Sea surface temperature evolution of the North Atlantic Ocean across the Eocene–Oligocene transition
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Abstract. A major step in the long-term Cenozoic evolution toward a glacially driven climate occurred at the Eocene–Oligocene transition (EOT), ∼ 34.44 to 33.65 million years ago (Ma). Evidence for high-latitude cooling and increased latitudinal temperature gradients across the EOT has been found in a range of marine and terrestrial environments. However, the timing and magnitude of temperature change in the North Atlantic remains highly unconstrained. Here, we use two independent organic geochemical palaeothermometers to reconstruct sea surface temperatures (SSTs) from the southern Labrador Sea (Ocean Drilling Program – ODP Site 647) across the EOT. The new SST records, now the most detailed for the North Atlantic through the 1 Myr leading up to the EOT onset, reveal a distinctive cooling step of ∼ 3 °C (from 27 to 24 °C), between 34.9 and 34.3 Ma, which is ∼ 500 kyr prior to Antarctic glaciation. This cooling step, when compared visually to other SST records, is asynchronous across Atlantic sites, signifying considerable spatiotemporal variability in regional SST evolution. However, overall, it fits within a phase of general SST cooling recorded across sites in the North Atlantic in the 5 Myr bracketing the EOT. Such cooling might be unexpected in light of proxy and modelling studies suggesting the start-up of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) before the EOT, which should warm the North Atlantic. Results of an EOT modelling study (GFDL CM2.1) help reconcile this, finding that a reduction in atmospheric CO2 from 800 to 400 ppm may be enough to counter the warming from an AMOC start-up, here simulated through Arctic–Atlantic gateway closure. While the model simulations applied here are not yet in full equilibrium, and the experiments are idealised, the results, together with the proxy data, highlight the heterogeneity of basin-scale surface ocean responses to the EOT thermohaline changes, with sharp temperature contrasts expected across the northern North Atlantic as positions of the subtropical and subpolar gyre systems shift. Suggested future work includes increasing spatial coverage and resolution of regional SST proxy records across the North Atlantic to identify likely thermohaline fingerprints of the EOT AMOC start-up, as well as critical analysis of the causes of inter-model responses to help better understand the driving mechanisms.
1 Introduction

The principal signature of climatic change across the Eocene–Oligocene transition (EOT) in deep marine records is an apparent two-step positive increase in the oxygen isotopic ($\delta^{18}O$) composition of deep-sea foraminifera, centred around 34 Ma (Zachos et al., 1996; Coxall et al., 2005) (Supplement). Current understanding is that the first $\delta^{18}O$ step mostly reflects ocean cooling (Step 1; 33.9 Ma, known previously as EOT-1; see Hutchinson et al., 2021) and the second step reflects the accumulation of terrestrial ice on Antarctica (Lear et al., 2008; Bohaty et al., 2012; Zachos et al., 1996), which was recently redefined as the Early Oligocene oxygen Isotope Step (EOIS); at around 33.6 Ma (Hutchinson et al., 2021) (see also Supplement). While a cooling signal is recorded in the benthic realm, its absolute amplitude, expression at the surface ocean, and its global extent and uniformity remain largely unconstrained. A variety of data types support the EOT cooling in the low latitudes and the southern high latitudes, revealing temperature decreases that range between 2.5 to 5°C in the deep sea (Bohaty et al., 2012; Lear et al., 2008; Pusz et al., 2011) and between 2 to 6°C in surface waters and on land (Bohaty et al., 2012; Haiblen et al., 2019; Lauretano et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2009; Tibbett et al., 2021; Wade et al., 2012). Temperature evolution of the high northern latitudes, including regions of the North Atlantic where deep water is formed in the present day (Broeker, 1991; de Boer et al., 2008), however, remains less documented.

Existing low-resolution palaeoclimate reconstructions from the Norwegian–Greenland Sea, including sea surface temperature (SST) and terrestrial temperature constraints from palynology (Eldrett et al., 2009), organic molecular fossils (Liu et al., 2009; Schouten et al., 2008), and sediment grains (i.e. ice-rafted debris) (e.g. Eldrett et al., 2007), suggest some degree of cooling and increased seasonality concurrent with the EOT, which is possibly tied to relatively minor land-ice expansions on Greenland (Eldrett et al., 2007; Bernard et al., 2016). Records from the mid-latitude North Atlantic report no SST change across the EOT as evidence of a temporary decoupling of the North Atlantic Ocean from the southern high latitudes and thus hemispherical asymmetric cooling, attributed to changes in circulation-driven heat transport (Liu et al., 2018). This existing suite of northern EOT temperature records, still provide sparse coverage, with gaps at critical stages in the late Eocene and are of generally low temporal resolution, especially in the 1 Myr lead interval prior to the EOT onset. These data can therefore not be correlated in great detail to the EOT as identified in global benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}O$ records. This limits the understanding of cause-and-effect relationships with the much better resolved $\delta^{18}O$ and deep-sea temperature records from the Southern Hemisphere (e.g. Hutchinson et al., 2021). A further stumbling block is that the quality of many northern North Atlantic records is often compromised by (i) carbonate dissolution in the sub-Arctic North Atlantic, which limits proxy-based temperature estimates using foraminiferal calcite, and (ii) gaps in the sedimentary record at many sites across the Eocene–Oligocene boundary that are caused by deep-sea erosion linked to bottom water current strengthening (e.g. Miller et al., 1985).

Here we present new proxy records of sea surface temperature from Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 647 (53°20’ N 45°16’ W), located in the western North Atlantic (Fig. 1), across an upper Eocene to middle Oligocene (i.e. time equivalent to ~38–~26.5 Ma) succession of hemipelagic clay from the southern Labrador Sea. We use the TEX$_{86}$ (tetraether index of 86 carbon atoms) and U$_{KS}$ (modified unsaturated ketone index) proxies (Fig. 2), which are two independent palaeothermometers based on fossil organic biomarkers derived from archaea and photosynthetic plankton, respectively (Schouten et al., 2002; Brassell et al., 1986). These new data constitute the best-resolved EOT-spanning SST proxy records from the Northern Hemisphere to date. They document patterns of temperature change in the northwestern Atlantic and help decipher the complex temperature evolution of the (North) Atlantic Ocean across the largest climate state change of the Cenozoic era.

