermal GDGT contributions. Dashed red lines indicate proposed threshold values. (a) TEX$_{86}$. (b) Methane index, threshold = 0.4 (Zhang et al., 2011). (c) GDGT-2/cren, threshold = 0.4 (Wei-jers et al., 2011). (d) GDGT-0/cren, threshold = 2 (Blaga et al., 2009). (e) GDGT-2/GDGT-3, threshold = 5 (Taylor et al., 2013). (f) BIT, usually applied threshold = 0.3 (Hopmans et al., 2004). (g) #rings$_{tetra}$, threshold = 0.8 (Sinninghe Damsté, 2016). Discarded data are shown by crosses.

---

**Figure 4.** TEX$_{86}$ and SST reconstructions of Site 1168. The BAYSPAR calibration (Tierney and Tingley, 2014) is used to translate TEX$_{86}$ values into surface (orange points) and subsurface (blue points) temperatures. SST$_{UK37}$ SST reconstruction (dark green) based on the BAYSPLINE calibration (Tierney and Tingley, 2018). All new data are denoted by dots. Oligocene–early Miocene SSTs of Guitián and Stoll (2021) are represented by green squares.
input of deeper-dwelling GDGTs may bias the reconstruction of absolute SSTs from TEX$_{86}$, but because the GDGT2/3 ratio in our post-MMCT interval is stable and without a trend, the trend of TEX$_{86}$ should not be influenced (Ho and Laepple, 2016; Leutert et al., 2020; Van der Weijst et al., 2022), and the amplitude is well constrained by $U_{37}^k$. Thus, for the late Miocene and Pliocene, the sediments are not discarded despite high GDGT-2 / GDGT-3 values. Despite nearly half of the data points falling out of the 95% confidence interval of the TEX$_{86}$ Ring Index, we decided to not discard those because most of the sediments with abnormal Ring Index values are caused by the highly reduced contribution of cren.

$C_{37} / C_{38}$ and $C_{37} / C_{38E}$ ratios do not show any profound change; thus, we infer that alkenone composition is not affected by algae composition changes, and thus, $U_{37}^k$ represents sea surface temperature. While TEX$_{86}$-derived SST is in a consistently similar range or above $U_{37}^k$-derived SST throughout most of the record, with the onset of the Pleistocene, SST$_{TEX_{86}}$ drops significantly when compared to SSTs derived from alkenones. However, the difference is around 3°C, which is still within the calibration errors. Nevertheless, such difference is mainly due to the use of BAYSPAR calibration. SST results using BAYSPAR calibration are barely different from TEX$_H^K$ based on the temperature output when TEX$_{86} > 0.5$. However, when TEX$_{86}$ is smaller than 0.5, namely in the Pleistocene, TEX$_H^K$-based SST is well in line with SST$_{U_{37}}$ (Fig. S6).

Overall, considering the small difference ($\sim 2°C$) between surface and subsurface calibration of TEX$_{86}$ and SST$_{U_{37}}$, the relatively large calibration error of proxies, and the similar extent of variability and the high GDGT-2 / GDGT-3 ratio throughout the study interval, we deem it that both proxies mainly reflect temperature of the surface layer, with TEX$_{86}$ integrating a deeper component. Hence, we claim that our temperature record is a sea surface temperature (SST). However, we focus on the $U_{37}^k$ record when we interpret the record during the MCO.

