

Supplementary Figures for The Eocene-Oligocene transition: a review of marine and terrestrial proxy data, models and model-data comparisons

David K. Hutchinson¹, Helen K. Coxall¹, Daniel J. Lunt², Margret Steinthorsdottir^{1,3}, Agatha M. De Boer¹, Michiel Baatsen⁴, Anna von der Heydt^{4,5}, Matthew Huber⁶, Alan T. Kennedy-Asser², Lutz Kunzmann⁷, Jean-Baptiste Ladant⁸, Caroline H. Lear⁹, Karolin Moraweck⁷, Paul N. Pearson⁹, Emanuela Piga⁹, Matthew J. Pound¹⁰, Ulrich Salzmann¹⁰, Howie D. Scher¹¹, Willem P. Sijp¹², Kasia K. Śliwińska¹³, Paul A. Wilson¹⁴, Zhongshi Zhang^{15,16}

1. Department of Geological Sciences and Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
2. School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, UK
3. Department of Palaeobiology, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden
4. Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, Department of Physics, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
5. Centre for Complex Systems Studies, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
6. Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
7. Senckenberg Natural History Collections Dresden, Germany
8. Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan, USA
9. School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
10. Department of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Northumbria University, UK
11. School of the Earth, Ocean and Environment, University of South Carolina, USA
12. Climate Change Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
13. Department of Stratigraphy, Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS), Copenhagen, Denmark
14. University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre Southampton, UK
15. Department of Atmospheric Science, China University of Geoscience, Wuhan, China
16. NORCE Research and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway

Correspondence to: David K. Hutchinson (david.hutchinson@geo.su.se)




Figure S1: Annual surface air temperature from individual model experiments of CO₂ forcing, showing the 4x (left column), 2x (middle column) experiments and the difference 2x-4x (right column). Model descriptions are given in Table 2 of the manuscript.




Figure S2: Annual surface air temperature from individual model experiments of ICE forcing, showing the 2x (left column), 2xice (middle column) experiments and the difference 2xice-2x (right column).




Figure S3: Annual surface air temperature from individual model experiments of GEO forcing, showing the preEOT (left column), postEOT (middle column) experiments and the difference postEOT-preEOT (right column).




Figure S4: Annual mean sea ice extent from individual model experiments of CO₂ forcing, showing, from left to right, 4xCO₂ in the Northern Hemisphere (NH), 2xCO₂ in the NH, 4xCO₂ in the Southern Hemisphere (SH), and 2xCO₂ in the SH.




Figure S5: Annual mean sea ice extent from individual model experiments of ICE forcing, showing, from left to right, 2xCO₂ in the Northern Hemisphere (NH), 2xCO₂ + ICE in the NH, 2xCO₂ in the Southern Hemisphere (SH), and 2xCO₂ + ICE in the SH.




Figure S6: Annual mean sea ice extent from individual model experiments of GEO forcing, showing, from left to right, pre-EOT in the Northern Hemisphere (NH), post-EOT in the NH, pre-EOT in the Southern Hemisphere (SH), and post-EOT in the SH.