We compare our newly obtained SST record to published SST proxy records and reconstruct latitudinal SST gradients for the Eocene and Oligocene in the North Atlantic (Figs. 3 and 4). The compilation of SST records (Fig. 3a) shows cooling in the Atlantic across the EOT that one might expect to be part of the global transitioning into an icehouse world and which is usually attributed to a reduction in atmospheric CO$_2$ (Anagnostou et al., 2016; Cramwinckel et al., 2018). Hypotheses for the CO$_2$ decrease abound and include gradual reduction in tectonically driven outgassing, expansion of marine carbon sinks (Müller et al., 2022), weathering, or biological pump feedbacks from an Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) start-up (Hutchinson et al., 2021; Elsworth et al., 2017; Fyke et al., 2015). The AMOC has been suggested by multiple proxies to become active around the time of the EOT (Borrelli et al., 2021, 2014; Boyle et al., 2017; Coxall et al., 2018; Hutchinson et al., 2019; Kaminski and Ortiz, 2014.; Langton et al., 2016; Uenzelmann-Neben and Gruetznner, 2018; Via and Thomas, 2006). Theory and modelling work have attributed the AMOC start-up alternatively to Arctic closure (Hutchinson et al., 2019; Straume et al., 2022), the deepening of Drake Passage and/or the Tasman Gateway (Toggweiler and Bjornsson, 2000), and the deepening of the Greenland Scotland Ridge (Stärrz et al., 2017). A main feature of the AMOC is its northward heat transport in the Atlantic, which acts to warm the high-latitude North Atlantic more than it would be otherwise expected, begging the question of how AMOC warming and CO$_2$ cooling may combine to produce reconstructed cooling in the North Atlantic. To address this question, we here analyse the SST patterns in the modelling output from Hutchinson et al. (2018, 2019) (model GFDL CM2.1), in which they com-
pared the impact of Arctic closure (causing Atlantic salinification sufficient to trigger deep sinking) and an atmospheric CO\(_2\) decrease on the deep-ocean circulation. They concluded that only the Arctic closure could lead to a start-up of the AMOC at the EOT (other mechanisms failed to initiate AMOC sinking). This finding was corroborated recently by Straume et al. (2022), even though the authors closed off the Arctic–Atlantic connection via different tectonic changes than Hutchinson et al. (2019). Here we focus on the implications of these processes on SST.

The paper starts with a description of the drilling site and core, followed by detail on the various data methods used in the study and a description of the model and simulations. The results address first the specific SST time series in the Site 647 record and then analyses the new dataset in the context of available North Atlantic SST records. The data are then compared to the modelling simulations, and the implications for the processes in the North Atlantic and at the core site are discussed. We conclude with a summary of the results and the potential implications for the state of knowledge of what happened at the EOT.

### 2 Labrador Sea Ocean Drilling Program Site 647

ODP Hole 647A constitutes the most northerly location (53°N) where a complete upper Eocene–middle Oligocene sedimentary sequence is known to be present (Coxall et al., 2018; Firth et al., 2013) (Fig. 1). The studied succession consists of greyish-green, moderately to strongly bioturbated nannofossil chalk (GDGTs) in Kysing-4; Śliwińska et al., 2019) referred to in the text. The palaeogeographic map is modified after Arthur et al. (1989), Piepjohn et al. (2016), Śliwińska et al. (2019), and references therein. Abbreviated oceanic features identified are the Feni Drift (FD) (Davies et al., 2001), Judd Falls Drift (JFD) (Hohbein et al., 2012), Greenland–Scotland Ridge (GSR), and Charlie–Gibbs Fracture Zone (CGFZ). The red and blue lines labelled as “extension of the warm water pool” and “extension of the cold water pool”, respectively, represent the positions of surface ocean gyre systems that expand with a late Eocene AMOC switched on in our model experiments.

pared the impact of Arctic closure (causing Atlantic salinification sufficient to trigger deep sinking) and an atmospheric CO\(_2\) decrease on the deep-ocean circulation. They concluded that only the Arctic closure could lead to a start-up of the AMOC at the EOT (other mechanisms failed to initiate AMOC sinking). This finding was corroborated recently by Straume et al. (2022), even though the authors closed off the Arctic–Atlantic connection via different tectonic changes than Hutchinson et al. (2019). Here we focus on the implications of these processes on SST.

The paper starts with a description of the drilling site and core, followed by detail on the various data methods used in the study and a description of the model and simulations. The results address first the specific SST time series in the Site 647 record and then analyses the new dataset in the context of available North Atlantic SST records. The data are then compared to the modelling simulations, and the implications for the processes in the North Atlantic and at the core site are discussed. We conclude with a summary of the results and the potential implications for the state of knowledge of what happened at the EOT.

### 2 Labrador Sea Ocean Drilling Program Site 647

ODP Hole 647A constitutes the most northerly location (53°N) where a complete upper Eocene–middle Oligocene sedimentary sequence is known to be present (Coxall et al., 2018; Firth et al., 2013) (Fig. 1). The studied succession consists of greyish-green, moderately to strongly bioturbated nannofossil claystone and nannofossil chalk (see Supplement). The core recovery across the EOT (Cores 27R to 30R) is reasonable (Fig. S1). However, Core 29R is heavily disturbed (Fig. S1) and is usually omitted in the analysis of the site (Firth, 1989; Kaminski and Ortiz, 2014). We have processed one sample from the Core 29R (29R-4, 130-132, 275.5 m b.s.f. – metres below see floor; Fig. S1) for calcareous nannofossils and biomarkers. In the sample we found neither caved (younger) nor reworked (older) calcareous nannofossil taxa (John Firth, personal communication, 2013); thus, despite intra-core sediment mixing the analysed biomarker signal remains stratigraphically useful, albeit producing a time-average SST signal, potentially for the whole Core C29.

The absolute ages for the studied succession are calculated up to the depth of 214.19 m b.s.f., where the highest occurrence of *Reticulofenestra umbilicus* (with diameter >14 µm) is observed, which provides an absolute age of 32.02 Ma at that depth (Firth et al., 2013). The uppermost part of the studied succession belongs to the NP24 (Firth, 1989) and the normal polarity magnetochron (Firth et al., 2013), suggesting that it is probably not younger than 26.5 Ma. Overall, even with some core disturbance and other minor core recovery gaps, a bio-magnetostratigraphic age model was obtained for the interval between ~38 and ~32 Ma (Figs. 2, 3, and S2). The datums included in the age model have been converted to the GTS2012 (Vandenberghe et al., 2012) (Figs. 2, 3, and S3), using tie points proposed by Firth et al. (2013) (Śliwińska, 2022).