4.2 Site1168 SST evolution and Southern Ocean temperature gradient in the Neogene

The new SST record for Site 1168 shows in broad lines a similar trend to that of the global compilation of the benthic foraminiferal oxygen isotope stack ($\delta^{18}O_{bf}$); however, there are some interesting deviations (Fig. 6). The mid-to-late Miocene interval contains a remarkable $\sim 10°C$ gradual SST cooling that is much less prominent in $\delta^{18}O_{bf}$ (Fig. 6). Other than the late Miocene cooling, we have found that subtropical SSTs fluctuated around 26°C in the early Miocene, which is similar to those in the Oligocene (Fig. 4; Guitián and Stoll, 2021; Hoem et al., 2022). The SST record from $\sim 23$ Ma is elaborately discussed in Hoem et al. (2022), so we will focus on the SST trends in the interval $< 23$ Ma. SSTs were slightly elevated in the MCO and rapidly cooled by 5°C across the MOC. The northward movement of the site, from $\sim 52°S$ in the early Miocene to $\sim 42°S$ at present, may have dampened the amplitude of Neogene long-term cooling to an unknown extent. However, during the Oligocene, ocean conditions at this site also barely changed despite the northward drift, likely because the ocean currents migrated northwards along with the tectonic drift of Australia (Hoem et al., 2021). Similarly, for the same reason, the northward tectonic drift of Australia during the Neogene, in other words the latitude change, may have had little effect on the temperature evolution at this site, thus records the temperature resulting in both global climate and water mass change at Site 1168. The synchronous tectonic drift of other mid-latitude sites warrants the conclusion about the latitudinal temperature gradient drawn from the comparison (Fig. 6a). With the consistency of both paleo-temperature proxy results in consideration, we will further

https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-19-787-2023
Figure 6. (a) Paleolatitude reconstruction using GPlates (Torsvik et al., 2012; Van Hinsbergen et al., 2015) of Site 1168 (this study; Guitián and Stoll, 2021), Site 806 (Zhang et al., 2014), Site U1461 (He et al., 2021), Site 1171 (Leutert et al., 2020), Site U1459 (De Vleeschouwer et al., 2019), Site 594, Site 1125 (Herbert et al., 2016), Site U1356 (Hartman et al., 2018; Sangiorgi et al., 2018) and the Ross Sea compilation (AND-1B, AND-2A, DSDP 274, DSDP 270, CIROS 1, CRP 2/2A; McKay et al., 2012; Levy et al., 2016; Sangiorgi, 2020; Duncan et al., 2022).

(b) Reconstructed SST of the same sites using BAYSPAR and OPTIMAL (Ross Sea only) calibrations for TEX\(^{13}\)C and bottom-water temperature based on benthic foraminiferal \(\delta^{18}\)O (Gaskell et al., 2022).

(c) Benthic foraminiferal \(\delta^{18}\)O compilation (Westerhold et al., 2020). Modern SSTs of the sites are indicated by the coloured stars at 0 Ma.

discuss the SST evolution per time interval, focusing on variability within the record, comparison to the \(\delta^{18}\)O\(_{bf}\) as a representation of deep-sea temperature and global ice volume trends, and comparison to other SST records in the region to reconstruct latitudinal SST gradients.

4.2.1 Early Miocene (23.04–17.0 Ma)

In the early Miocene, SST was around 26°C but was punctuated by several short cooling events (Fig. 6). SST minima occurred at 22.4, 19.5 and 17 Ma, roughly time-equivalent to ephemeral positive excursion events (Mi-1.1, Mi-1a, Mi-1b) in \(\delta^{18}\)O\(_{bf}\) (Miller et al., 1991; Billups et al., 2002; Liebrand et al., 2011; Westerhold et al., 2020). SSTs from the Wilkes Land margin (U1356) reflect similar events at 22.4 and 17 Ma (Sangiorgi et al., 2018; Hartman et al., 2018). In contrast, SSTs in the Ross Sea remained relatively stable and profoundly cooler than U1356, around 4°C using the OPTIMAL calibration (Duncan et al., 2022). We choose OPTIMAL as the calibration for the Ross Sea sites because the Ross Sea experienced glacial phases in the early and middle Miocene (Passchier et al., 2011; Marschalek et al., 2021), while the Wilkes Land margin continued to be surrounded by warm oligotrophic waters (Bijl et al., 2018; Sangiorgi et al., 2018).

