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The box plot for the equatorial Pacific during the Holocene in Fig. 5 (top right of the figure) was omitted during submission of this paper, and consequently it is missing from the published version. Figure 5 has now been updated to include the missing box plot.

Figure 5. Distributions of $\delta^{13}C$ for all core measurements deeper than 2500 m during the Holocene (7–2 ka BP, red), the early LIG (128–123 ka BP), the LIG (125–120 ka BP), and the late LIG (123–118 ka BP) across four regions (equatorial Pacific, equatorial Atlantic, southeastern Atlantic, northeastern Atlantic). The lower end of the box indicates quartile 1 (Q1), and the upper end indicates quartile 3 (Q3). Orange vertical lines show the median, and dotted vertical lines show the mean. The whiskers indicate the lower and upper fences of the data calculated as Q1 – 1.5 × (Q3 – Q1) and Q3 + 1.5 × (Q3 – Q1), respectively, and the clear circles are outliers.