In other deep-sea sequences across the EOT, combined δ\(^{18}\)O and magnetic reversal stratigraphy has shown that high δ\(^{18}\)O values diagnostic of the Early Oligocene Glacial Maximum (EOGM) δ\(^{18}\)O increase (Oi-1 of Zachos et al., 1996; Katz et al., 2008; and Coxall and Wilson, 2011; “Step 2” of Coxall et al., 2005; EOS of Hutchinson et al., 2021) reach a peak close to the base of the magnetochron C13n, while the prior and first phase of the EOT transition (“Step 1” of Coxall et al., 2005; “EOT-1” of Katz et al., 2008, and Coxall and Wilson, 2011) occurs in the previous reversed-polarity zone C13r, where δ\(^{18}\)O is on average 0.5‰–1‰ lower. A weak
Figure 2. The sea surface temperature (SST) record from the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 647A. (a) SSTs based on TEX\textsubscript{86} and U\textsubscript{K}'\textsubscript{37} indices (this study). Magnetostratigraphy after Firth et al. (2013). MAT – modern average annual temperatures (10.6°C), ST – modern summer temperatures (15.2°C) at the palaeolocation of 46° N based on the Ocean World database. EOIS – Earliest Oligocene Isotope Step. EOIS, Step 1, late Eocene event, and EOT following nomenclature of Hutchinson et al. (2021). (b) The new temperature record across the Eocene–Oligocene transition (EOT) compared to (i) benthic foraminifera oxygen stable isotope (δ\textsuperscript{18}O) records from ODP Site 647 (Oridorsalis umbonatus; >63 µm) (Coxall et al., 2018) and an inferred zone of acute North Atlantic deep-water circulation change and (ii) benthic δ\textsuperscript{18}O record from ODP Site 1218 providing the chemostratigraphic framework that allows us to extrapolate the EOIS, Step 1, and late Eocene events to Site 647 (Coxall and Wilson, 2011). All ages are based on the GTS2012 (Vandenberghe et al., 2012).

spot in the Firth et al. (2013) age model for Site 647 occurs close to the Eocene–Oligocene boundary due to the particularly discontinuous coring at that level (Fig. S1). Firth et al., (2013) used a depth of 270.93 m b.s.f. as the age tie point for the C13r–C13n reversal boundary at Site 647. Due to the sampling limits of the palaeomagnetic analysis (Core 29R also exhibits sediment disturbance, eliminating any coherent palaeomagnetic signal) there is a ±9 m uncertainty associated with this horizon (see Table S2 in Coxall et al., 2018). Our benthic δ\textsuperscript{18}O sample from 269.79 m b.s.f. falls within the zone of palaeomagnetic uncertainty. Since it has a relatively low value of δ\textsuperscript{18}O we interpret this to be “pre-EOGM” and therefore a pre-C13n value; thus it most likely occurs within C13r. We can therefore shift the C13r–C13n reversal depth up to 265 m b.s.f., which is a revised estimate of the palaeomagnetic reversal position after Firth et al. (2013).

3 Methods

3.1 Biomarkers

Organic compounds were extracted from 71 sediment samples collected from the interval between 397.60 and 135.50 m b.s.f. (39R 02W, 100–102 cm; 15R 01W, 10–20 cm). Samples were freeze-dried and mechanically powdered and 5–17 g of sediment was taken for further analysis. The total lipid extract was obtained from sediments using the accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) technique with dichloromethane (DCM) : methanol (MeOH) (9 : 1, v/v). Excess solvent was removed by evaporation under nitrogen in the TurboVap\textsuperscript{®} LV for 1 h under constant temperature (30°C) and constant gas pressure (15 psi). The total lipid extract was separated over an activated Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} column into apolar (hexane : DCM, 1 : 1, v/v), ketone (hexane : DCM, 1 : 1, v/v), and polar (DCM : MeOH, 1 : 1, v/v) fractions, respectively.

3.1.1 Alkenone-based temperature estimates

The ketone fraction was analysed for alkenones. Sufficient concentrations of di- and tri-unsaturated alkenones were detected in the 32 uppermost samples (i.e. between 241.14 and 135.50 m b.s.f.). In these samples we calculated sea surface temperatures by applying a U\textsubscript{K}'\textsubscript{37} proxy (Prahl and Wakeham, 1987; Brassell et al., 1986).

Figure 3. SST evolution across the EOT in the Atlantic Ocean. (a) Reconstructed $P_{CO_2}$ based on planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$B (pentagons) (Pearson et al., 2009) and phytoplankton alkenone $\delta^{13}$C (triangles) (Pagani et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013). The effect of $P_{CO_2}$ on radiative forcing scales logarithmically. (b) Newly generated and published (Cramwinckel et al., 2018; Houben et al., 2019; Inglis et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018, 2009; Šliwińska et al., 2019; Wade et al., 2012) reconstructed SSTs based on $U^K_{37}$ (diamonds) and TEX$^H_{86}$ (circles). All ages are converted into the GTS2012 (Vandenberghe et al., 2012). (c) Magneto- and chronostratigraphy based on the GTS2012 (Vandenberghe et al., 2012). (d) Palaeogeography at 34.5 Ma (https://www.odsn.de/, September, 2020) with colour-coded site locations of the SST records shown in panel (b). SSQ stands for St. Stephen’s Quarry.

Figure 4. Data–model comparison of latitudinal SST gradients for the late Eocene (37–34.5 Ma, orange bars and solid lines) and early Oligocene (34.5–32 Ma, violet bars and solid lines) states to the four different model simulations (raw data are shown in Fig. S5) The dashed lines show the zonal average SSTs at that latitude in the Atlantic sector, and the triangles show the site-specific temperatures in the simulations. The 1 and 2 sigma error bars are indicated around the data points. On the left of the figure, indicated by a solid blue line, is the zonally average present-day Atlantic SST from the World Ocean Atlas (WOA; Boyer et al., 2013), used as a reference for the present-day Atlantic sea surface temperature latitudinal gradient. Model palaeolatitudes (right-hand axis) are shifted with respect to present-day latitudes of the data (site and WOA data, left-hand axis) by the average offset of $-7.0^\circ$ (error: $\pm 1.5^\circ$) for the sites considered. For sites 913, 336, and U1404 SST data are derived from $U^K_{37}$, while at sites Kysing-4 and 647 SST is derived from TEX$^H_{86}$. Arc op – Arctic–Atlantic Gateway open, Arc cl – Arctic–Atlantic Gateway closed, 800–800 ppm CO$_2$ simulation, 400–400 ppm CO$_2$ simulation.

First, the $U^K_{37}$ index was calculated as follows:

$$U^K_{37} = \frac{[C37:2]}{[C37:2] + [C37:3]}.$$  (1)

where the numbers in [C37:2] and [C37:3] refer to the number of carbon atom and double bonds in the molecule. Second, the index was converted into temperature following the calibration of Müller et al. (1998).

$$T = (U^K_{37} - 0.044)/0.033.$$  (2)

The $T$ calibration error for Eq. (2) is $\pm 1.5^\circ$ C. For seven samples, which were analysed in duplicate, the reproducibility was better than $0.6^\circ$ C (Fig. S2).

Notably, the alkenones detected in our study do not originate from *Emiliania huxleyi*, a coccolithophore which has been present only for the past 270 kyr. However, as was
shown by several studies, the Palaeogene ancestors show a similar response of the $U_{37}^{K'}$ index to surface temperature compared to modern-day alkenone producers (Brassell, 2014; Villanueva et al., 2002). Like any other proxies, the $U_{37}^{K'}$ index has its uncertainties, but they are generally considered to be minimal when compared to other proxies.