The latitudinal SST gradient between the STF and the higher latitudes was relatively constant during the early Miocene, remaining around 9°C (Fig. 7). This gradient is very similar to the modern gradient between 51°S and the Antarctic margin (10°C; Figs. 1, 7; Hartman et al., 2018) and represents a similar gradient to that of the late Oligocene (Hoem et al., 2022). Such a gradient may testify to the presence of a relatively strong proto-ACC when the Tasmanian Gateway aligned to the westerly winds (Pfuhl et al., 2004; Scher et al., 2015; Sauermilch et al., 2021), although the absolute SSTs at both sites were higher in the Miocene than today (Fig. 6).

A recent study (Kim and Zhang, 2022) suggested that a massive methane hydrate destabilisation event took place at the southern Australian margin during the Oligocene–Miocene boundary based on an elevated MI and more negative compound-specific carbon isotopes of Site 1168. However, based on the age model of Stickley et al. (2004) calibrated to GTS 2020, the Oligocene–Miocene boundary indicated by Kim and Zhang (2022) at \(\sim\) 416 m b.s.f. is actually around 22.6 Ma. On the other hand, the high MI is actually induced by less cren and more GDGT-0 rather than by an increase in GDGT-1,2,3 (Fig. S1), which are thought to be produced by methanotrophic archaea. Thus, we doubt whether the evidence is concrete enough to prove a major methane hydrates dissociation in the early Miocene, but we acknowledge their hypothesis.

4.2.2 MCO (17.0–14.5 Ma)

SST\(_{UK37}\) shows a slight warming of \(\sim 2\) to \(\sim 27^\circ\)C at the onset of the MCO (Figs. 5, 6). As this warming cannot be ascribed to saturation of the proxy, this would mean that the SST increase during the MCO is indeed smaller at the STF than at high-latitude sites and than what would be assumed from the strong change in \(\delta^{18}\)O\(_{bf}\) at this time. Still, also at Site 1168, the mid-Miocene stands out as a warm time interval, consistent with other records, both surface and bottom (Levy et al., 2016; Sangiorgi et al., 2018; Modestou et al., 2020). Compared to the clear trends in the global \(\delta^{18}\)O\(_{bf}\) record (Westerhold et al., 2020), the onset of the MCO is less clearly expressed in the records of SST (this study, Shevenell et al., 2004; Levy et al., 2016; Hartman et al., 2018; Super et
al., 2018, 2020) and of Mg/Ca-based bottom-water temperature (Lear et al., 2015). Hence, the relationships between changes in surface oceanography, ice volume and deep-sea temperature at the MCO onset remain unresolved at this stage. In addition, the currently available clumped isotope records do not fully capture the 16–17 Ma interval (Modestou et al., 2020; Meckler et al., 2022), which makes disentangling ice volume and deep-sea temperature effects in $\delta^{18}$Obf at the MCO onset problematic.

The lithological change of Site 1168 at the onset of the MCO coincides with the biomarker preservation change but precedes regional warming and $\delta^{18}$Obf decline (Fig. 5). The increase of calcium carbonate indicates a change in the depositional setting, likely due to an intensification of the proto-Leeuwin Current (PLC). The intensification of the PLC during MCO is interpreted from deepest-seabed scouring (Jackson et al., 2019). The reported existence of larger foraminifera (Gouley and Gallagher, 2004) and, perhaps, although debated, tropical corals (McGowran et al., 1997) in the Great Australian Bight (Fig. 1) is likely related to the warm water induced by the PLC. Besides the increase of surface calcite productivity, this led to better-ventilated bottom water and warm oligotrophic surface water conditions during MCO. The potentially limited preservation of GDGTs may be related to improved bottom-water oxygenation (Huguet et al., 2008).