The calibration of Müller et al. (1998) is nearly identical to the culture-based calibration used for E. huxleyi by Prahl et al. (1988) and is commonly used to estimate the $U_{37}^{K'}$-derived SST of the late Palaeogene to Neogene strata in the northern high to mid-latitudes (see e.g. Liu et al., 2009; Herbert et al., 2020; Weller and Stein, 2008).

### 3.1.2 GDGT distribution

The polar fraction (containing glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers, GDGTs) was concentrated under $N_2$, dissolved in hexane/isopropanol (99:1, v/v), filtered using a 0.4 µm PTFE filter, and analysed using high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) as described by Schouten et al. (2007). Prior to calculating the sea surface temperatures from the TEX$^{86}$ proxy, we have evaluated the source and the distribution of GDGTs.

For detecting a methanogenic input of GDGTs we applied the %GDGT-0 index (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2012). Studies on enrichment cultures of Thaumarchaeota suggest that when %GDGT-0 values reach values above 67% the sedimentary GDGT pool may be affected by an additional (probably methanogenic) source of GDGTs. Our Eocene to Oligocene sediments have %GDGT-0 values between 26% and 63%, with a mean value of 41% (Śliwińska, 2022), and thus the GDGT pool bears no signs of methanogenic source for the sedimentary archaea. Low values of the methane index (MI) (Zhang et al., 2011) and the GDGT-2 : Crenarchaeol ratio (Weijers et al., 2011) (<0.25 and <0.13, respectively) exclude input of methanotrophic archaea versus Thaumarchaeota. The relative abundance of Crenarchaeal isomer fCren$^\prime$Cren$^\prime$ + Cren (O’Brien et al., 2017) in our dataset has values between 0.05 and 0.09 (Śliwińska, 2022), which is within the range (0.00–0.16) of values for the modern core-top sediments. In order to eliminate samples with GDGTs which may have been influenced by non-thermal factors we calculated the ring index (RI) (Zhang et al., 2016). Nine samples from our dataset (12.5% of all samples, $n = 71$) are excluded from the temperature calculations due to RI above 0.3 (Zhang et al., 2016; Śliwińska, 2022).

Fifteen samples (21% of all samples, $n = 71$) were excluded from the temperature calculations because of too-high soil- and river-derived organic matter, as suggested by the branched isoprenoid tetraether (BIT) index (Hopmans et al., 2004). We used a cut-off value of 0.4 (Śliwińska, 2022). The BIT cut-off value for applicability of TEX$^{86}$ as a SST proxy depends on the particular location, i.e. the TEX$^{86}$ value of the terrestrial GDGTs transported to the marine environment (see discussion in Schouten et al., 2013b), as well as the mass spectrometer settings (Schouten et al., 2013a). In the studied interval the BIT index rarely exceeds 0.35 and shows no apparent trend in time. Furthermore, for the entire sample set, we find no correlation between BIT index and TEX$^{86}$ ($R^2 = 0.01$).

#### 3.1.3 TEX$^{86}_H$ and BAYSPAR-based temperature estimates

Due to BIT and/or ΔRI exceeding their cut-off values, 18 samples are excluded from the TEX$^{86}$ compilation (see above). Out of 71 sediment samples, 14 were analysed in duplicate and 2 in triplicate. In our study we have applied two calibrations for TEX$^{86}$-derived SST estimations: the TEX$^{86}_H$ (where H stands for high-temperature regions) linear calibration (Kim et al., 2010) and the TEX$^{86}$ Bayesian regression model (BAYSPAR) (Tierney and Tingley, 2014, 2015). In the modern oceans the TEX$^{86}_H$ is calculated as follows:

$$\text{TEX}^{86}_H = \log \left( \frac{[\text{GDGT} − 2] + [\text{GDGT} − 3] + [\text{Cren}]}{[\text{GDGT} − 1] + [\text{GDGT} − 2] + [\text{GDGT} − 3] + [\text{Cren}]} \right).$$ (3)

Raw TEX$^{86}_H$ values for the studied interval are between 0.56 and 0.71, with a mean value of 0.63 (1σ calibration uncertainty). SST was subsequently calculated as follows:

$$T [\degree C] = 68.4 (\text{TEX}^{86}_H) + 38.6. \quad (4)$$

The $T$ calibration error for Eq. (4) is $\sim 2.5 \degree C$. The analytical error in the SST derived from TEX$^{86}_H$ is $\pm 0.6 \degree C$.

We also calculated SST predictions using the Bayesian regression model (BAYSPAR), for which we only included the sample set as for TEX$^{86}_H$. We computed SSTs using the online graphical user interface located at http://bayspar.geo.arizona.edu (last access: 2017; currently discontinued) and inserted a palaeotemperature calibration error of TEX$^{86}_H$ for Eq. (4) is $\pm 0.6 \degree C$.

The calibration of Müller et al. (1998) is nearly identical to the culture-based calibration used for E. huxleyi by Prahl et al. (1988) and is commonly used to estimate the $U_{37}^{K'}$-derived SST of the late Palaeogene to Neogene strata in the northern high to mid-latitudes (see e.g. Liu et al., 2009; Herbert et al., 2020; Weller and Stein, 2008).

Raw TEX$^{86}_H$ values for the studied interval are between 0.56 and 0.71, with a mean value of 0.63 (1σ calibration uncertainty). SST was subsequently calculated as follows:

$$T [\degree C] = 68.4 (\text{TEX}^{86}_H) + 38.6. \quad (4)$$

The $T$ calibration error for Eq. (4) is $\sim 2.5 \degree C$. The analytical error in the SST derived from TEX$^{86}_H$ is $\pm 0.6 \degree C$.

We also calculated SST predictions using the Bayesian regression model (BAYSPAR), for which we only included the sample set as for TEX$^{86}_H$. We computed SSTs using the online graphical user interface located at http://bayspar.geo.arizona.edu (last access: 2017; currently discontinued) and inserted a palaeolatitude of 45° N. Spatial analogues for deep time for the BAYSPAR were calculated with the following settings:

1. prior mean = 0.633639 (i.e. the mean TEX$^{86}$ value for the time series)
2. search tolerance = 0.072302 (i.e. 2-SD.P of time series).

The Bayesian estimates based on the TEX$^{86}$ index values at Site 647A point to low-latitude settings as modern analogues. TEX$^{86}$ and BAYSPAR calibrations show very similar palaeotemperature trends. The difference in SST is between 0 and 0.6 °C for SST above 25.6 °C and between 0.8 and 1.9 °C for SST below 25.2 °C. Overall, the mean difference in SST is 0.8 °C. SST records based on different calibrations of TEX$^{86}$ are shown in Fig. S2.
3.1.4 Potential bias of the TEX$\text{_{86}}$ index

Some studies suggested that TEX$\text{_{86}}$ reflects subsurface rather than surface temperatures (e.g. Lopes dos Santos et al., 2010; Huguet et al., 2007). However, the $U_{37}^K$ index, which is a well-established proxy for SST, in the earliest Oligocene (covered by the interval from $\sim 240$ to $\sim 190$ m b.s.f.) shows an overall match in both absolute values and the temperature trend as derived from TEX$\text{_{86}}$ (Figs. 2, 3, and S2). These two proxies are based on organisms with different ecological preferences and thus may reconstruct temperatures of different seasons and depths compared to each other. Nevertheless, the similarity of both records during the earliest Oligocene (covered by the interval from $\sim 240$ to $\sim 190$ m b.s.f.) suggests that the temperatures recorded by both proxies are indicative of surface conditions. Qin et al. (2015) questioned the application of the TEX$\text{_{86}}$ proxy in sediments deposited under low O$_2$ concentrations. However, the nature of the benthic foraminiferal assemblages (e.g. Kaminski and Ortiz, 2014; Kaminski et al., 1989), evidence of bioturbation throughout the recovered cores (Stein et al., 1989), and lack of other sedimentological features suggesting exceptionally low-oxygen conditions (Eldholm et al., 1987) across the interval covering the EOT imply that deposition took place in oxygenated bottom waters (see also Kaminski and Ortiz, 2014; Ortiz and Kaminski, 2012). There is no correlation between BIT index and TEX$\text{_{86}}$, so we can assume that TEX$\text{_{86}}$ values are probably not biased by terrestrial input. It has been also shown that oxic degradation of biomarker lipids can affect their relative distribution and thus the TEX$\text{_{86}}$ (Huguet et al., 2009). However, we do not observe any signs of oxic degradation in the analysed material, such as a sharp increase in the BIT index values or a high degree of correlation between TEX$\text{_{86}}$ and BIT.

3.2 Model simulations

The simulations were performed using the coupled climate model GFDL CM2.1 (Delworth et al., 2006) adapted to late Eocene ($\sim 38$ Ma) boundary conditions, as outlined in Hutchinson et al. (2018). The model uses an ocean resolution of $1^\circ \times 1.5^\circ \times 50$ levels and an atmosphere resolution of $3^\circ \times 3.75^\circ \times 24$ levels. The resolution of our model is in line with the most recent set of EOT climate models (e.g. Baatsen et al., 2020; Tardif et al., 2020), which allows better representation of ocean gateways than the preceding generation of EOT models. The model was run at two end-member CO$_2$ levels of 400 and 800 ppm and spun up for 6500 years using an iterative coupling procedure, with the last 3200 years run in fully coupled mode (Hutchinson et al., 2018). These experiments were carried out using modern-day orbital forcing parameters. In the control configuration, the palaeogeography includes shallowly open ocean gateways between the Arctic and Norwegian–Greenland Sea as they likely existed for some part of the late Eocene (Lasabuda et al., 2018; Straume et al., 2020). In this configuration, sinking occurs in the North Pacific and the Southern Ocean, but no deep water forms in the North Atlantic. We also simulated a modified version of the model with the Arctic–Atlantic Gateway fully closed, as outlined in Hutchinson et al. (2019). This change dramatically increases the salinity in the North Atlantic and enables North Atlantic deep water to form. We thus compare the mean state and response to halving CO$_2$ from 800 to 400 ppm in a configuration where there is and where there is not an AMOC present. All simulations were run for 6500 years, using the same spin-up method as applied by Hutchinson et al. (2018) except the 400 ppm Arctic-closed simulation, which was branched from the 800 ppm Arctic-closed configuration at year 5500 and continued for 1000 model years (Fig. 5, green). The AMOC in this run is clearly not in equilibrium yet, reducing by $\sim 10$ Sv in the last 500 years (Fig. 5b). Similarly, the SST around the area of Site 647 is still decreasing by $\sim 0.4^\circ$C in these 500 years with no obvious reduction in this trend by year 6500, suggesting that the final state would be at least as cold as the Arctic-closed 800 ppm case (Fig. 5c, red) and potentially even colder.

It should further be noted that the GFDL CM2.1 model was the only model to simulate deep sinking in the North Pacific for the DeepMIP model intercomparison project of the early Eocene (Zhang et al., 2022). Fish debris neodymium (Nd) proxy data suggest deep sinking in the Pacific, but the evidence is not conclusive yet. It is therefore currently not possible to determine which models have the most realistic ocean state (Zhang et al., 2022). Suffice to say that the models simulate a wide variety of ocean states for the same Eocene boundary conditions, so that sensitivity studies like these would also be highly model-dependent.

4 Proxy-derived sea surface temperature

4.1 Sea surface temperature in the Labrador Sea

Our Site 647 TEX$\text{_{86}}$-derived record shows high and relatively stable SSTs ($\sim 27^\circ$C) in the southern Labrador Sea from ca. 38 up to 35.5 Ma (Figs. 2 and 3). Between $\sim 35.5$ and 34.9 Ma SSTs increased by $\sim 1.5^\circ$C. Subsequently, between $\sim 34.9$ Ma and $\sim 34.3$ Ma, SSTs decreased by $\sim 3$–$4^\circ$C, i.e. from 27 to 23–24°C, depending on the TEX$\text{_{86}}$ calibration (Fig. S2; the surface water cooling is reduced by $\sim 1^\circ$C when using TEX$\text{_{86}}^H$ calibration). Between 34.3 and 33 Ma, which includes the EOT interval, SSTs remained relatively stable (Fig. 2). Long chain alkenones, on which the $U_{37}^K$ index is based, did not appear at Site 647 before $\sim 33$ Ma (Fig. 2). This fits with the observations that alkenones in distributions similar to those of modern-day producers first appeared in the global sedimentary record around the EOT is, most likely triggered by the climate-driven changes (Brassell, 2014). Nevertheless, once alkenones appear at Site 647, mean SST values derived from both $U_{37}^K$ and TEX$\text{_{86}}$ are within the same range (Fig. S1), adding confidence in
Figure 5. Time series of the North Pacific meridional overturning circulation (MOC) index (a), the North Atlantic MOC index (b), and the SST averaged over a 5° × 5° box around Core Site 647 in the four model simulations.

the absolute temperatures that we reconstruct. Both organic proxy temperature estimates are substantially higher than present-day values (5–10°C) (Fig. 2a) and in good accordance with available time-equivalent SST reconstructions for the region (Fig. 3). Overall, both palaeothermometers suggest Oligocene SST (interval from ~34 to ~26.5 Ma) below 26°C (Figs. 2 and S2), with two temperature minima. However, with the existing uncertainties in the age model for this interval (i.e. depth from 190 to 130 m b.s.f.; Firth et al., 2013) it is challenging to link the SST minima with the cooling episodes from the Oligocene (e.g. Wade and Pälike, 2004). This could potentially be improved by a detailed analysis of dinocysts (e.g. Śliwińska et al., 2010; Śliwińska, 2019; Śliwińska and Heilmann-Clausen, 2011), but it is outside the scope of the present study. Notably, at the older SST minimum (depth ca. 183 m b.s.f.; Fig. S2) $U_{36}^{n'}$-derived SST becomes significantly colder than TEX$_{86}$-derived SST. Potentially, this may be because the surface conditions, reflected by the $U_{36}^{n'}$, changed more substantially than subsurface temperatures, which will affect TEX$_{86}$ to a larger extent. Alternatively, it could indicate that there were shifts in seasonal impacts on the proxies.