The SST records from Site 1168, near the subtropical front, and Site 1171, in the subantarctic zone, suggest that the latitudinal SST gradient collapsed to 0 (Fig. 7). This implies that the latitudinal SST gradient across the Tasmanian Gateway was strongly reduced in the MCO. The reduced latitudinal temperature gradient persisted both equatorward and poleward. Similarly, in the eastern equatorial Pacific (Rousselle et al., 2013), the latitudinal SST difference between the Equator and subtropical region was reduced to $\sim 2\degree$C. We also note that the SSTs of Site 1168 and of high-latitude sites (Wilkes Land, Ross Sea) are close to each other (Fig. 7), even though SST reconstructions of high-latitude sites are sparse (U1356) and absolute values are highly dependent on the used calibration (Ross Sea sites; Fig. 6). The reduced latitudinal SST gradient between mid-latitude and polar regions (Figs. 6, 7) agrees with certain modelled results for the MCO (Herold et al., 2011, 2012) but is not captured by other modelling (Burls et al., 2021). The weakened latitudinal SST gradient means that the ACC and associated fronts were weaker and/or located closer to Antarctica. Meanwhile, enhanced evaporation led to more precipitation in the high latitudes, at least on the Antarctic continental margin (Sangiorgi et al., 2018). The global heat transport also likely weakened with latitudinally more equable latitudinal climates (Chiang, 2009).

Figure 7. Sea surface latitudinal temperature gradient in the Southern Hemisphere for the early Miocene (orange; 23–17 Ma), MCO (red; 17–14.5 Ma), late Miocene (blue; 8 Ma) and modern at 160°E (black; based on Fig. 1d), respectively. Error bars indicate the variability of the time range. Paleo data are from the sources in Fig. 6, except for the MCO SSTs at the Equator, which are from Rousselle et al. (2013) and Van der Weijst et al. (2022).

4.2.3 MMCT (14.5–12.5 Ma)

The termination of the MCO is reflected by a sharp 5 $\degree$C decrease in SST at Site 1168 from 14.5 to 13 Ma, coincident with the time of cooling at the nearby Site 1171 (Leutert et al., 2020) (Fig. 6). This cooling phase coincided with a strong increase in $\delta^{18}$Obf, which mostly reflects Antarctic ice sheet expansion (Shevenell et al., 2004, 2008; Leutert et al., 2020) and potential northward expansion of subantarctic waters (Leutert et al., 2020) accompanied by $p$CO2 decline (Super et al., 2018). The SST gradient between the middle (Site 1168 and Site 1171) and high latitudes (Site U1356) increased, suggesting stronger fronts with amplified cooling towards Antarctica (Fig. 6). This is most likely a result of polar amplification of cooling towards high latitudes, further exacerbated by the expanding ice sheet and northward migration of frontal systems.

4.2.4 Late Miocene (12.5–5.3 Ma)

After the MMCT, SSTs at Site 1168 gradually cooled by 10$\degree$C from 13 to 5 Ma, and the short-term variability amplitude was notably small (2–3 $\degree$C;Fig. 6). The amplitude of this cooling is comparable to that at other mid-latitude sites, i.e. Site 594 and Site 1125 in the southwest Pacific (Herbert et al., 2016).

The absolute temperatures and the cooling trend in the SST record of Site 594 seem to be in agreement with those at
Site 1171, which is located at the same latitude and is bathed by the same subantarctic water in the modern system. Thus, we can consider Site 1171 and Site 594 to be one continuous record representing the ocean temperature 5° S of Site 1168. Furthermore, the temperature difference between closely located subtropical (Site 1125 and Site 1168) and subantarctic (Site 594 and Site 1171) sites became larger by about 4°C between each area (Figs. 6, 7). We deduce from this that the STF progressively got stronger. The Equator–mid–latitude temperature gradient also progressively increased (Fig. 7). Equatorial SST of Site 806 in the western Pacific decreased by only 3°C from 12 to 5 Ma (Zhang et al., 2014). Given the low temperatures at the high latitudes by the end of the Miocene (Gaskell et al., 2022; Fig. 6), the Southern Ocean Equator-to-pole latitudinal temperature gradient and the SSTs of the studied sites must have been very similar (∼26°C) to modern conditions. Notably, the relatively strong Southern Ocean cooling trend is not reflected in the δ18Obf record, which remained relatively stable over this time interval. Thus, the relationship between Southern Ocean cooling, deep-sea temperature change and ice volume change still needs further study for this interval.