Overall, TEX$_{86}^{H}$-derived SST shows a distinctive cooling step of ~3–4°C at Site 647, when comparing the warmer Eocene (SST between 29 and 25.5°C, interval from ~38 to 35.5 Ma) with the colder Oligocene (SST below 25°C, interval from ~34 to ~26.5 Ma) (Fig. 2). Notably, most published SST data from the Atlantic Ocean (all shown in Fig. 3) are of (much) lower resolution and only bracket the main cooling and ice-growth events associated with the EOT. Our study provides the highest-resolution, long-term SST record from the North Atlantic region across the late Eocene to date. It uniquely pinpoints the high northern latitude changes during the main climatic transitions and the critical lead-up period by identifying a cooling in the southern Labrador Sea between 34.9 and 34.3 Ma, approximately 500 kyr prior to the Step 1 event (Fig. 2a), possibly related to the late Eocene event. This temperature decrease falls within the reconstructed range in the North Atlantic region, with a larger cooling across the EOT at Sites 336, 913, and Kysing-4 (north of Site 647) and a somewhat smaller SST decrease at Site U1404 (south of Site 647) (Fig. 3).

Our SST record at Site 647 does not cover the Step 1 or EOIS events in detail (Fig. 2), but similar to the record from Site U1404 on the Newfoundland margin (Liu et al., 2018), these events do not appear to be associated with any prolonged surface temperature decrease. The surface cooling in the Labrador Sea that predates the Step 1 phase (Fig. 2) agrees with a variety of other, more coarsely resolved Northern Hemisphere proxy reconstructions. These data include, for example, dust records from central Asia (Abels et al., 2011; Sun and Windley, 2015), which indicate that the strongest cooling and continental aridification occurred between 35 and 34 Ma, respectively. Lastly, this cooling (the late Eocene event) is detected in several deep-sea records (e.g. ODP Site 689 in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean) as a transient ~0.5‰ excursion in $\delta^{18}O$, and it probably coincides with a so-called “precursor glaciation” on Antarctica (Katz et al., 2008; Hutchinson et al., 2021) interpreted to be driven by 405 and ~110 kyr eccentricity minima (Fig. S3). Based on these lines of evidence, we infer that the late Eocene event had an impact on several globally distributed locations. However, the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean experienced only a transient cooling of bottom and surface waters of ~1°C at that time (Bohaty et al., 2012), whereas our data suggest that during the late Eocene event surface temperatures in the vicinity of Site 647 experienced a distinctive cooling step.
4.2 Sea surface temperature in the North Atlantic across the EOT

The still low resolution of SST data across the EOT in the North Atlantic, compared to time-equivalent benthic δ¹⁸O records, does not allow for any detailed analysis of the changing spatial or temporal SST patterns in the North Atlantic or identification of sequential forcing mechanisms or leaders or lags that could explain them. For example, at sites 336 and Kysing-4, where the data density is high (~35.8 Ma), the SST data have a large range in a short interval, suggesting these are highly dynamic regions and more so than Site 647A (Fig. 3). At other sites like 913 there are only six data points between 37 and 32 Ma, making it impossible to identify temporal patterns or attribute them to internal or external variability. However, we combine the available core data in an ensemble to derive an overarching picture of cooling across the 5 Myr bracketing the EOT in the North Atlantic (from 37 to 32 Ma; Fig. 3). Specifically, we calculate the average temperature values from 37.0 to 34.5 Ma (“pre-34.5” interval) and from 34.5 to 32.0 Ma (“post-34.5” interval) in all existing SST records in the North Atlantic region (Šliwińska, 2022). The threshold of 34.5 Ma is chosen because that is where the shift towards colder temperatures at Site 647 is recorded. We present the SST temperatures in these two intervals as a function of latitude and note that the higher-latitude cores are on average colder than lower-latitude cores, as one might expect (Fig. 4). The cooling across the EOT indicates polar amplification with stronger cooling at the poleward sites such as 913 and 336 (Fig. 4), although we emphasise the high uncertainty in averaging so few data points in these records.

5 Data–model comparison and implications

5.1 Absolute sea surface temperature values in the North Atlantic between 37 and 32 Ma

Here we compare the late Eocene (37 to 34.5 Ma) and early Oligocene (34.5 to 32 Ma) SST at the five North Atlantic core sites to the four combinations of an open and closed Arctic and 400 and 800 ppm atmospheric CO₂ concentrations as described in Hutchinson et al. (2018, 2019). The selected time frame from 37 to 32 Ma covers the most complete data-derived SST evolution from all selected sites (Fig. 3). Most of the simulations do a reasonable job at matching proxy SSTs at lower latitudes, but none of the simulations can produce the warm proxy-derived SSTs in the northern North Atlantic during the late Eocene (Figs. 4 and 6), suggesting that the model has too-low high-latitude temperatures for the late Eocene. There may be several possible explanations for this. The applied CO₂ concentration of 800 ppm may still be too low for the late Eocene. The existing P_CO₂ reconstructions across the EOT are of low resolution and are characterised by a large range of absolute values and relatively high levels of uncertainty (cf. Anagnostou et al., 2016; Steinthorsdottir et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2013). However, this is probably not the main reason for high-latitude warmth in the records compared to the model because (i) it is unlikely that the P_CO₂ was much more than 1000 ppm in the late Eocene (Fig 3a), and (ii) higher CO₂ concentration also implies somewhat higher low-latitude temperatures which are not underestimated in the current model simulations. Alternatively, it may be that the TEX₈₆-derived SST data are warmer than the model output because they represent a summer signal. Several studies of TEX₈₆-derived SSTs of the Eocene greenhouse state suggest the possibility of a summer bias at higher latitudes (e.g. Davies et al., 2019; Hollis et al., 2012), and the summer SSTs are indeed a better match for the proxy data (Fig. S4). While some degree of seasonal bias cannot be ruled out, the overall trends and absolute SST estimates from the TEX₈₆ proxy in our record correspond well with those of U₁₅’ (Fig. S2). The U₁₅’ proxy is derived from haptophyte algae, which generally have different bloom periods than Thaumarcheota and are thought to reflect annual mean or spring SST (Müller et al., 1998). This argues against a strong seasonal bias in the U₁₅’ or TEX₈₆ records.

Alternatively, the model has too-cold high-latitude temperatures either because of too-low climate sensitivity to CO₂ or insufficient polar amplification due to inadequate cloud feedbacks (Baatsen et al., 2020; Lunt et al., 2021). The simulation with the higher 800 ppm CO₂ and the closed Arctic (with active AMOC) gives the warmest absolute temperature in the North Atlantic and is therefore the closest to proxy records both for the late Eocene (37 to 34.5 Ma) and early Oligocene (34.5 to 32 Ma) intervals (dashed red line in Figs. 5 and 6d).

5.2 Sea surface temperature change across the EOT in the North Atlantic

As detailed above, the EOT cooling is usually attributed to a decrease in atmospheric CO₂ (see summary in Hutchinson et al., 2021). Numerous studies have suggested that accelerated CO₂ decline may have been triggered by the start-up of the AMOC at or just prior to the EOT. The Arctic-open and Arctic-closed simulations shown here are part of one such study in which the North Atlantic deep-water formation is activated through closing the ocean gateways across the Nordic Seas transporting low-salinity Arctic waters to the Atlantic Ocean. It results in salinification and densification of surface waters (Hutchinson et al., 2019). The AMOC is known to transport heat northward in the modern Atlantic, and a freshwater-induced AMOC collapse in a modern climate state leads to cooler North Atlantic SSTs (Jackson et al., 2015). In our EOT simulations a start-up of the AMOC through closing the connection to the Arctic is associated with a >5°C temperature increase in some locations of the Nordic Seas (Fig. 7a, b), suggesting a similar role for the AMOC in northward heat transport during this period. Some of the warming could also be due to reduced heat transport to the Arctic in the closed-Atlantic–Arctic-Gateway scenario.
Figure 6. Comparison of model temperatures in the four simulations in the North Atlantic with late Eocene (circles; 37–34.5 Ma) and early Oligocene (squares; 34.5–32 Ma) proxy data (SST derived from U′K′ at sites 913, 336, and U1404 and SST derived from TEX98 at sites Kysing-4 and 647). Contours show the modelled annual mean SST for the Arctic-open (a, c) and the Arctic-closed run (b, d) for atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 400 ppm (a, b) and 800 ppm (c, d). The coloured circles show the proxy data averaged between 34.5 and 37 Ma (late Eocene), and the coloured squares show the proxy data averaged between 34.5 and 32 Ma (early Oligocene). For all sites, the average SST proxy records and the modelled SST for each of the four climate scenarios are shown also in Fig. 4 and Fig. S5 and in Śliwińska (2022).

The warming from the AMOC start-up is greater in the colder 400 ppm climate than the warmer 800 ppm climate, but this could be simply because the 400 ppm Arctic-closed simulation is further from equilibrium than the other simulations, with the AMOC still weakening and the SST at the core site still cooling at the time of analysis. The cooling from a reduction in atmospheric CO₂ is of similar magnitude to the AMOC warming, albeit slightly weaker and with a different spatial pattern, reaching further south into the subtropical gyre (Fig. 7c, d). This cooling trend is stronger when the connection to the Arctic is open and the AMOC is off, but again, this could be because the Arctic-closed 400 ppm case has not cooled to equilibrium yet.

To investigate whether greenhouse cooling could compensate for AMOC warming at the EOT, we compare the 800 ppm Arctic-open simulation with the 400 ppm Arctic-closed simulation (Fig. 7e). While we observe an overall cooling in the Arctic and subtropical gyre, there is heterogeneity with the subpolar gyre remaining warm. While this could be due to the 400 ppm Arctic-closed simulation still cooling at this point in the analysis, without a longer run it cannot be concluded for certain that the reduction recorded by the proxies would be matched by the model simulations. To complicate matters, at the higher northern latitudes, where temperature anomalies in both simulations and proxy reconstructions are largest, the data are also the sparsest (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, a real data–model mismatch should be considered and explanations for it explored. The first possibility is that the AMOC did not start up at or just prior to the EOT but had started earlier, e.g. in the middle Eocene (Boyle et al., 2017; Vahlenkamp et al., 2018), and intensified 500 kyr prior to the EOT (Coxall et al., 2018). The change in heat transport from AMOC strengthening should be weaker than from a complete cold start-up. Alternatively, the changes in the Arctic Gateway’s bathymetry could have been subtler in reality than in the model. This would dampen the impact on the circulation and SST, or the AMOC may have started up through an altogether different mechanism such as the widening of the Southern Ocean gateways (Elsworth et al., 2017), which could have a smaller warming effect. This latter process of starting up the AMOC did not work in the modelling study of Hutchinson et al. (2019), but such results can be model-
Figure 7. Site-specific SST anomalies across the EOT from proxy data (SST derived from $U_{37}^{K'}$ at sites 913, 336, and U1404 and SST derived from TEXH at sites Kysing-4 and 647) compared with SST differences between the model simulations. Shown is the SST impact of closing of the Arctic for a 400 ppm climate (a) and an 800 ppm climate (b) as well as the impact of reducing CO$_2$ from 800 to 400 ppm when the Arctic is open (c) and when it is closed (d). The final subplot shows the difference between the 800 ppm open Arctic and the 400 ppm closed Arctic (e). The coloured circles show the SST change ($\Delta$SST) for each site across the EOT as suggested by the proxy data records. $\Delta$SST is calculated as the difference between the pre-34.5 Ma (late Eocene) SST average and the post-34.5 Ma (early Oligocene) SST average (Fig. S5 and Śliwińska, 2022).

dependent and require corroboration. There are also model deficiencies that could explain the overall North Atlantic warming, such as the above-mentioned exaggerated meridional temperature gradient in the model at the EOT (causing too much heat transport through the AMOC) and too-low climate sensitivity to the CO$_2$ decrease. Another point to mention is that the model produces deep water in the Labrador Sea and the Greenland Sea when the Arctic is closed (Hutchinson et al., 2019). Yet there is no evidence in Site 647 records for deep-water formation in the Labrador Sea before or directly after the EOT (Cramwinckel et al., 2020; Coxall et al., 2018). The model AMOC therefore feeds deep water from two regions instead of one and thus could be too strong. Another possibility is that the CO$_2$ decline at the EOT was greater than suggested by existing proxy records (e.g. Anagnostou et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2013), in which case CO$_2$-related climatic cooling at northern high latitudes at the EOT could have been more extreme than currently assumed. However, while it is reasonable to assume that the pre-EOT CO$_2$ was higher than 800 ppm, there is little evidence that it may
have been as low as 400 ppm after the EOT (Fig. 3a). These explanations remain speculative and require further investigation in a modelling-focused study.