The increased SST gradient reflects a combination of global cooling and the amplification effect of northward migration of the STF, which effectively extends the Southern Ocean over wider latitudes. This change in temperature gradient between equatorial sites and Site 1168 also indicates a weakening of the Leeuwin Current (De Vleeschouwer et al., 2019). The gradually increased Equator in relation to high mid–latitude SST gradients led to a contracted and strengthened Hadley cell, which consequently caused an aridification in subtropical regions by intensifying the evaporation in the descending limb (Herbert et al., 2016; Groeneveld et al., 2017). This is reflected at Site 1168 and at other Tasmanian sites with an increase in the contribution of kaolinite and/or illite, reflecting the erosion of old soils as a result of aridification of the hinterland and subsequent transport by the westleries since the late Miocene (since ∼200 m b.s.f. of Site 1168; Robert, 2004).

During 8–5.3 Ma, several stepwise changes occur in the Southern Ocean. Tanner et al. (2020) indicated a northward STF shift at the Agulhas ridge from 8 Ma onwards, while Groeneveld et al. (2017) suggested a southward migration of the westleries near southwest Australia in the same period. This apparent discrepancy can be partly accounted for with the northward tectonic movement of Australia while the STF remained at its position or moved northward at a slower pace than the continent (De Vleeschouwer et al., 2019), while the Agulhas plateau experienced limited tectonic movement. This would mean that Site 1168 entered the subtropical zone and received more warm subtropical water through the Leeuwin Current, therefore reducing the temperature difference between western Australian coastal sites. However, the increased SST difference that we observe between Site 1168 and northwest Australia and the Equator (Fig. 6) suggests a

weaker PLC and thus does not seem to support this interpretation. Another explanation for the discrepancy between Tanner et al. (2020) and Groeneveld et al. (2017) is that the STF did not necessarily align to the position of the westleries (De Boer et al., 2013). The relative positional shift between the Australian continent and the westleries does not directly influence the position of the STF when the STF remains bound by the southern edge of the Australian continent during this time. Christensen et al. (2021) suggests that changes in the Tasman leakage around 7 Ma may have an influence on the global circulation in accordance with a southward migration of the westleries. However, the Tasman leakage represents an intermediate water layer (400–900 m) which would not necessarily have influenced SST. Indeed, the SST record does not show a prominent, step-wise change around the time of the onset of the Tasman leakage.

4.2.5 Pliocene to modern (5.3–0 Ma)

Following late Miocene cooling from its minimum in the latest Miocene (Herbert et al., 2016), the Pliocene SSTs of Site 1168 shifted back to generally warm conditions (16°C; Fig. 6). Compared to the modest variability in δ18Obf in the Pliocene, SST change at the STF is relatively large in amplitude (8°C). The early Pliocene warmth found at site 1168 coincides with the SST record of the northwest Australian continental shelf (He et al., 2021), thus confirming the existence of a relatively strong Leeuwin Current which causes the SST rise. The subsequent cooling after 4 Ma until the mid-Pleistocene led to an increased SST gradient between Site 1168 and the western Australian coast sites along the Leeuwin Current pathway, therefore indicating a step-wise weakening (De Vleeschouwer et al., 2019; Fig. 6). The Pliocene SST variability at Site 1168 may be amplified by the combined effects of a changing Leeuwin Current, migrating STF and strong ice sheet fluctuations in Antarctica, and the variability would then be stronger than during the Miocene. SST shifts can be roughly correlated to known δ18Obf events, such as the mid-Piacenzian Warm Period and M2 glaciation. Intriguingly, in the Pliocene–Pleistocene interval, the SST of Site 1168 varied synchronously with that in the Ross Sea, which lead to a constant temperature gradient of ∼8°C between middle and high latitudes.

In summary, the Neogene SST record of the STF is characterised by five phases of accelerated cooling of ∼2–3°C at ∼14, 9, 7, 5.5 and 2.8 Ma, superimposed on the gradual cooling trend (Fig. 6). Overall, by comparing our mid–latitude SST record with other sites in the Southern Hemisphere, we show that the latitudinal gradient of the Southern Ocean varied from weak (23–17 Ma), to weakened (17–14.5 Ma), to strengthening (14.5–5 Ma), to variable (5–0 Ma; Fig. 7), which we link to the gradual development of the frontal systems in the Southern Ocean, related to the interplay between the ice sheet, tectonic and climatic evolution of the Neogene Southern Ocean. The long-term evolution of subtropical-
front SSTs is consistent with that of δ18Obf, except for the progressive 10 °C cooling in the late Miocene that is less pronounced in δ18Obf (~0.3 ‰; Fig. 6). Perhaps this reflects a nonlinear response of subtropical-front SSTs to the progressive buildup of ice sheets once it has passed a critical threshold, including that of the western Antarctic ice sheet (Marschalek et al., 2021), and a more persistent presence of sea ice (Sangiorgi et al., 2018). In general, we observe modest cooling in the equatorial Pacific region throughout the Neogene and also modest cooling at the Antarctic continental margin. However, the strong cooling in the subtropical-front region suggests that the shape of the meridional temperature gradient changed fundamentally, with a broad, warm Southern Ocean in the mid–Miocene and a progressive expansion of cold-temperate conditions towards lower latitudes thereafter. This could be caused by a progressive increase in the strength of the ACC, strengthening latitudinal SST gradients in middle to low latitudes. Further study should reveal the exact changes of both surface oceanographic conditions (not exclusively SST but also upwelling and salinity) and deep-water temperature (including separate deep-water temperature and ice volume signals).

5 Conclusion

Our Neogene SST record from offshore Tasmania, derived from two independent biomarker proxies, provides for the first time a continuous, long-term record of subtropical Southern Ocean SST evolution during the Tasmanian Gateway opening. The SST record reflects a warm mid-Miocene climatic optimum, a gradual but profound 10 °C cooling in the mid-to-late Miocene, renewed warming in the Pliocene and highly variable temperature conditions during the Pleistocene to modern times. The long-term SST trend is consistent with the δ18Obf record, except during the late Miocene cooling. Short-term SST variability in the record can be linked to glacial–interglacial phases, suggesting strong coupling between Antarctic ice sheet buildup and the subtropical-front temperature on a multi-million-year timescale. However, the mechanism of the decoupling between SST and δ18Obf during the late Miocene is still enigmatic. Comparison with previously published SST records indicates that the latitudinal gradient in the Southern Ocean experienced a stepwise development, from a relatively strong gradient in the early Miocene to reduced gradients during the MCO to a steepening during the mid-to-late Miocene. Pliocene to modern time gradients remain relatively constant in trend but may differ in range over glacial–interglacial cycles. During the late Miocene cooling, the latitudinal SST gradient between the STF and the Pacific Equator profoundly increased (from 4 to 14 °C), while the SST gradient between the STF and the Antarctic margin decreased due to amplified STF cooling and relatively stable near-Antarctic SSTs. This caused a progressive narrowing of the warm equatorial-to-subtropical heat distribution and an expansion of subpolar conditions to lower latitudes. Our study presents a continuous picture of the STF temperature evolution in the Southern Ocean Tasmanian Gateway area and reveals the history of frontal systems towards modern conditions.
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