5.3 Sea surface temperature variability across the EOT at Site 647

With its higher temporal resolution compared to other North Atlantic records at the time, it is interesting to note some temporal signals in the SST at Site 647 across the late Eocene. In particular, our data suggest that there may be a temperature minimum at \( \sim 35.7 \) Ma and a maximum at \( \sim 34.9 \) Ma, followed by the cooling step (Fig. 3). The SST variability described at Site 647 is well resolved, even though the minimum and the maximum are based on one or few data points. The late Eocene SST at Site 647 seems reasonably stable, considering that data points that are close together in time have similar SSTs (Fig. 3). The increase in SST between 35.7 and 34.9 Ma could possibly be due to an increase in the AMOC, culminating at 34.9 Ma (Coxall et al., 2018). Thereafter, normal background CO\(_2\) cooling could have resumed. A peak in SST was also present during this time at low-latitude Atlantic Site 959 (Cramwinckel et al., 2018) and in the North Sea (Śliwińska et al., 2019). Other Atlantic SST records are of insufficient resolution to study this type of variability, so this point remains speculative. Higher-resolution SST records from the eastern and western sections of the North Atlantic and Nordic Seas spanning the late Eocene would be desirable to fully address this hypothesis.

Today Site 647 is located in the south-western part of the North Atlantic subpolar gyre, influenced by cold and low-salinity subarctic surface waters. The barotropic streamfunction in the model, a combination of the wind-driven gyre transport and the meridional overturning streamfunction, suggests that at the EOT the site was in or near the boundary of the subtropical gyre and the subpolar gyre and that this region was highly dynamic (Fig. 8). The horizontal circulation in this region changes dramatically when the Arctic closes and the AMOC starts up, with the streamfunction-derived subtropical gyre reaching more northward into the Labrador Sea and the subpolar gyre moving closer to the western boundary (Figs. 1 and 8). The result is a switch of the mean current direction at the site location from north-eastward to south-eastward. The North Atlantic warming associated with the closing of the Arctic broadly outlines the subpolar gyre boundary of the open cases, and it has a strong gradient at Site 647 so that if the site were just a few degrees to the south (or arguably the gyre to the north) it would experience much less warming and might even have 1 or 2 °C of cooling when taking into account the expected CO\(_2\) cooling at the EOT (Fig. 7a, b). The position and strength of the gyres, as well as the strength of the AMOC, are likely model-
dependent and should not be taken too literally. However, suffice to say that they depend critically on the palaeogeography of the region, which was dynamic at the time (Hutchinson et al., 2019). Even a globally homogenous forcing factor such as CO₂ results, through regional feedbacks, in heterogeneous changes in the North Atlantic SST (Fig. 7).

6 Conclusions

Our new SST record derived from organic geochemical palaeothermometers provides the highest resolution of SST across the EOT in the northern North Atlantic to date. Our SST record shows variability in the 2.5 Myr leading up to the EOT, which includes an ∼800 kyr warming interval before the final cooling step, which took place ∼500 kyr before the EOT. Model simulations of various possible palaeogeographic and atmospheric CO₂ scenarios at the time indicate that the site is located in a dynamic region close to the subtropical and subpolar gyre boundary. Atmospheric CO₂ or palaeogeographic changes would change the gyre location, strength, and structure. It could even change the direction of the mean current at the site, influencing the local SST. Whatever the driver, our model suggests that there is usually some coherence in the North Atlantic SST response across the subpolar gyre and separately the subtropical gyre, but in general the response is heterogeneous across the North Atlantic. Any extrapolation of ocean warming or cooling at a specific site location to the wider Atlantic and global climate drivers should therefore be done with care.

In order to compare the SST changes across the EOT with other North Atlantic lower-resolution records, the SST was averaged over a late Eocene bin spanning the 2.5 Myr before the 34.5 Ma cooling step at Site 647 (37–34.5 Ma) and the early Oligocene bin spanning the 2.5 Myr after this step (34.5–32 Ma). In this basin-wide view, the cooling at the EOT is found to be larger at higher latitudes, although this is also where data are particularly sparse. The binned data were compared to four model simulations of EOT scenarios with high (800 ppm) and low (400 ppm) atmospheric CO₂, and open and closed Arctic–Atlantic Gateway, also representing AMOC-off and AMOC-on scenarios, respectively. The cooling across the EOT is best simulated with a drop in CO₂ alone. However, several deep-ocean circulation proxies suggest that the AMOC started up just prior to the EOT (Coxall et al., 2018), and our model simulations indicate that if the AMOC starts up (through Arctic closure in our case), the CO₂ cooling is approximately countered by warming from the increased heat transport. However, several caveats need to be raised when making such a comparison. Our AMOC-on 400 ppm simulation is still cooling, and it is not possible to know the final SST state. But suffice to say, the final state would be cooler than the one shown here, especially in the high-latitude regions that are sensitive to the AMOC, which is still decreasing rapidly at the time of the analysis. It is possible that the AMOC did not start up in the late Eocene, but alternative explanations are then required for the deep-ocean proxies that suggest this (Coxall et al., 2018; Hutchinson et al., 2021). Also, if the EOT cooling was driven by stand-alone CO₂ changes, the question remains as to why there was a sharp deep-ocean cooling step before the Antarctic ice-sheet growth (Lear et al., 2008). Other possibilities are (i) that the AMOC started up earlier and just intensified at the EOT, (ii) that the model is overestimating the AMOC heat transport due to too-warm high-latitude temperature at the Eocene, (iii) that the CO₂ decrease is larger than modelled here, or (iv) that the model has too-low sensitivity to CO₂ cooling. It should be noted that the model time slices present only a few possible scenarios, of which none were probably an exact reality at any point. The pre- and post-EOT world would not correspond to any single scenario but would be a dynamic time of variable palaeogeography and CO₂. It is also worth emphasising again the model dependence of these results, as the ocean circulation and stratification vary greatly between models of the Eocene, even when forced with a similar set of boundary conditions (Zhang et al., 2022).

Our new data aid in understanding of the timing and the spatial pattern of temperature changes related to the transition into the unipolar icehouse climate state. The model simulations highlight the heterogeneity of North Atlantic SST and its response to different forcing factors. This calls for more proxy records to increase the spatial coverage and resolution of regional temperature trends across the North Atlantic in order to identify possible thermaline fingerprints of the AMOC start-up at the EOT. For areas located south of Site 647 and Kysing-4 this could include construction of eastern–western Atlantic surface and deep-water δ¹⁸O and temperature gradients using multiple palaeotemperature proxy methods (e.g. clumped isotopes, foraminiferal Mg/ Ca, or TEX⁸⁶). For the higher northern latitudes, where calcareous microfossils fossils are very limited in this time interval, this could include higher-resolution SST proxy reconstruction based on TEX⁸⁶ and/or U₅⁷°C. Despite the existing hiatuses at the Eocene–Oligocene boundary interval in the North Atlantic region, increasing sampling resolution at the existing sites in the interval from 37 to 32 Ma would be beneficial. A formal model intercomparison project (e.g. EOT-MIP) to compare the response in a variety of different EOT models would increase our confidence of the ocean and climate system’s response to proposed drivers of the EOT and thus facilitate more robust model–data intercomparisons.
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